



OPEN ACCESS

Key Words

Peripheral neuropathy, type 1 diabetes mellitus, children

Corresponding Author

R.K.M. Pooja,
Department of Pediatrics, JJMMC,
Davangere, Karnataka, India

Author Designation

¹Consultant ^{2,3}Resident

Received: 10 August 2024 Accepted: 15 September 2024 Published: 30 September 2024

Citation: D. Gururaju, R.K.M. Pooja and R. Akhila, 2024. Correlates of Peripheral Neuropathy in Children with Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus. Res. J. Med. Sci., 18: 675-678, doi: 10.36478/makrjms.2024.9.675.678

Copy Right: MAK HILL Publications

Correlates of Peripheral Neuropathy in Children with Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus

¹D. Gururaju, ²R.K.M. Pooja and ³R. Akhila ¹Department of District Hospital, Chitradurga, Karnataka, India

²Department of Pediatrics, JJMMC, Davangere, Karnataka, India ³Department of Pathology, Basaveshwara Medical College, Chitradurga,

ABSTRACT

Karnataka, India

Peripheral neuropathy is one of the commonly encountered complications in children suffering from type 1 diabetes mellitus leading to significant morbidity in children in their growing years, contributing to reduce QALY. Timely intervention and prevention of such complication will not only reduce morbidity but also helps maintain better quality of life. The study design was approved by the institutional ethical committee. Informed written consent was obtained from parents/ care givers of children before enrollment. History, physical and neurological examination findings were recorded on pre structured and predesigned profroma. Children with Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus with the duration of disease being at least 5 years were included in the study and were assessed for eligibility. Mean age at onset of disease in group with normal NCV was 5.1 years with minimum and maximum age at onset being 1 and 12 years, respectively. Mean age at onset of disease in group with neuropathy was 6 years with minimum and maximum age at onset being 1 and 13 years, respectively.

INTRODUCTION

Estimated 97,700 children in India are afflicted by Type 1 Diabetes mellitus with increasing prevalence. World over, approximately 11, 06,500 children are living with type 1 diabetes mellitus according to World Health Organization report of the International Diabetes Federation for the South East Asian Region^[1]. Prevalence rates are expected to raise with easy access to insulin therapy and better survival rates owing to advancements in healthcare delivery and are responsible for a growing proportion of global health expenditure^[2]. Basic pathology in type 1 diabetes mellitus is inadequate Insulin release with consequent rise in blood sugars., if poorly controlled, incites cascade of immunological response leading to micro and macro-vascular complications like, neuropathy, retinopathy and nephropathy^[3]. Complications are expected earlier in patients with poor glycemic control and with increasing duration of illness. Peripheral neuropathy is one of the commonly encountered complications in children suffering from type 1 diabetes mellitus leading to significant morbidity in children in their growing years, contributing to reduce QALY. Timely intervention and prevention of such complication will not only reduce morbidity but also helps maintain better quality of life^[4]. Preventive strategy shall be based on screening by nerve conduction study and specific intervention by rehabilitation, better glycemic control and hence formulate a screening protocol. Nerve conduction study, is a non-invasive technique that helps diagnose neuropathy in sub-clinical stage, there-by guiding therapeutic interventions in the window period to prevent clinical neuropathy. Clinical examination and nerve conduction study was carried out in children with type 1 diabetes mellitus to establish prevalence rates of neuropathy as a primary outcome measure.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Children with Type 1 diabetes mellitus (with duration >5 years) attending diabetic clinic were enrolled into the study. The study design was approved by the institutional ethical committee. Informed written consent was obtained from parents/care givers of children before enrollment. History, physical and neurological examination findings were recorded on pre structured and predesigned profroma. Children with Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus with the duration of disease being at least 5 years were included in the study and were assessed for eligibility.

Nerve Conduction Study: NCS was performed after explaining the procedure to the parents and the child. It was done by using standard "Allengers Scorpio Electromyography machine". Stimulating electrode was placed on the nerve surface and the response was recorded by placing two electrodes G1 and G2. Median

and ulnar nerves from the upper limb and tibial, sural and peroneal nerve from the lower limb were selected. NCS was conducted by the same technician and reporting was done by the same Paediatric neurologist for all 95 patients. At the time of visit, samples for blood glucose, HbA1c, liver function test, urea and creatinine were sent. Along with this, all children were subjected for ophthalmological examination by experienced ophthalmologists to look for diabetic changes. HbA1c reports of previous one year were collected and mean was calculated and were designated, good control, fair control and poor control based on HbA1c range of ≤7.5, 7.6-9.9 and ≥10 respectively.

Inclusion Criteria: Children diagnosed with Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus with duration of illness >5 years enrolled in Diabetic clinic of Indira Gandhi Institute of Child Health.

Exclusion Criteria: Children with Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus with history and examination suggestive of other neuropathies.

- Malnutrition.
- Neuromuscular diseases.
- Connective tissue disorders.
- Prolonged hospitalization for any reason.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

| Table 1: Comparison of Mean Age Among Study Population | | | | | | | | |
|--|----|------|-------|--------|------|------|------------|-----------|
| NCV | N | Mean | SD | Median | Min. | Max. | 't' value* | 'p' value |
| Normal | 49 | 11.1 | 3.416 | 10.0 | 6 | 18 | 5.073 | 0.027 |
| Neuropathic | 46 | 12.6 | 3.367 | 13.0 | 7 | 18 | | |
| Total | 95 | 11.8 | 3.465 | 12.0 | 6 | 18 | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

Mean age of study population was 11.8 years. Mean age in neuropathic and normal group was 12.6 years and 11.1 years respectively, indicating advancing age as a risk factor (p-value-0.027).

Table 2: Age Wise Comparison Between Normal and Neuropathic Population

| | | Age | | | | |
|-------------|------------|-------------|-------------|--------|----------|---------|
| | | | | | | |
| | 6-10 Years | 10-15 Years | 15-18 Years | Total | 2 value* | p value |
| Normal | 25 | 17 | 7 | 49 | 11.275 | 0.004 |
| | 51.0% | 34.7% | 14.3% | 100.0% | | |
| Neuropathic | 13 | 20 | 13 | 46 | | |
| | 28.3% | 43.5% | 28.3% | 100.0% | | |
| Total | 38 | 37 | 20 | 95 | | |
| | 40.0% | 38.9% | 21.1% | 100.0% | | |

Of the 46 participants with neuropathy, 13 (28.3%), 20 (43.5%) and 13 (28.3%) belonged to age group of 6-10 years, 10-15 years and >15 years, respectively, reflecting higher prevalence rates as the age progressed with significant p-value of 0.004. Out of 49 participants with normal nerve conduction, 25 (51%) were between 6-10 years, 17 (34.7%) were between 10-15 years and 7 (14.3%) were above 15 years, showing reduced proportion with normal NCV as the age progressed (p-value-0.004).

| Table 3: Comparison of Mean Age of Onset Between Normal and Neuropathic Population | | | | | | | | |
|--|----|------|-------|--------|------|------|------------|-----------|
| NCV | N | Mean | SD | Median | Min. | Max. | 't' value* | 'p' value |
| Normal | 49 | 5.1 | 3.266 | 4.0 | 1 | 12 | 1.877 | 0.174 |
| Neuropathic | 46 | 6.0 | 3.432 | 6.3 | 1 | 13 | | |
| Total | 95 | 5.6 | 3.363 | 5.0 | 1 | 13 | | |

Mean age at onset of disease in group with normal NCV was 5.1 years with minimum and maximum age at onset being 1 and 12 years, respectively. Mean age at onset of disease in group with neuropathy was 6 years with minimum and maximum age at onset being 1 and 13 years, respectively.

Table 4: Age Wise Comparison of Duration of Illness between Normal and Neuropathic

| | | Duration of I | llness | | | |
|-------------|-----------|---------------|-----------|--------|----------|---------|
| | 5-9 years | 10-14 years | >14 years | Total | 2 value* | P value |
| Normal | 47 | 2 | 0 | 49 | 3.138 | 0.208 |
| | 95.9% | 4.1% | .0% | 100.0% | | |
| Neuropathic | 40 | 4 | 2 | 46 | | |
| • | 87.0% | 8.7% | 4.3% | 100.0% | | |
| Total | 87 | 6 | 2 | 95 | | |
| | 91.6% | 6.3% | 2.1% | 100.0% | | |

Out of 49 participants with normal NCS, 47 (95.9%) belong to duration of illness between 5-9 years and remaining 2 (4.1%) belong to duration of illness between 10-14 years. Out of 46 participants with neuropathy, 40 (87%) belong to duration of illness between 5-9years, 4 (8.7%) belong to duration of illness between 10-14 years and 2 (4.3%) belong to duration of illness >14 years.

Table 5: Distribution of Duration of Illness Among the Study Population

| Duration of illness | Normal | Neuropathy | Total |
|---------------------|-----------|------------|-----------|
| 5-9 years | 47 (54%) | 40 (46%) | 87 (100%) |
| 10-14 years | 2 (33.3%) | 4 (66.6%) | 6 (100%) |
| >14 years | 0 | 2 (100%) | 2 (100%) |
| • | | | |

87 participants with duration of illness between 5-9 years, 46% (40) had neuropathy. 6 participants with duration of illness between 10-14 years, 66.6% (4) had neuropathy. 2 participants with duration of illness of >14 years, 100% (2) had neuropathy.

Table 6: Sex Distribution Among Normal and Neuropathic Population

| | Gender | | | | |
|-------------|--------|--------|--------|----------|---------|
| | Male | Female | Total | 2 value* | P-value |
| Normal | 22 | 27 | 49 | 0.324 | 0.569 |
| | 44.9% | 55.1% | 100.0% | | |
| Neuropathic | 18 | 28 | 46 | | |
| • | 39.1% | 60.9% | 100.0% | | |
| Total | 40 | 55 | 95 | | |
| | 42.1% | 57.9% | 100.0% | | |

In participants with normal NCS, 22 (44.9%) were males and 27 (55.1%) were females. In neuropathic participants, 18 (39.1%) were males and 28 (60.9%) were females.

Table 7: Distribution of Age (Years) Between Types of Neuropathy

| | | Age | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|--------|----------|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | 6 to 10 Years | 10 to 15 Years | 15 to 18 Years | Total | P- value | | |
| Normal | Count | 25 | 17 | 7 | 49 | 0.219 | | |
| | % of Total | 26.3% | 17.9% | 7.4% | 51.6% | | | |
| Motor Axonal Neuropathy | Count | 2 | 3 | 2 | 7 | | | |
| | % of Total | 2.1% | 3.2% | 2.1% | 7.4% | | | |
| Mild Demyelinating | | | | | | | | |
| Motor Neuropathy | Count | 11 | 17 | 11 | 39 | | | |
| | % of Total | 11.6% | 17.9% | 11.6% | 41.1% | | | |
| Total | Count | 38 | 37 | 20 | 95 | | | |
| | % of Total | 40.0% | 38.9% | 21.1% | 100.0% | | | |

Total of 7 patients had motor axonal neuropathy, 2 each from the age groups of 6-10 years and

15-18 years. 3 from the age group of 10-15 years39 patients had demyelinating motor neuropathy, of which 11 were from age group of 6-10 years, 17 and 11 patients were from 10-15 years and 15-18 years respectively.

Table 8: Comparison of Mean Age (Years) Between Types of Neuropathy

| NCV | N | Mean | SD | Min | Max |
|--------------------------|----|------|-------|-----|-----|
| Normal | 49 | 5.1 | 3.266 | 1 | 12 |
| Motor axonal | 7 | 7.42 | 4.033 | 1 | 12 |
| Mild demyelinating motor | 39 | 5.8 | 3.373 | 1 | 13 |
| Total | 95 | 5.6 | 3.363 | 1 | 13 |
| | | | | | |

Mean age of patients with motor axonal neuropathy and demyelinating motor neuropathy was 7.42 years and 5.8 years respectively.

Table 9: Comparison of Baseline Characteristics with Other Studies

| | Vahideh Too | Xin-Hua Bao | Funda Cenesiz | Sang-Soo Lee | Present |
|-------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| | pchizadeh et al.[4] | et al. ^[5] | et al. ^[6] | et al. ^[8] | study |
| Type of Study | Cross sectional | Cross sectional | Cross sectional | Prospective | Cross sectional |
| | study | study | study | study | study |
| Sample Size | 40 | 38 | 40 | 37 | 95 |
| M:F | 15:25 | 18:20 | 17:23 | 14:23 | 40:55 |
| Age Group Studied | <18 years | 4-21 years | 4-18 years | 3-19 years | 6-18 yeas |
| Mean Age | 12.73±0.43 years | 12.7 years | 11.9±3.6 years | 12±3.7 years | 11.8±3.465 years |

Current study included 95 children with disease duration of >5 years, which is the largest among the studies compared, to evaluate neuropathy in type 1 diabetes mellitus. Out of 95 children, 40 were males and 55 were females with male to female ratio of 1:1.3, similar female predominance was noted in other studies, though majority of the studies didn't show statistically significant correlation. Mean age of the present study population was 11.8±3.46 years, which was comparable to other studies^[7].

CONCLUSION

Total of 7 patients had motor axonal neuropathy, 2 each from the age groups of 6-10 years and 15-18 years. 3 from the age group of 10-15 years 39 patients had demyelinating motor neuropathy, of which 11 were from age group of 6-10 years, 17 and 11 patients were from 10-15 years and 15-18 years respectively

REFERENCES

- Roche, E.F., A. Menon, D. Gill and H. Hoey, 2008. Clinical presentation of type 1 diabetes. Pediatr. Diabetes, 6: 75-78.
- Irani A.J., 2011. Priciples and Goals of management, Insulin Therapy. In: In Type1 diabetes mellitus in children and adolescents in India Clinical practice guidelines., ISPAE., (Ed.)., 0 pp: 10-27.
- 3. Aathira, R., 2014. Advances in management of type 1 diabetes mellitus. World J. Diabetes, 5: 689-696.
- Britta M., N. Svoren and Jospe., 2016. Diabetes mellitus in children. In: Nelson textbook of Pediatrics., In Klegman, S. Stanton and S. Geme (Eds.)., Elsevier Publications., First South Asia edition.,

- Toopchizadeh, V., S. Shiva, N.Y. Khiabani and R. Ghergherechi, 2016. Electrophysiologic pattern and prevalence of subclinical peripheral neuropathy in children and adolescents with type I diabetes mellitus in Iran. Saudi Med. J., 37: 299-303.
- Bao, X.H., V. Wong, Q. Wang and L.C.K. Low, 1999. Prevalence of peripheral neuropathy with insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. Pediatr. Neurol., 20: 204-209.
- 7. Cenesiz, F., B.S. Tur, T. Tezic and Y. Gurer, 2003. Nerve conduction in children suffering Insulin Dependent Diabetes Mellitus. Indian J. Pediatr., 70: 945-951.
- 8. Lee, S.S., H.S. Han and H. Kim, 2010. A 5-yr follow-up nerve conduction study for the detection of subclinical diabetic neuropathy in children with newly diagnosed insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. Pediatr. Diabetes, 11: 521-528.