

## Some Properties of Quasi- \*Paranormal Operators

<sup>1</sup>T. Veluchamy and <sup>2</sup>A. Devika

<sup>1</sup>SNS Rajalakshmi Arts and Science College, Coimbatore, Tamilnadu, India

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, PSG College of Arts and Science,  
Coimbatore, Tamilnadu, India

**Abstract:** In this study, we will investigate some properties of quasi-\*paranormal operators and some relations between normal operators and quasi-\*paranormal operators. Also we study sufficient conditions for normal operators and if  $T$  is any quasi-\*paranormal operator in  $H$ . 2000 AMS subject classification: 47B20 and 47B38.

**Key words:** Hilbert space, \*-paranormal, quasi-\* paranormal, riesz operators

### INTRODUCTION

Let  $H$  be an arbitrary complex Hilbert space and let  $B(H)$  denote the algebra of all bounded operators on  $H$ . We say that a bounded linear operator  $T$  on  $H$  is quasi-\*paranormal  $\|T^*Tx\|^2 \leq \|T^3x\| \|Tx\|$  if for each vector  $x$  in  $H$  (Arora and Thukral, 1990). In this study, we consider normality conditions on a quasi-\*paranormal operator  $T$  such that  $T = Q + C$  for a compact operator  $C$  and a quasi-nilpotent operator  $Q$ . An operator means a bounded linear operator on a Hilbert space. For an operator  $T \in B(H)$ , let  $\sigma(T)$ ,  $\sigma_p(T)$ ,  $\sigma_c(T)$ ,  $\sigma_r(T)$  denote the spectrum, point spectrum, continuous spectrum and the residual spectrum respectively. Let  $N_T(\mu)$  be the  $\mu$ -space of a quasi-\*paranormal operator  $T$ , that is  $N_T(\mu) = \{x \in H: Tx = \mu x\}$ . A vector  $x \in N_T(\mu)$  is said to be a proper vector for  $T$ . A scalar  $\mu$  is said to be a proper value for the operator  $T$  if there exists a vector  $x \neq 0$  such that  $Tx = \mu x$ . Then by some properties of quasi-\*paranormal operators, it is easy to verify that  $\{N_T(\mu): \mu \in \sigma_p(T)\}$  is a family of mutually orthogonal reducing subspaces of  $H$  (Arora and Thukral, 1990). In this study, we study some properties of quasi-\*paranormal operators and obtain some sufficient conditions for normal operators.

### PRELIMINARIES

**Definitions 1:** An operator  $T$  on a Hilbert space  $H$  is said to be

- Normal if  $T^*T = TT^*$ ;
- Quasi normal if  $T$  commutes with  $T^*T$ ;
- Hyponormal if  $T^*T - TT^* \geq 0$ ;
- \*-Paranormal if  $\|T^*x\|^2 \leq \|T^2x\| \|x\|$  for all  $x \in H$ .

**Definition 2:** (Arora and Thukral, 1990).

An operator  $T$  is said to be quasi-\*paranormal if  $\|T^*Tx\|^2 \leq \|T^2x\| \|x\|$  for each vector  $x$  in  $H$

Arora and Thukral (1990) has proved by some properties of quasi-\*paranormal operators that  $\{N_T(\mu): \mu \in \sigma_p(T)\}$  is a family of mutually orthogonal reducing subspaces of  $H$ .

**Lemma 1:** (Arora and Thukral, 1990).

Let  $T$  be a quasi-\*paranormal operator. Then

- For any scalar  $\mu$ ,  $N_T(\mu) \subset N_{T^*}(\overline{\mu})$
- For a fixed scalar  $\mu$  and let  $N = N_T(\mu)$  then  $N$  reduces  $T$  and  $T|_N$  is normal.
- $N_T(\mu) \perp N_T$  whenever  $\mu \neq \gamma$ .
- If the  $\mu$ -space of  $T$  are a total family, then  $T$  is normal.

Patel (1974) has proved the following theorem.

**Theorem 1:** Let  $A$  be a hyponormal operator and let  $B$  be \*-paranormal operator. If  $A$  and  $B$  are doubly commutative (i.e.  $AB = BA$  and  $AB^* = B^*A$ ), then  $AB$  is a \*-paranormal operator.

In the following theorem we show that if a quasi-\*paranormal operator doubly commutes with an isometric operator then the product is quasi-\*paranormal.

**Theorem 2:** Let  $T$  be a quasi-\*paranormal operator such that  $T$  doubly commutes with an isometric operator  $S$ . Then  $TS$  is quasi-\*paranormal.

**Proof:** For a unit vector  $x$  in  $H$

$$\begin{aligned}\|(TS)^*(TS)x\|^2 &= \|S^*T^*TSx\|^2 \leq \|ST^*TSx\|^2 \\ \|T^*TSx\|^2 &= \|T^*Tx\|^2 \leq \|T^3x\|^2 \|Tx\| \\ \|ST^3x\| \|STx\| &= \|S^3T^3x\| \|STx\| \\ \|(TS)^3x\| &= \|(TS)x\|.\end{aligned}$$

Hence TS is a quasi-\*paranormal operator.

### MAIN RESULTS

**Theorem: 3:** If T is quasi-\*paranormal then T can be expressed uniquely as the direct sum  $T = T_1 \oplus T_2$  defined on the product space  $H = H_1 \oplus H_2$  with the following properties.

- $H_1$  is spanned by the proper vectors of T
- $T_1$  is normal
- $T_2$  is quasi-\*paranormal and  $\sigma_p(T_2) = \emptyset$
- T is normal if and only if  $T_2$  is normal.

**Proof:**

- Let  $H_1 = \Sigma_{\mu \in \sigma_p(T)} \{N_T(\mu)\}$ . Then  $H_1$  is spanned by the proper vectors of T. Since  $N_T(\mu)$  is a closed linear subspace,  $H_1$  is a closed linear subspace implies that  $H = H_1 \oplus H_1^\perp = H_1 \oplus H_2$ , where  $H_2 = H_1^\perp$ . Let  $T_1 = T|_{H_1}$  and  $T_2 = T|_{H_2}$  then  $T = T_1 \oplus T_2$  uniquely.
- Let  $H_1 = N_T(\mu) \oplus N_T(\alpha) \oplus \dots$  and let  $x \in H_1$  then  $x = x_\mu + x_\alpha + \dots$  for any  $x_\mu \in N_T(\mu)$ ,  $x_\alpha \in N_T(\alpha)$ , .... By Lemma 2,

$$\begin{aligned}T_1^*T_1(x) &= T_1^*T_1(x_\mu + x_\alpha + \dots) \\ &= \mu T_1^*x_\mu + \alpha T_1^*x_\alpha + \dots \\ &= \mu \bar{\mu} x_\mu + \alpha \bar{\alpha} x_\alpha + \dots \\ T_1^*T_1(x) &= T_1^*T_1(x_\mu + x_\alpha + \dots) \\ &= \bar{\mu} T_1 x_\mu + \bar{\alpha} T_1 x_\alpha + \dots \\ &= \bar{\mu} \mu x_\mu + \bar{\alpha} \alpha x_\alpha + \dots\end{aligned}$$

Thus  $T_1^*T_1x = T_1T_1^*x$ . Hence  $T_1$  is normal.

- Let  $x \in H_2$  then  $x = 0 + x \in H = H_1 \oplus H_2$ . Since T is quasi-\*paranormal,

$$\begin{aligned}\|T_2^*T_2x\|^2 &= \|T^*T(0+x)\|^2 \leq \\ \|T^3(0+x)\| \|T(0+x)\| &= \|T_2^3x\| \|T_2x\|\end{aligned}$$

for every unit vector x in  $H$ . Hence  $T_2$  is quasi-\*paranormal. We will claim that  $\sigma_p(T_2) = \emptyset$ . Suppose that  $\sigma_p(T_2) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\gamma \in \sigma_p(T_2)$ . Then there exists  $x \neq 0 \in H_2$  such that  $(T_2 - \gamma)x = 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned}T(0+x) &= T_2x = \gamma(x) = \\ \gamma(0+x), \gamma(0+x), 0+x &\in H\end{aligned}$$

Thus  $x = 0 + x \in N_T(\gamma)$ . Hence x is in  $H_1$ . This is a contradiction to  $H_2 = H_1^\perp$  and  $x \neq 0$ .

- ( $\Leftarrow$ ) Since  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are normal.  $T = T_1 \oplus T_2$  is normal.

$$(\Rightarrow) T = \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & 0 \\ 0 & T_2 \end{pmatrix} \in H_1 \oplus H_1^\perp$$

Since  $T = T_1 \oplus T_2$  and  $T_1$  are normal

$$\begin{aligned}T^*T &= \begin{pmatrix} T_1^*T_1 & 0 \\ 0 & T_2^*T_2 \end{pmatrix} \\ TT^* &= \begin{pmatrix} T_1T_1^* & 0 \\ 0 & T_2T_2^* \end{pmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

Thus  $T_2^*T_2 = T_2T_2^*$ . Hence  $T_2$  is normal.

**Theorem 4:** If H is finite dimensional, every quasi-\*paranormal operator T is normal.

**Proof :** By induction on the dimension n of H, for  $n = 1$ , every operator is normal. Assume the theorem holds for dimension  $< n$ . It can be shown that every linear mapping in a finite dimensional space has atleast one proper value (Berberian, 1961). By Lemma 2, let  $\mu$  be a proper value for T and let  $N = N_T(\mu)$ , implies N reduces T and  $T|_N$  is normal. But  $N^\perp$  also reduces and  $T|_{N^\perp}$  is normal. By the inductive assumption T is normal.

**Definition 3:** (Istratescu, 1981)

A point  $\mu \in \sigma(T)$  is called a Riesz point of T if  $(N(T - \mu), R(T - \mu))$  reduces T and the following conditions hold.

- $\dim N(T - \mu) < \infty$
- $T/N(T - \mu)$  is nilpotent (i.e.:  $\sigma(\cdot) = \{0\}$ )
- $T/R(T - \mu)$  is a homeomorphism.

**Definition 4:** (Istratescu, 1981)

An operator  $T \in B(H)$  is called a Riesz operator if every point  $\mu \in \sigma(T)$ ,  $\mu \neq 0$  is a Riesz point.

**Theorem 5:** (West, 1966)

Every Riesz operator T on a Hilbert space can be decomposed into  $T = C + Q$  where C is a compact operator and Q is a quasi-nilpotent operator.

Let  $C(H)$  be the algebra of all compact operators on  $H$ . On  $H$ , let  $\pi(T)$  be the image of  $T \in B(H)$  under the canonical mapping

$$\pi : B(H) \rightarrow B(H)/C(H)$$

**Lemma 2:** (Yoshino, 1968)

Let  $T$  be an operator of the form  $T = A + C$  with a compact operator  $C$  and let  $M$  be an invariant subspace of  $T$ . Then  $\mu \in \sigma_{\text{ap}}(T|_M)$  and  $\mu \notin \sigma(A)$  imply  $\mu \in \sigma_p(T|_M)$  so,  $\sigma_p(T|_M) \subset \sigma(A)$ .

**Theorem 6:** Let  $T$  be an operator of the form  $T = C + Q$  where  $C$  is a compact operator and  $Q$  is a quasi-nilpotent operator. If  $\pi(T)$  is quasi-\*paranormal. Then  $T$  is a compact operator.

**Proof:** First we note that  $A \geq 0$  implies that  $\pi(A) \geq 0$  and  $\sigma(A) = \{0\}$  implies  $\sigma(\pi(A)) = \{0\}$  for each operator  $A \in B(H)$ . Let  $T = C + Q$  with a compact operator  $C$  and a quasi-nilpotent operator  $Q$ . Then  $\pi(T) = \pi(Q)$  so that  $\sigma(\pi(T)) = \sigma(\pi(Q)) = \{0\}$ . By hypothesis  $\pi(T)$  is quasi-\*paranormal operator (by considering  $B(H)/C(H)$  as an algebra of operator on some Hilbert space). Hence we have  $\|\pi(T)\| = \sup \{ \|\mu\| : \mu \in \sigma(\pi(T)) \} = 0$ , since  $\pi(T)$  is normaloid. This shows that  $\pi(T) = 0$  and  $T$  is a compact operator.

**Lemma 3:** (Arora and Thukral, 1990).

Let  $T$  be a quasi-\*paranormal operator such that

$$T^{*p_1} T^{q_1} \dots T^{*p_m} T^{q_m}$$

is completely continuous for some non-negative integers

$$p_1, q_1, p_2, q_2, \dots, p_m, q_m$$

Then  $T$  is normal.

**Theorem 7:** Let  $T$  be a quasi-\*paranormal operator of the form  $T = C + Q$  where  $C$  is a compact operator and  $Q$  is a quasi nilpotent operator. Then  $T$  is a normal operator.

**Proof:** Let  $T = C + Q$  with a compact operator  $C$  and a quasi-nilpotent operator  $Q$ . Since  $T$  is normaloid, there exists an element  $\mu_0 \in \sigma(T)$  such that  $\|T\| = |\mu_0|$  we may assume that  $\mu_0 \neq 0$ . Since  $\mu_0 \in \sigma_p(T)$  and  $\mu_0 \notin \sigma(Q)$ ,  $\mu_0 \in \sigma(T)$ . By lemma 3  $\|T\| = |\mu_0|$  and  $\mu_0 \in \sigma_p(T)$  imply  $\bar{\mu}_0 \in \sigma_p(T)$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \{x \in H : Tx = \mu_0 x\} \cap \\ \{x \in H : T^*x = \bar{\mu}_0 x\} \neq \{0\} \end{aligned}$$

To prove the theorem, it suffices to follow the proof of Lemma 3.

**Corollary 1:** If  $T$  is a quasi-\*paranormal operator and  $T^{*p}$   $T^p$  is a Riesz operator for non-negative integer  $p$ , then  $T$  is a normal operator.

**Proof:** Since  $T^{*p} T^p$  is a self adjoint Riesz operator, it follows that  $T^{*p} T^p$  is compact and the result follows from Lemma 3.

**Corollary 2:** If  $T$  is a quasi-\*paranormal Riesz operator on Hilbert space, then its compact part  $C \neq 0$ .

**Proof:** Since  $T$  is quasi-\*paranormal,  $T$  is normaloid. If there exists a decomposition with its compact part  $C = 0$ , then  $T$  is a quasi-nilpotent normaloid operator, it follows that  $T = 0$ .

**Theorem 7:** Let  $T = H + iJ$  be quasi-\*paranormal operator. If

- $A$  real element of  $\sigma(T)$  must be zero.
- $J$  is compact, then  $T$  is normal.

**Proof:** Since  $T$  is normaloid,  $\|T\| = |\mu|$  for some  $\mu \in \sigma(T)$ . Because of condition (1),  $\mu$  is not real;  $\mu - \bar{\mu} \neq 0$ , since  $\mu \in \sigma(T)$  and  $\|T\| = |\mu|$ ,  $\mu \in \sigma_{\text{ap}}(T)$ . Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence of unit vectors such that  $\|Tx_n - \mu x_n\| \rightarrow 0$ . Now for  $\beta = \text{Im}(\mu)$ ,  $\beta \neq 0$

$$\text{Let } Tx_n = (H + iJ)x_n = Hx_n + iJx_n$$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < \|Tx_n - \mu x_n\| &= \|(Hx_n + iJx_n) + (\text{Re}(\mu)x_n + i\text{Im}(\mu)x_n) \\ &= \|(Hx_n - \text{Re}(\mu)x_n) + i(Jx_n - \beta x_n)\| \\ &\geq \|Jx_n - \beta x_n\| \geq 0, n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$Jx_n - \beta x_n \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

since  $J$  is a compact operator there exists a vector  $x$  such that  $Jx_n \rightarrow x$ . Let  $x_0 = x/\beta$  then  $x_n \rightarrow x$ , and  $x \neq 0$ .

For,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\beta x_n - x\| &= \|\beta x_n - Jx_n + Jx_n - x\| \\ &\leq \|\beta x_n - Jx_n\| + \|Jx_n - x\| \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore as  $\beta x_n \rightarrow x$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Thus  $x_0 \neq 0$ , Now,

$$\begin{aligned}\|Tx_0 - \mu x_0\| &= \|(T - \mu I)(x_0 - x_n) + (Tx_n - \mu x_n)\| \\ &\leq \|(T - \mu I)(x_0 - x_n)\| + \|Tx_n - \mu x_n\| \\ &\leq \|(T - \mu I)\| \|x_0 - x_n\| + \|Tx_n - \mu x_n\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have  $Tx_0 = \mu x_0$ .

So that the family  $F = \{N_T(\mu) : \mu \in \sigma(T)\}$  is a mutually orthogonal reducing subspace of  $T$ .

Let

$$H_0 = \sum_{\mu \in \sigma_p(T)} \bigoplus \{N_T(\mu)\}$$

Then  $H_0$  reduces  $T$  and the restriction  $T_0 = T|_{H_0}$  is normal operator. It is sufficient to show that  $T_0 = T|_{H_0}^+ = 0$ . To prove the theorem it is sufficient to follow the proof of Lemma 3.

### REFERENCES

Arora, S.C. and J.K. Thukral, 1990. Quasi  $-*$  paranormal operators, Invariant subspaces and Allied topics, Narosa Publications, pp: 79-86.

Berberian, S.K., 1961. Introduction to Hilbert space. Oxford University Press, New York.

Cheon Seoung RYOO, 1998. Some class of operators, Mathematics. J. Toyama University, 21: 147-152.

Istratescu, V.I., 1981. Introduction to Linear operator theory. Marcel Dekker Inc., New York.

Kaplansky, I., 1953. Completely continuous normal operators with property (L), Pacific. J. Math., 3: 721-724.

Mi Young Lee, Sang Hun Lee and Cheon seoung Ryoo, 1995. Some Remarks on the structure of  $k^*$ -paranormal operators. Kyungpook Math. J., 35: 205-211.

Patel, S.M., 1974. Contributions to the study of spectraloid operators. Ph.D. Thesis, Delhi University Press.

West, T., 1966. The decomposition of a Riesz operator. Proc, London. Math. Soc., 16: 734-752.

Yoshino, T., 1968. ,On a problem of Bonsall, Tohoku math. J., 20: 5-7.