

The Law of Negligence Towards Environmental Awareness and Sustainability in Malaysia

¹Muhammad Rizal Razman, ²Jamaluddin Md. Jahi, ¹Sharifah Zarina Syed Zakaria,

¹Abdul Samad Hadi, ³Kadir Arifin, ³Kadaruddin Aiyub and ³Azahan Awang

¹Institute for Environment and Development (LESTARI),

²Institute of the Malay World and Civilisation (ATMA),

³Faculty of Social Science and Humanities, School of Social, Development and Environmental Studies,
University Kebangsaan Malaysia, 43600 UKM, Bangi, Selangor, Malaysia

Abstract: The law of negligence plays an important role towards environment awareness and sustainability. The application on the law of negligence to the area of the environmental awareness and sustainability is largely in reply to the necessity of individual to protect his/her interests and rights against pollution. Therefore, this study will examine the application on the law of negligence in relation to the environmental awareness and sustainability from Malaysian legal perspectives. Identify actions and cases which deal with environmental awareness and sustainability as well as a tool in order to achieve sustainable development.

Key words: Law of negligence, environmental awareness, environmental sustainability, pollution, Malaysia

INTRODUCTION

Law governs the relationship of the individuals with the state and also with one and another. An easy approach to examine how it operates in the legal system is to classify it in the light of its relationships (Beatrix and Wu, 1991). Law may be classified into two parts. There are private and public law. Private law also known as civil law, governs the relationship between an individual and another individual and as for public law governs the relationship between the state and the individual (Beatrix and Wu, 1991; Sulaiman and Razman, 2010).

Both earlier mentioned laws play an important role towards environment awareness and sustainability. The development of the law towards environment awareness and sustainability is not solely based on private law alone anyway public law has also made contribution to serve similar function towards environment awareness and sustainability (Razman and Azlan, 2009; Razman *et al.*, 2010a).

The criminal law is an example of public law. Criminal law is a law which states and explains all the acts and omissions which are considered criminal actions. Criminal law laid down all types of acts and omissions that constituted as offences done individuals against the states (Razman and Syahirah, 2001). The criminal law aims to combat and punish the criminals (Razman and Syahirah, 2001). In criminal law, the represent the state to prosecute the individuals that have been accused to commit

criminals actions (Razman and Syahirah, 2001). There are two main elements of a crime, wrongful act known as *actus reus* and wrongful mind known as *mens rea* (Lee, 1998). Therefore, the public prosecutor is required to proof to the court of law both of elements beyond reasonable doubt (Lee, 1998; Razman and Syahirah, 2001). It is clearly that the criminal law is a law that regulating and governing of a state and an individual or group of individuals.

Next, an example of private law in is the law of negligence. Law of negligence is a law that laid down the responsibilities of an individual or group of individuals to ensure that the acts and omissions of their actions will not cause any harm and/or detrimental to other individual or other group of individuals (Sulaiman and Razman, 2010). Failure to comply with these responsibilities, the said individual or the said group of individuals who suffered injuries damages and/or losses may bring the claim to the court of law against the party who fails to comply with those obligations (Razman *et al.*, 2009a, 2010b). In private law which include the law of of negligence the party that initiate the legal proceeding is known as plaintiff and the other party that being sued known as the defendant (Razman and Syahirah, 2001). It is clearly that under the private law which include the law of of negligence concerned with the law governs the relationship between individuals.

Therefore, this study examines the application of law of negligence with relation to the environment awareness

and sustainability from the national law approach by identifying actions and cases which deal with environmental protection. This study is also identifying the relation between the law of negligence and the concept of sustainability as a means to enhance the environmental awareness.

THE CONCEPT OF SUSTAINABILITY

The concept of sustainability has been defined by the world commission on environment and development as development that meets the needs of the present generation without compromising the ability of the future generations to meet their own needs. The above said concept covers two essential scopes, i.e., environmental and social aspects. This concept of sustainability has been highlighted in the 1992 United Nations conference on sustainable development in Rio de Janeiro as the results. Agenda 21 and Rio Declaration has been established. According to Sands (1995, 2003), Agenda 21 emphasises the following matters which include sustainable human settlement population, consumption pattern, poverty and human health. On the other hand, Mensah (1996) stated that the Rio Declaration addresses on mankind entitlements and rights which include health and productive life.

Basically, this concept of sustainability has been an element in the international legal framework since early as 1893. According to the case of United States of America vs. Great Britain in 1893, 1 Moore's Int Arb. Awards 755 well known as Pacific Fur seals arbitration where in this case the United States of America has stated that a right to make sure the appropriate and lawful use of seals and to protect them for the benefit of human beings from meaningless destruction (Razman *et al.*, 2009b, 2010c; Emrizal and Razman, 2010). Sands (1995) indicated that this concept of sustainable development is perhaps the greatest contemporary expression of environmental policy commanding support and presented as a fundamental at the Rio Summit, Rio Declaration on environment and development in year 1992.

According to Article 33 of the Lome Convention 1989 states that in the framework of this convention, the protection and the enhancement of the environment and natural resources, the halting of deterioration of land and forests, the restoration of ecological balances, the preservation of natural resources and their rational exploitation are basic objectives that the African Caribbean Pacific (ACP) states concerned shall strive to achieve with community support with a view to bring an immediate improvement in the living conditions of their populations and to safeguarding those of future generations (Razman *et al.*, 2009c; Emrizal and Razman, 2010).

ENVIRONMENTAL AWARENESS

Environment includes all living and non-living things. As living things who live and co-exist in the same environment, humans, animals, plants use the same environmental resources like air, land and water to meet our needs. As human beings, we have progressed very rapidly and have been using the natural resources to meet the demands and purposes in the name of development. Development also means meeting the needs of the people. While, meeting the ever growing needs, we put pressure on the environment. When the pressure exceeds the carrying capacity of the environment to repair or replace itself, environmental problems became a serious issue.

Environmental problems are problems of ecosystems and there is a growing awareness that human behaviour is regarded as an important contributor to these problems such as water pollution, decline of biodiversity and desertification (Gardner and Stern, 2002; Nickerson, 2003). Nevertheless, human behaviour can also be the solution to solve the environmental problems. Solving, handling, managing and dealing with environmental problems are the responsibilities of the whole spectrum of societal actors from the individual citizen to corporations and governments. Environmental problems have become as much political, economical, social and individual as ecological problems. This is due to the fact that environmental problems and issues such as global warming or climate change are not restricted to ecosystems and non-human inhabitants. It also link directly or indirectly to societal environments involving the conducts and behaviours of humans which will also have a direct effect on their lives and health.

The relation between humans and the environment are very much linked and inter connected. Humans must be aware and conscious of their actions that might leave bad or negative impact to the environment and society (Zakaria, 2011). Humans must be taught the importance of environmental awareness because it includes the understanding of natural environment and will guide humans the manner of treating the relation between human and nature and can resulted in the desire and action for environmental protection. Schultz *et al.* (2004) defined environmental awareness as the collection of beliefs, affect and behavioural intentions a person holds regarding environmentally related activities or issues. While, Kollmuss and Agyeman (2002) define environmental awareness as knowing about the impact of human behaviour on the environment. Miller (1993) as part of his definition of environmental awareness stated

that when human knows and understands the interrelationship between the biophysical and socio-cultural components of the environment it will make a person realize and acknowledge the interactions between these components of the environment may give rise to environmental problems. Thus, environmental awareness must include the thinking the behaviour and the action taken by humans that promotes and supports environmental concerns.

THE LAW OF NEGLIGENCE IN MALAYSIA

There is no specific statute that governs the law of negligence in Malaysia. In the event where there is no specific statute governs a particular private law therefore Civil Law Act in 1956 will come into the picture. Therefore, researchers will refer to the Section 3 of the Civil Law Act, 1956. Section 3 of the Civil Law Act in 1956 lays down that 3 (1) save so far as other provision has been made or may hereafter be made by any written law in force in Malaysia, the court shall:

- In West Malaysia or any part thereof apply the common law of England and the rules of equity as administered in England on the 7th day of April in 1956
- In Sabah, apply the common law of England and the rules of equity together with statutes of general application as administered or in force in England on the 1st day of December, 1951
- In Sarawak, apply the common law of England and the rules of equity together with statutes of general application as administered or in force in England on the 12th day of December, 1949

Provided that the said common law rules of equity and statutes of general application shall be applied so far only as the circumstances of states of Malaysia and their respective inhabitants permit and subject to such qualifications as local circumstances render necessary.

Based on the above-mentioned Section 3 of the Civil Law Act, 1956 therefore law of negligence in Malaysia is based and referred to the English law of negligence.

LEGAL PERSPECTIVES ON NEGLIGENCE

According to the definition given by Lord Wright in the case of *Loughelly Iron and Coal v Mullan*, 1934 AC 1, 25; Negligence means more than heedless or careless conduct it properly connotes the complex concepts of duty breach and damage thereby suffered by person to whom the duty owed.

Therefore based on the above mentioned definition it is clear that under the law of negligence, the essential elements are as the following:

- Duty of care is owed by an individual who caused damage (a defendant) to another individual who suffered the damage (a plaintiff)
- There is a breach of the above said duty
- There is damage which is caused by the above said breach of duty
- A reasonable close connection between the damage and the breach of duty (Buang, 1990)

DUTY OF CARE

The first essential element under the law of negligence is duty of care. The plaintiff is required to prove the existence of duty of care in his legal action against the defendant who caused the damage.

What is duty of care? In the case of *Donoghue v Stevenson* in 1932 AC 562, Lord Atkin has introduced and established the neighbour principle as duty for every individual or in other word neighbour principle is an obligation imposed by law to every individual.

Based on this neighbour principle, an individual is required to take reasonable care to avoid acts or omissions which the individual can reasonably foresee that would be likely to injure the individuals neighbour (Rogers, 1989). The neighbour refers to persons who are so closely and directly affected by the individuals act which the individual ought reasonably to have them in contemplation as being so affected when the individual is directing his mind to acts or omissions that are being called into question (Rogers, 1989).

According to the case of *Donoghue v Stevenson* in 1932 AC 562:

- Parties involved; plaintiff/appellant, Donoghue defendant/respondent Stevenson
- The facts of the case; the defendant/respondent was a manufacturer of ginger beer. The ginger beer had been bottled in an opaque bottle. After that, the ginger beer had been delivered and sold to a retailer. Later on, a friend of the plaintiff/appellant purchased the ginger beer from the above mentioned retailer for the plaintiff/appellant as a gift. When the plaintiff/appellant had drank some of the ginger beer then she poured out of the balance of the said drink at that moment she was shocked when a decomposed snail came out. Subsequently, she fell seriously ill

- The plaintiffs/appellants argument; the defendant/respondent as a manufacturer failed to ensure the safety of the consumer which consumed the product. As the result, the plaintiff/appellant suffered injuries
- The defendants/respondents argument; the plaintiff/appellant was not a contracting party therefore the plaintiff/appellant does not own the privity of the contract. As a result, the plaintiff/appellant has no right to commence her action in the said contract
- In addition, under the law of contract in order for the plaintiff to take action against the defendant in the court, the plaintiff is required to prove to the court that all the essential elements of a contract have been fulfilled
- The house of Lords held that the appellant was entitled for the compensation even though there was no privity of contract between the respondent and the appellant but the respondent owed duty of care towards the appellant based on the neighbour principle where the respondent must ensure his neighbours, i.e., the consumer will not suffer injuries when the consumer consumed his product

BREACH OF DUTY OF CARE

Upon the establishment of the duty of care, next, the plaintiff is required to prove that the defendant has breach the duty of care. How is the plaintiff able to determine whether the defendant has been in breach the duty of care? The test of a reasonable man is the answer. At this stage, the plaintiff is required to prove to the court of law that the defendant's acts or omissions falls below the standard of care of a reasonable man.

In the case of *Glasgow Corporation v Muir* in 1943 AC 448, Lord Macmillan defined a reasonable man as an ordinary competent man exercising that particular act. In the case of a medical man, negligence means failure to act in accordance with the standard of reasonably competent medical men at the time. There may be one or more perfectly proper standards and if conforms to one of these proper standards then he is not negligence.

CAUSATION

The third essential element under the law of negligence is that there is damage caused by the defendant and it is due to the defendant's breach of duty. Federal Court Judge, the Honourable Raja Azlan Shah declared in the case of *Government of Malaysia and Ors v Jumaat Mahmud and Anor* in 1977 2 MLJ 103:

Must be commensurate with her opportunity and ability to protect the pupil from dangers that are known. It is not a duty of insurance against harm but only a duty to take reasonable care for safety of the pupil. The sole question is a question of causation the injury in fact caused by wrongful act of the teacher. It cannot be said that it was reasonably foreseeable

It is clear from Raja Azlan Shah FCJ judgment that:

- The plaintiff is required to prove that the damage, injury and/or risk was foreseeable
- The plaintiff is also required to prove that the defendant has failed to take reasonable approaches to prevent plaintiff's injury and/or damage
- If the plaintiff is able to prove the above mentioned matters he has established the existence of the essential element under the law of negligence, i.e., there is damage caused by the defendant and it is due to the defendants breach of duty

In addition, the court of law in general will use a test that is known as but for test in order to determine whether the damage was caused by the defendant's breach of duty. In the case of *JEB Fasteners Ltd., v Marks Bloom and Co.* In 1983 1 All ER 538:

- Parties involved as; plaintiffs JEB Fasteners Ltd., Defendants Marks Bloom and Co.
- The facts of the case; in this case where the plaintiffs took legal action against the defendants on the ground that the defendants were negligently in preparing a report on a company that caused damage and loss to the plaintiffs who had planned to take over the above mentioned company
- The court had used the but for test in the case. The court held that it was clearly shown that the plaintiffs were going to take over the above-said company anyway therefore the defendants negligence even if proven it did not cause of plaintiffs any damage and loss

A REASONABLY CLOSE CONNECTION BETWEEN THE DAMAGE AND THE BREACH OF DUTY

A reasonably close connection between the damage and the breach of duty is the final element under the law of negligence. The test for the above-said element is based on *The Wagon Mound (No. 1)* in 1961 AC 388. In this case where the defendant used a vessel on which the defendant had negligently spilled a quantity of oil while

stopping at the Sydney Harbour and subsequently the oil flowed to the docks where ships were under repairs. Only after 60 h from the spill, it caused fire and subsequently the fire caused damage to the docks where the ships were under repairs. At the Supreme Court of New South Wales the Court gave decision in favour of the plaintiff (The owner of the dock) on the ground that the damage was the direct result of the defendant's action. On appeal to the Privy Council, it was held that the plaintiff must produce the evidences to the court that the type or kind of damage that he suffered must be foreseeable in order to recover damages. Unfortunately, the plaintiff failed to prove that the damage by fire was not foreseeable because only after 60 h from the spill, it caused fire. Therefore, the Privy Council gave decision in favour of the defendant.

CONCLUSION

Based on discussion, in order for an individual to take action under the law of negligence towards environment awareness and sustainability, the individual is required to prove to the court of law the existence of duty of care in his legal action. He must also prove that there is a breach of the above said duty and damage caused by the above said breach of duty and lastly, there is a reasonably close connection between the damage and the breach of duty.

In addition, there are advantages and disadvantages associated with an action under law of negligence. The advantages are firstly there is no need to prove that the injured party (the plaintiff) and the party that caused the injury (the defendant) have a privity of contract and secondly there is no requirement to demonstrate loss by other members of the public (Wolf and White, 1995).

As for the disadvantages, firstly the courts have shown reluctance and refusal to award damages based on pure economic loss. Therefore must be on personal injury or damage. Secondly, under the law of negligence the burden of proof is great which caused difficulty for the injured party (The plaintiff) to prove a causal link between the defendants actions and damage suffered by the plaintiff (Wolf and White, 1995).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Part of this study was conducted by using the research funding of the UKM-XX-05-FRGS0087-2010 study.

REFERENCES

Beatrix, V. and M.A. Wu, 1991. *The Commercial Law of Malaysia*. Longman, Petaling Jaya.

- Buang, S., 1990. *Law of Negligence in Malaysia*. Central Law Book Publications, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
- Emrizal and M.R. Razman, 2010. The study on international environmental law and governance: Focusing on the montreal protocol and the role of transboundary liability principle. *Soc. Sci.*, 5: 219-223.
- Gardner, G.T. and P.C. Stern, 2002. *Environmental Problems and Human Behavior*. 2nd Edn., Pearson Publisher, Boston, USA.
- Kollmuss, J. and J. Agyeman, 2002. Mind the gap: Why do people act environmentally and what are the barriers to pro-environmental behavior?. *Environ. Educ. Res.*, 8: 239-260.
- Lee, M.P., 1998. *General Principles of Malaysian Law*. Penerbit Fajar Bakti, Shah Alam.
- Mensah, C., 1996. The United Nations Commission on Sustainable Development. In: *Greening International Institutions*, Werksman, J. (Ed.). Earthscan, London, pp: 21-37.
- Miller, G.T., 1993. *Environmental Science: Sustaining the Earth*. Wadsworth Publishing Company, Belmont, CA., pp: 478.
- Nickerson, R.S., 2003. *Psychology and Environmental Change*. Lawrence Erlbaum, London..
- Razman, M.R. and A. Azlan, 2009. Safety issues related to Polychlorinated Dibenzo-p-Dioxins (PCDDs) and Polychlorinated Dibenzofurans (PCDFs) in fish and shellfish in relation with current Malaysian laws. *J. Food Agric. Environ.*, 7: 134-138.
- Razman, M.R., A. Azlan, J.M. Jahi, K. Arifin, K. Aiyub, A. Awang and Z.M. Lukman, 2010. Consumer protection on food and environmental safety based on statutory implied terms in Malaysian sale of goods law: Focusing on urban sustainability. *Int. Bus. Manage.*, 4: 134-138.
- Razman, M.R., A. Azlan, J.M. Jahi, K. Arifin, K. Aiyub, A. Awang and Z.M. Lukman, 2010. Urban sustainability and Malaysian laws on environmental management of chemical substances. *Res. J. Applied Sci.*, 5: 172-176.
- Razman, M.R., A.S. Hadi, J.M. Jahi, A.H.H. Shah and A.F. Mohamed *et al.*, 2009. The international law mechanisms to protect human habitat and environment: Focusing on the principle of transboundary liability. *Int. Bus. Manage.*, 3: 43-46.
- Razman, M.R., A.S. Hadi, J.M. Jahi, A.H.H. Shah, S. Sani and G. Yusoff, 2009. A study on negotiations of the montreal protocol: Focusing on global environmental governance specifically on global forum of the United Nations environmental programme. *J. Food Agric. Environ.*, 7: 832-836.

- Razman, M.R., A.S. Hadi, J.M. Jahi, A.H.H. Shah, S. Sani and G. Yusoff, 2010. A study on the precautionary principle by using interest approach in the negotiations of the montreal protocol focusing on international environmental governance and law. *J. Food Agric. Environ.*, 8: 372-377.
- Razman, M.R., A.S. Hadi, J.M. Jahi, K. Arifin and K. Aiyub *et al.*, 2009. The legal approach on occupational safety, health and environmental management: Focusing on the law of private nuisance and International Labour Organisation (ILO) decent work agenda. *Int. Bus. Manage.*, 3: 47-53.
- Razman, M.R.B. and B.A.S. Syahirah, 2001. *Malaysian Legal System: A Basic Guide*. McGraw Hill, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
- Rogers, W.V.H., 1989. *Winfield and Jolowicz on Tort*. Sweet and Maxwell, London.
- Sands, P., 1995. *Principles of International Environmental Law I: Frameworks, Standards and Implementation*. Manchester University Press, Manchester, UK., ISBN-13: 9780719034831, Pages: 773.
- Sands, P., 2003. *Principles of International Environmental Law*. 2nd Edn., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK., ISBN: 0-521-81794-3, 0-521-52106-8.
- Schultz, P.W., C. Shriver, J.J. Tabanico and A.M. Khazian, 2004. Implicit connections with nature. *J. Environ. Psychol.*, 24: 31-42.
- Sulaiman, A. and M.R. Razman, 2010. A comparative study on the international and islamic law: Focusing on the transboundary liability and trespass for better living environment in urban region. *Social Sci.*, 5: 213-218.
- Wolf, S. and A. White, 1995. *Environmental law*. Cavendish Publishing Limited, London.
- Zakaria, S.Z.S., 2011. Science education in primary school towards environmental sustainability. *Res. J. Applied Sci.*, 6: 330-334.