



A Comparative Study of Cyanoacrylate Glue and Prolene Suture for Mesh Hernioplasty in Inguinal Hernia Patients

¹H. Noorul Ayesha and ²Soundarajan

^{1,2}Department of General Surgery Sree Mookambika Institute of Medical Sciences College Kanyakumari, Tamil Nadu, India

OPEN ACCESS

Key Words

Inguinal hernia, hernioplasty

Corresponding Author

H. Noorul Ayesha,
Department of General Surgery
Sree Mookambika Institute of
Medical Sciences College
Kanyakumari, Tamil Nadu, India

Author Designation

¹Junior Resident

²HOD and Professor

Received: 10th May 2025

Accepted: 25th June 2025

Published: 01st July 2025

Citation: H. Noorul Ayesha and Soundarajan, 2025. A Comparative Study of Cyanoacrylate Glue and Prolene Suture for Mesh Hernioplasty in Inguinal Hernia Patients. Res. J. Med. Sci., 19: 133-139, doi: 10.36478/makrjms.2025.4.133.139

Copy Right: MAK HILL Publications

Abstract

Hernias are one of the most common problems seen in the surgical OPD. The most common type of hernia is an inguinal hernia, which makes up 75% of all abdominal hernias. Both men and women can get inguinal hernias, and the lifetime risk is 27% for men and 3% for women. Inguinal hernia affects people of all ages, but the incidence rises with age. In women, inguinal hernias occur at a later age, with the peak age of presentation being 40 to 60 years, unlike that of men, which is 10 years earlier. Cyanoacrylate showed a lower seroma rate and similar collagenisation to sutures (21). The chemical reaction that causes the curing of instant adhesives is referred to as an anionic polymerization, i.e., it causes a reaction to progress rapidly, with polymerization and curing taking place within seconds. The study was conducted at the general surgery department of sree mookambika medical college and hospital. This study was conducted between the duration of one year from January 2023 to February 2023. The comparative study was done between two groups on the use of cyanoacrylate glue and prolene suture for mesh fixation in mesh inguinal hernioplasty. The study included 60 patients who were divided into two groups of 30 patients each using a non-random convenient sampling technique. In our study, we found that the mean operative time to fix mesh in Group A was 2.23±1.28 minutes, while the mean operative time in Group B was 7.20±1.03 minutes. The difference between the mean operative time to fix mesh in both groups was found to be significant with a p value of 0.001. Techniques for inguinal mesh hernioplasty employing prolene mesh fixation with cyanoacrylate glue and prolene suture no. 3 produce results that are equivalent. However the usage of Cyanoacrylate adhesive in the mesh fixation showed promising results in terms of operating time, post-operative pain, and hospital stay.

INTRODUCTION

Hernia is an abnormal protrusion of whole or part of a viscus or tissue through normal or abnormal opening in the walls of its containing cavity^[1]. The term "hernia" is derived from the Greek word "hernios", meaning "bud or offshoot," and the Latin word "Sacci hernialis", meaning "rupture". The earliest record of inguinal hernia dates back to the ancient Egyptians, Phoenicians, and Greeks^[2]. The writings of the Roman physician Celsus are some of the earliest accounts of the hernia and its repair, dating back to the first century, and contain more scientific information with details and complete descriptions^[3].

Hernias are one of the most common problems seen in the surgical OPD. The most common type of hernia is an inguinal hernia, which makes up 75% of all abdominal hernias^[4, 5]. Both men and women can get inguinal hernias, and the lifetime risk is 27% for men and 3% for women^[4]. Inguinal hernia affects people of all ages, but the incidence rises with age. In women, inguinal hernias occur at a later age, with the peak age of presentation being 40 to 60 years, unlike that of men, which is 10 years earlier^[5, 6]. Inguinal hernias are twice as common on the right side as they are on the left. This could be because the right testicle comes down later and leaves the processus vaginalis open. Bilateral inguinal hernias affect up to 20% of adults^[7, 8].

Inguinal hernias are classified as (a) direct or medial, (b) indirect or lateral, depending on their relationship to the inferior epigastric vessels. A direct inguinal hernia is less common and usually occurs in men over 40 years of age^[9]. Indirect hernias follow the same route as the descending testes, which migrate from the abdomen into the scrotum during development. The larger size of their inguinal canal and deep ring, which transmitted the testicle and accommodated the structures of the spermatic cord, might be one reason why men are many times more likely to have an inguinal hernia than women^[10].

Surgical methods for inguinal hernia repairs include tension-free mesh repairs, tension-free suture repairs, and the older method of tension suture repairs. Tension- or tissue-based repairs (such as Bassini, Cooper, McVay, and Shouldice repairs) were commonly performed surgeries throughout the majority of the 1980s^[11]. All the repairs, namely Bassini's, Shouldice's, and McVay's, regardless of modifications, have shared a common disadvantage of suture line tension leading to recurrences^[12]. Usher introduced the idea of using a mesh in 1958 to reduce recurrence after hernia surgery. Lichtenstein tension-free hernia repair is the most commonly used technique due to its cost effectiveness, low recurrence rate, and better patient satisfaction^[13]. Lichtenstein repair involves suturing the prosthetic mesh to the posterior inguinal wall with a non-absorbable prolene suture^[14].

Surgical Adhesives are becoming more important in clinical settings because they reduce the risk of needle-stick injuries to surgeons, shorten surgery times, reduce patient blood loss, reduce surgical complications and infections, and don't need removal after surgery^[15]. An adhesive exhibits characteristics that allow for in situ polymerization, making it adhere tissue-to-tissue or tissue-to-non-tissue surfaces^[16]. The tissue adhesives used specifically in hernia repair have been fibrins (of biological origin) and cyanoacrylates (of synthetic origin)^[17]. There has been a renewed interest in tissue adhesives because of the benefits of using them and the fact that their uses have grown in the field of surgery. For example, tissue adhesives are used to fix prosthetic materials in hernia surgery^[18]. Their use has been reported to result in better comfort and less postoperative pain after hernioplasty using tissue adhesives to fix the mesh^[19].

Cyanoacrylate adhesives were first used for wound closure in 1959 and are now becoming a common treatment choice in many accident and emergency situations^[20]. Cyanoacrylates have the same tensile strength as absorbable sutures for closing wounds in the skin, and they can adhere to most tissue surfaces^[21]. Cyanoacrylate showed a lower seroma rate and similar collagenisation to sutures^[21]. The chemical reaction that causes the curing of instant adhesives is referred to as an anionic polymerization, i.e., it causes a reaction to progress rapidly, with polymerization and curing taking place within seconds.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted at the general surgery department of sree mookambika medical college and hospital. This study was conducted between the duration of one year from January 2023 to February 2023. The comparative study was done between two groups on the use of cyanoacrylate glue and prolene suture for mesh fixation in mesh inguinal hernioplasty. The study included 60 patients who were divided into two groups of 30 patients each using a non-random convenient sampling technique.

Group A (n = 30)


Patients who underwent mesh hernioplasty with cyanoacrylate glue

Group B (n = 30)

Patients who underwent mesh hernioplasty with prolene sutures

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The results of the study are as follows: Table 1 shows the distribution of patients according to age group. In the present study, the majority of the patients, i.e., 21



Graph 1: Distribution of patients according to any previous surgery

(35.0%), belonged to the age group 51–60 years. The mean age of the study group A was 45.30 ± 12.42 years, and the mean age of the study group B was 46.07 ± 10.93 years. Both groups were comparable to each other in terms of age distribution.

Table 2 shows the distribution of the patients according to gender. In the present study, there were 60 (100%) male participants and no female participants, indicating a male predominance.

Table 3 shows the distribution of patients according to the side of presentation of the inguinal hernia. In Group A, there were 13 (43.3%) cases of right-sided hernias and 14 (46.7%) cases of left-sided hernias. In Group B, right and left-sided hernias were equally involved, with 14 (46.7%) cases each. 14 (46.7%) cases each of right- and left-sided hernias were present in Group B. There was no significant difference seen between the side distributions among either group.

Table 4 shows the distribution of patients according to the type of inguinal hernia. In the present study, both groups had the maximum number of patients, i.e., 39 (65%) with indirect inguinal hernias. In group A, 21 (70%) patients had an indirect inguinal hernia, and 7 (23.3%) patients had a direct inguinal hernia. In group B, 17 (56.7%) patients had an indirect inguinal hernia, and 11 (36.7%) patients had a direct inguinal hernia. There was no significant difference seen between the distributions among the two groups.

Table 5 shows the distribution of patients according to demographic area. In the present study, the maximum number of patients belonged to the rural area (66.7%). In Group A, 21 (70%) patients, and in Group B, 19 (63.3%) patients were from rural areas.

There was no significant difference in the distribution of the patients according to demographic area.

Table 6 shows the patient distribution based on their presenting symptoms. In the current study, the greatest number of patients (60.0%) presented with symptoms of swelling in the inguinoscrotal region. Swelling in the inguinoscrotal region was present in 18 (60%) of Group A patients and 18 (60%) of Group B patients. There was no significant difference between groups according to presenting symptoms.

Table 7 shows the distribution of patients according to risk factors for hernia. In the current study, the majority of patients, 51 (86.7%), had no significant risk factors for developing an inguinal hernia. Some risk factors discovered in other study participants, such as BPH, COPD, and constipation, were statistically insignificant.

Graph 1 shows the distribution of patients according to any previous surgery. In the present study, the majority of patients had no history of previous surgery in both groups, i.e., 50 (83.3%). 28 (93.3%) patients in Group A and 22 (73.30%) patients in Group B had no history of any previous surgery. This difference was statistically not significant.

Table 8 shows the distribution of the patients according to the content of the inguinal hernia sac. The maximum number of cases, 42 (70%), had omentum as hernia sac content. Omentum was present in the hernia sac in 24 (80%) of group A patients and 18 (60%) of group B patients. The difference was statistically not significant.

Table 9 shows the distribution of patients according to the operative time of mesh fixation. It was found that the mean operative time of mesh fixation in

Table 1: Distribution of patients according to age group

Age (Years)	Group A (Cyanoacrylate glue) (n=30)		Group B (Prolene Suture) (n=30)		Total		Chi- square value	p- value
	No.	%age	No.	%age	No.	%age		
21-30	4	13.3%	4	13.3%	8	13.3%	1.66	0.645
31-40	7	23.3%	4	13.3%	11	18.3%		
41-50	8	26.7%	12	40.0%	20	33.3%		
51-60	11	36.7%	10	33.3%	21	35.0%		
Total	30	100.0%	30	100.0%	60			
Mean age \pm SD	45.30 \pm 12.42		46.07 \pm 10.93					

Table 2: Distribution of patients according to gender

Gender	Group A (Cyanoacrylate glue) (n=30)		Group B (Prolene Suture) (n=30)		Total			
	No.	%age	No.	%age	No.	%age		
M	30	100.0%	30	100.0%	60	100.0%		
Total	30	100.0%	30	100.0%	60	100.0%		

Table 3: Distribution of patients according to side of presentation of inguinal hernia

Side	Group A (Cyanoacrylate glue) (n=30)		Group B Prolene Suture) (n=30)		Total		Chi- square value	p- value
	No.	%age	No.	%age	No.	%age		
Bilateral	3	10.0%	2	6.7%	5	8.3%	0.237	0.888
Left	14	46.7%	14	46.7%	28	46.7 %		
Right	13	43.3%	14	46.7%	27	45.0%		
Total	30	100.0%	30	100.0%	60	100.0%		

Table 4: Distribution of patients according to type of inguinal hernia

Type of hernia	Group A (Cyanoacrylate glue) (n=30)		Group B Prolene Suture) (n=30)		Total		Chi- square value	p- value
	No.	%age	No.	%age	No.	%age		
irect Inguinal Hernia (DIH)	7	23.3%	11	36.7%	18	30.0%	1.863	0.394
irect Inguinal Hernia (IIH)	21	70.0%	17	56.7%	39	65.0%		
Pantaloon Hernia	1	3.3%	2	6.7%	3	5.0%		
Total	30	100.0%	30	100.0%	60	100.0%		

Table 5: Distribution of patients according to demographic area

graphic Area	Group A (Cyanoacrylate glue) (n=30)		Group B Prolene Suture) (n=30)		Total		Chi- Square value	P value
	No.	%age	No.	%age	No.	%age		
Rural	21	70.0%	19	63.3%	40	66.7%	0.300	0.584
Urban	9	30.0%	11	36.7%	20	33.3%		
Total	30	100.0%	30	100.0%	60	100.0%		

Table 6: Distribution of patients according to presenting symptoms

Presenting Symptoms	Group A (Cyanoacrylate glue) (n=30)		Group B Prolene Suture) (n=30)		Total		Chi- square value	p- value
	No.	%age	No.	%age	No.	%age		
Swelling	18	60.0%	18	60.0%	36	60.0%	0.000	1.000
Swelling, Pain	12	40.0%	12	40.0%	24	40.0%		
Total	30	100.0%	30	100.0%	60	100.0%		

Table 7: Distribution of patients according to risk factors for inguinal hernia

Risk Factors	Group A (Cyanoacrylate glue) (n=30)		Group B (Prolene Suture) (n=30)		Total		Chi- square value	p-value
	No.	% age	No.	%age	No.	%age		
BPH	4	13.30%	2	6.70%	6	10.0%	3.686	0.45
Chronic Cough	1	3.30%	0	0.00%	1	1.7%		
Constipation	0	0.00%	1	3.30%	1	1.7%		
COPD	0	0.00%	1	3.30%	1	1.7%		
Nil	25	83.30%	26	86.70%	51	85.0%		
otal	30	100.00%	30	100.00%	60	100.0%		

Table 8: Distribution of patients according to content of inguinal hernia sac

Content of inguinal Hernia Sac	Group A (Cyanoacrylate glue) (n=30)		Group B Prolene Suture) (n=30)		Total		Chi- square value	p- value
	No.	%age	No.	%age	No.	%age		
Bowel	2	6.7%	5	16.7%	7	11.0%	9.943	0.269
Empty	0	0.0%	1	3.3%	1	1.7%		
Fat	1	3.3%	0	0.0%	1	1.7%		
Fluid	1	3.3%	0	0.0%	1	1.7%		
Omentumand Fluid	0	0.0%	1	3.3%	1	1.7%		
Omentum	24	80.0%	18	60.0%	42	70.0%		
Omentum and Bowel	1	3.3%	4	13.3%	5	8.3%		
Omentun	1	3.3%	0	0.0%	1	1.7%		
Urinary Bladder	0	0.0%	1	3.3%	1	1.7%		
Total	30	100.0%	30	100.0%	60	100.0%		

Table 9: Distribution of patients according to operative time of mesh fixation

Operation Time of mesh fixation (Minutes)	Group A (Cyanoacrylate glue) (n=30)		Group B (Prolene Suture) (n=30)		t	p- value
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD		
	2.23	1.28	7.20	1.03	-16.570	0.001

Table 10: Distribution of patients according to early post operative complications

Early Post operative Complications	Group A (Cyanoacrylate glue) (n=30)		Group B (Prolene Suture) (n=30)		Total	Chi- Square Value	P value
	No.	% age	No.	% age	No.	%age	
Urinary retention	3	10.00%	3	10.00%	6	0	1
Seroma / Hematoma	1	3.30%	2	6.70%	3	0.351	0.554
Scrotal Swelling	1	3.30%	0	0.00%	1	1.017	0.313
Wound Infection	0	0.00%	1	3.30%	1	1.017	0.313
Mesh Infection	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0	0
Nil	25	83.33%	24	80.00%	49	81.67%	

Group A was 2.23 \pm 1.28 minutes, which was less than the mean operative time of mesh fixation in Group B, i.e., 7.20 \pm 1.03 minutes. With a p-value of 0.001, this difference was statistically significant.

Table 10 shows the distribution of patients according to early post-operative complications. In the present study, the majority of patients did not experience any early post-operative complication, i.e., 49 (81.67%). Three (10%) patients in Group A and three (10%) patients in Group B experienced urinary retention. One patient in Group A was found to have scrotal swelling. Seroma developed in one (3.3%) patient in group A and in two (6.7%) patients in group B. A wound infection affected one patient in group B. All the findings were statistically not significant. All the complications were managed conservatively in both groups. The results were all statistically insignificant.

Inguinal hernia repair is one of the most common procedures in general surgical practices, and different types of repair have been described. In 1889, Bassini came up with the idea of "triple layer" tissue repair for inguinal hernias, which was associated with recurrence. In 1986, Lichtenstein described a tension-free inguinal hernia repair with mesh. This is now the most common open technique for fixing an inguinal hernia, and it has been shown to have less pain after surgery and a lower rate of recurrence than tissue-based hernia repair^[31].

One of the most common problems with herniorrhaphy is inguinodynia, which is pain that persists beyond three months after surgery for an inguinal hernia. Inguinodynia is caused by many factors, and studies have shown that it is related to how the surgery was done and to intrinsic factors in the patient that imply a greater predisposition to this phenomenon^[32]. Sutureless fixation of mesh in open inguinal hernia repair is being used to prevent inguinodynia after hernia surgery. Farouk *et al.* (1996) wrote the first papers about using a synthetic tissue adhesive in hernia surgery. So we conducted this study to compare the outcomes between mesh fixation with cyanoacrylate glue and mesh fixation with prolene suture.

In the present study, the majority of the patients belonged to the age group 51-60 years, which included 21 (35%) patients. The mean age of the patients in Group A, who underwent mesh fixation by cyanoacrylate glue was 45 \pm 12.42 years, and the mean age of the patients in Group B who underwent mesh fixation by prolene suture was 46 \pm 10.93 years. Both groups were comparable to each other. In the study by Tebala *et al.* (2015), the mean age of patients in the suture fixation group was 42.4 \pm 12.0 years, and the mean age of patients in the glue fixation group was 47.6 \pm 12.3 years^[24]. In a study by Fouda *et al.* (2020), the mean age of patients in the group with glue fixation was 48.2 \pm 12.1 years, and the mean age of patients in the suture group was 49 \pm 11.6 years^[29].

In this study, both groups had only male patients. There were 60 male patients and no female patients. In a study by Fouda *et al.* (2020), the glue group included 19 (95% male) and 1 (5% female) patients, while the suture group included 20 (100% male) patients^[29]. Kalwaniya DS *et al.* (2020) did a study in which the male to female ratio was 29:1 in both the suture and glue groups (100%).

The majority of patients in this study had left-sided inguinal hernias. Group A had 14 (46.7%) patients with a left-sided inguinal hernia and 13 (43.3%) patients with a right-sided hernia. In Group B, both right and left side hernias were present in 14 (46.7%) patients each. Arafa AS *et al.* (2019) did a study in which left-sided hernias were presented in both groups, with 63 (78.8%) patients in the glue group and 52 (65%) patients in the suture group^[28].

In the present study, indirect inguinal hernia was a common presentation. In group A, 21 (70%) patients had an indirect inguinal hernia, and 7 (23.3%) patients had a direct inguinal hernia. In group B, 17 (56.7%) patients had an indirect inguinal hernia, and 11 (36.7%) patients had a direct inguinal hernia. Bilateral hernias were present in both groups, with one patient (3.3%) in group A and two (6.7%) in group B. A study by Jaiswal *et al.* (2018) had 11 (35.5%) patients with direct-type hernias and 20 (64.5%) patients with indirect-type hernias (93). Fouda *et al.* (2020) did a

study in which indirect hernia cases were presented in both groups, with 49 (81.67%) patients in the glue group and 47 (78.33%) patients in the suture group^[30,33].

In the current study, the most common type of hernia sac content (in 70% of cases) was omentum. It was present in 24 (80%) patients in group A and in 18 (60%) patients in group B. Bowel as the content of the hernia sac was present in 2 (6.7%) cases in group A and 7 (11.1%) cases in group B.

In our study, we found that the mean operative time to fix mesh in Group A was 2.23±1.28 minutes, while the mean operative time in Group B was 7.20±1.03 minutes. The difference between the mean operative time to fix mesh in both groups was found to be significant with a p value of 0.001. It was noted in our study that mesh fixation was easy and quick with cyanoacrylate glue. In a study by de Goede et al. (2013), the duration of operation was found to be shorter in mesh fixation with glue). A study by Moreno-Egea A *et al.* (2014) found that the use of glue significantly reduced the mean surgical time with a p value of 0.001^[23]. In a meta-analysis done by Sun et al. (2017), it was found that mesh fixation with glue was superior to suture regarding duration of the operation^[25]. In a study by Jeyakumar *et al.* (2018), an average difference of 10.8 minutes was seen between the two methods of mesh fixation, with a comparatively longer time to complete the procedure when sutures were used. With p = 0.009, this difference was found to be statistically significant^[26]. Iyanahally *et al.* (2018) did a study in which the mean operating time required for the glue fixation was 36.52±3.1 minutes and the suture fixation was 48.32±5.9 minutes. There was a statistically significant difference between the two procedures for the mean operating time required, with a p value of 0.001^[27].

CONCLUSION

Techniques for inguinal mesh hernioplasty employing prolene mesh fixation with cyanoacrylate glue and prolene suture no. 3 produce results that are equivalent. However the usage of Cyanoacrylate adhesive in the mesh fixation showed promising results in terms of operating time, post-operative pain, and hospital stay.

REFERENCES

1. Townsend CM, Beauchamp RD, Evers BM, Mattox KL. Sabiston textbook of surgery. Elsevier Health Sciences; 2016.
2. Lau WY. History of treatment of groin hernia. World journal of surgery. 2002, 26:748-59.
3. Papavramidou NS, Christopoulou-Aletras H. Treatment of "hernia" in the writings of Celsus (first century AD). World journal of surgery. 2005, 29:1343-1347.
4. Brunicaudi F, Andersen DK, Billiar TR, Dunn DL, Kao LS, Hunter JG, Matthews JB, Pollock RE. eds. Schwartz's Principles of Surgery, 11e. 2019:1599-1624.
5. Burcharth J, Pedersen M, Bisgaard T, Pedersen C, Rosenberg J. Nationwide prevalence of groin hernia repair. PloS one. 2013, 8:e54367.
6. Pandya B, Huda T, Gupta D, Mehra B, Narang R. Abdominal wall hernias: An epidemiological profile and surgical experience from a rural medical college in central India. The Surgery Journal. 2021, 7:e41-46.
7. Zendejas B, Ramirez T, Jones T, Kuchena A, Ali SM, Hernandez-Irizarry R, Lohse CM, Farley DR. Incidence of inguinal hernia repairs in Olmsted County, MN: a population-based study. Annals of surgery. 2013, 257: 520.
8. He J, Xu YJ, Sun P, Wang J, Yang CG. The incidence and analysis of ipsilateral occult hernia in patients undergoing hernia repair: a single institution retrospective study of 1066 patients. BMC surgery. 2021, 21: 1-8.
9. Miserez M, Alexandre JH, Campanelli G, Corcione F, Cuccurullo D, Pascual MH, Hoferlin A, Kingsnorth AN, Mandala V, Palot JP, Schumpelick V. The European hernia society groin hernia classification: simple and easy to remember. Hernia. 2008, 12: 335.
10. Bax T, Sheppard BC, Crass RA. Surgical options in the management of groin hernias. American family physician. 1999, 59:143.
11. Amid PK. Groin hernia repair: open techniques. World journal of surgery. 2005, 29:1046-1051.
12. Grant A. Open mesh versus non-mesh repair of groin hernia meta- analysis of randomized trials leased on individual patient data: The EU Hernia Trialists Collaboration. Hernia. 2002, 6:130-136.
13. Corbitt JD Jr. Laparoscopic herniorrhaphy. Surg Laparosc Endosc. 1991, 1:23-25.
14. Chen DC, Amid PK. Prevention of inguinodynia: the need for continuous refinement and quality improvement in inguinal hernia repair. World journal of surgery. 2014, 38:2571-2573.
15. Kukleta JF, Freytag C, Weber M. Efficiency and safety of mesh fixation in laparoscopic inguinal hernia repair using n-butyl cyanoacrylate: long-term biocompatibility in over 1,300 mesh fixations. Hernia. 2012, 16:153-162.
16. Sanders DL, Waydia S. A systematic review of randomised control trials assessing mesh fixation in open inguinal hernia repair. Hernia. 2014, 18:165-176.
17. Scognamiglio F, Travan A, Rustighi I, Tarchi P, Palmisano S, Marsich E, Borgogna M, Donati I, de Manzini N, Paoletti S. Adhesive and sealant interfaces for general surgery applications. Journal of Biomedical Materials Research Part B: Applied Biomaterials. 2016, 104:626-639.

18. de Goede B, Klitsie PJ, Van Kempen BJ, Timmermans L, Jeekel J, Kazemier G, Lange JF. Meta-analysis of glue versus sutured mesh fixation for Lichtenstein inguinal hernia repair. *Journal of British Surgery*. 2013, 100: 735-742.
19. Singer AJ, Quinn JV, Hollander JE. The cyanoacrylate topical skin adhesives. *The American journal of emergency medicine*. 2008, 26:490-496.
20. Helbling C, Schlumpf R. Sutureless Lichtenstein: first results of a prospective randomised clinical trial. *Hernia*. 2003, 7:80-84.
21. Leggat PA, Smith DR, Kedjarune U. Surgical applications of cyanoacrylate adhesives: a review of toxicity. *ANZ journal of surgery*. 2007, 77:209-213.
22. de Goede B, Klitsie PJ, Van Kempen BJ, Timmermans L, Jeekel J, Kazemier G, Lange JF. Meta-analysis of glue versus sutured mesh fixation for Lichtenstein inguinal hernia repair. *Journal of British Surgery*. 2013, 100:735-742.
23. Moreno-Egea A. Is it possible to eliminate sutures in open (Lichtenstein technique) and laparoscopic (totally extraperitoneal endoscopic) inguinal hernia repair? A randomized controlled trial with tissue adhesive (n- hexyl-a- cyanoacrylate). *Surgical innovation*. 2014, 21:590-599.
24. Tebala GD, Tognoni V, Tristram Z, Macciocchi F, Innocenti P. Cyanoacrylate glue versus suture fixation of mesh in inguinal hernia open repair: a randomized controlled clinical trial. *Gastroenterol Hepatol Open Access*. 2015, 2:00054.
25. Sun P, Cheng X, Deng S, Hu Q, Sun Y, Zheng Q. Mesh fixation with glue versus suture for chronic pain and recurrence in Lichtenstein inguinal hernioplasty. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2017, 7: CD010814.
26. Jeyakumar S, Chitrabalam TG, Chandrasekaran S. Glue versus suture for mesh fixation in open inguinal hernia repair. *International Surgery Journal*. 2018, 5:1443-1448.
27. Iyanahally A, Ramesh AG. Comparative study of mean operating time and hospital stay in sutures and cyanoacrylate glue mesh fixation in inguinal hernia repair. *International Surgery Journal*. 2018, 5:1271-1274.
28. Arafa AS, Khairy MM, Rushdy T, Gomaa MA. Cyanoacrylate glue mesh fixation versus suture mesh fixation in Lichtenstein inguinal hernia repair. *The Egyptian Journal of Surgery*. 2019, 38:471-477.
29. Fouda E, Thabet W, Elsaid M, Emile SH, Elbaz SA. A randomized clinical trial of mesh fixation with cyanoacrylate glue compared to sutures in inguinal hernia repair. *International Journal of Abdominal Wall and Hernia Surgery*. 2020,3:56.
30. Kalwaniya DS, Arya SV, Gupta S, Kuppuswamy M, Bajwa JS, Paruthi SB, Mahadevan R, Choudhary R, Monish R, Singla N. A comparative prospective randomised controlled study for mesh fixation by cyanoacrylate glue versus prolene sutures in patients undergoing Lichtenstein hernioplasty. *International Surgery Journal*. 2020, 7:83-89.
31. Sachs M, Encke A. Die Reparationsverfahren der Leistenhernien- Chirurgie in ihrer historischen Entwicklung [Repair procedures in surgery of inguinal hernia in their historical evolution]. *Zentralbl Chir*, 118:780-787.
32. Bara BK, Mohanty SK, Behera SN, Sahoo AK, Agasti S, Patnaik S, Swain SK. Role of neurectomy in inguinodynia following hernioplasty: A randomized controlled trial. *Cureus*. 2021, 13.
33. Canonico S, Benevento R, Perna G, Guerniero R, Sciaudone G, Pellino G, Santoriello A, Selvaggi F. Sutureless fixation with fibrin glue of lightweight mesh in open inguinal hernia repair: effect on postoperative pain: a double- blind, randomized trial versus standard heavyweight mesh. *Surgery*. 2013, 153:126-130.