MAK HILL Publications Research Journal of Medical Sciences

Research Article
doi: 10.36478/makrjms.2024.6.570.573

OPEN ACCESS

Key Words
Osteoporosis, hip replacement surgery, bone healing, bone integration, functional outcomes, harris hip score

Corresponding Author
Dilip Devadas,
Department of Orthopaedics, KMCT Medical College Hospital, Manassery, Pin-673602, Kozhikode, Kerala, India
dilip.dev@icloud.com

Author Designation
Assistant Professor

Received: 5 April 2024
Accepted: 10 June 2024
Published: 30 June 2024

Citation: Devadas, 2024. Assessment of Bone Healing and Integration in Patients with Osteoporosis Undergoing Hip Replacement Surgery. Res. J. Med. Sci., 18: 570-573, doi: 10.36478/makrjms.2024.6.570.573

Assessment of Bone Healing and Integration in Patients with Osteoporosis Undergoing Hip Replacement Surgery

Dilip Devadas
Department of Orthopaedics, KMCT Medical College Hospital, Manassery, Pin-673602, Kozhikode, Kerala, India

ABSTRACT
Osteoporosis significantly impacts bone quality and healing, posing challenges in hip replacement surgery. This study assesses bone healing and integration in osteoporotic patients undergoing hip replacement, focusing on clinical outcomes, radiographic evaluations and functional improvements. A retrospective cohort study was conducted on 100 osteoporotic patients who underwent hip replacement surgery between 2015 and 2020. Data on patient demographics, bone mineral density (BMD), surgical details, and follow-up outcomes, including radiographic assessments and functional scores (Harris Hip Score), were collected. Statistical analyses were performed to evaluate bone healing and integration. Radiographic assessments at 6 months, 1 year and 2 years post-surgery indicated satisfactory bone integration in 85% of patients. Functional scores improved significantly from a mean preoperative Harris Hip Score of 45-80 at 2 years post-surgery. Factors associated with better outcomes included higher preoperative BMD and the use of bone-stimulating agents. Hip replacement surgery in osteoporotic patients can achieve satisfactory bone healing and integration, with significant functional improvements. Preoperative optimization of bone health and the use of bone-stimulating agents may enhance outcomes. These findings support tailored approaches for managing osteoporotic patients undergoing hip replacement.

INTRODUCTION

Osteoporosis is a systemic skeletal disorder characterized by reduced bone mass and microarchitectural deterioration of bone tissue, leading to increased bone fragility and susceptibility to fractures. Hip fractures are particularly common and devastating in osteoporotic patients, often necessitating hip replacement surgery. However, the compromised bone quality associated with osteoporosis poses significant challenges for bone healing and integration following such surgical interventions[1,2]. Hip replacement surgery aims to restore mobility, alleviate pain and improve the quality of life in patients with hip joint pathology. While the procedure is generally successful in patients with normal bone health, osteoporotic patients face a higher risk of complications, including implant loosening, periprosthetic fractures and delayed bone healing. These challenges underscore the need for specialized surgical techniques and postoperative management strategies tailored to the osteoporotic population[3-6]. This study aims to assess bone healing and integration in osteoporotic patients undergoing hip replacement surgery[7]. By analyzing clinical outcomes, radiographic evaluations and functional improvements over a two-year follow-up period, the study seeks to provide insights into the factors influencing successful outcomes and to inform best practices for managing osteoporotic patients undergoing this complex surgery.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This retrospective cohort study was conducted in compliance with the Strobe guidelines for observational research and received ethical approval from the Institutional Review Board.

Study Design and Setting: The study was conducted at a tertiary care hospital specializing in orthopedic and musculoskeletal disorders. The hospital provides comprehensive care for patients with osteoporosis, including advanced surgical interventions and postoperative rehabilitation.

Participants

Inclusion Criteria:

- Patients diagnosed with osteoporosis (T-score≤-2.5) undergoing hip replacement surgery between January 2015 and December 2020.
- Completion of at least two years of follow-up.

Exclusion Criteria:

- Patients with other metabolic bone diseases.
- Revision hip replacement surgeries.
- Incomplete medical records or follow-up data.

Data Collection:

Data were extracted from electronic medical records, including:

- **Patient Demographics:** Age, gender, BMI, comorbidities and bone mineral density (BMD) measurements.
- **Surgical Details:** Type of hip replacement (total or partial), surgical approach, implant type and use of bone-stimulating agents.
- **Radiographic Assessments:** Evaluations of bone integration and healing at 6 months, 1 year and 2 years post-surgery using standard criteria for radiographic union.
- **Functional Outcomes:** Harris Hip Score (HHS) assessed preoperatively and at 6 months, 1 year, and 2 years post-surgery.
- **Complications:** Incidence of periprosthetic fractures, implant loosening, infections, and other complications.

Statistical Analysis: Descriptive statistics were used to summarize patient characteristics and outcomes. Changes in radiographic and functional outcomes over time were analyzed using repeated measures Anova. Logistic regression was employed to identify factors associated with successful bone healing and integration. A p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. Analyses were conducted using SPSS software.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

This table 1 provides an overview of the baseline characteristics of the study participants.

This table 2 shows the radiographic assessments of bone integration at different follow-up intervals.

Table 1: Baseline characteristics of participants

Characteristic	Total (n=100)
Mean age (years)	72.5 ± 8.3
Gender (m/f)	40/60
Mean bmi (kg/m²)	25.8 ± 3.9
Mean bmd t-score	-2.8 ± 0.4
Comorbidities (%)	45
Type of hip replacement	
- total	70
- partial	30

Table 2: Radiographic assessments of bone integration

Time Point	Satisfactory Integration (%)	Unsatisfactory integration (%)
6 months	60	40
1 year	75	25
2 years	85	15

Table 3: Functional outcomes (harris hip score)

Time Point	Mean HHS ± SD	% Improvement from Baseline
Preoperative	45 ± 10	-
6 months	65 ± 12	44.4
1 year	75 ± 10	66.7
2 years	80 ± 8	77.8

Table 4: Factors associated with successful bone integration

Factor	Odds Ratio (95% ci)	P-value
Higher preoperative BMD	2.5 (1.3-4.8)	0.01
Use of bone-stimulating agents	3.2 (1.5-6.7)	0.002
Ag<75 years	1.8 (0.9-3.5)	0.07
Absence of comorbidities	2.0 (1.1-3.8)	0.03

Table 5: Complications

Complication	Number of Patients (%)
Periprosthetic fractures	5
Implant loosening	8
Infections	3
Other complications	4

Table 6: Length of hospital stay

Length of Stay (days)	Number of Patients (%)
1-3	30
4-7	50
>7	20

Table 7: Patient Satisfaction at 2 Years Post-Surgery

Satisfaction Level	Number of Patients (%)
Very satisfied	70
Satisfied	20
Neutral	5
Dissatisfied	3
Very dissatisfied	2

This table 3 presents the functional outcomes as measured by the Harris Hip Score over time.
This table identifies factors significantly associated with successful bone integration.
This table 4 lists the complications observed in the study cohort.
This table 5 shows the distribution of the length of hospital stay among the patients.
This table 6 summarizes patient satisfaction levels at 2 years post-surgery.
The results of this study demonstrate that hip replacement surgery can achieve satisfactory bone healing and integration in osteoporotic patients, with significant functional improvements observed over a two-year period. The majority of patients showed satisfactory radiographic bone integration and substantial improvements in the Harris Hip Score, indicating enhanced mobility and reduced pain [5,7].

Factors Influencing Outcomes: Higher preoperative BMD and the use of bone-stimulating agents were significantly associated with successful bone integration, highlighting the importance of optimizing bone health prior to surgery. These findings suggest that preoperative interventions aimed at improving bone density and the use of adjuvant therapies can positively impact surgical outcomes[8-10].

Functional Improvements: The significant increase in Harris Hip Scores from a mean of 45 preoperatively to 80 at 2 years post-surgery indicates marked functional recovery. This improvement underscores the effectiveness of hip replacement surgery in restoring function and enhancing the quality of life for osteoporotic patients. However, it is essential to tailor postoperative rehabilitation programs to address the specific needs of this population[11].

Complications and Management: The incidence of complications, including periprosthetic fractures and implant loosening, underscores the challenges of managing osteoporotic patients. While the overall complication rate was relatively low, these events highlight the need for careful surgical planning and postoperative monitoring. The use of bone-stimulating agents and adherence to best surgical practices can mitigate some of these risks[12].

Patient Satisfaction: High levels of patient satisfaction further validate the benefits of hip replacement surgery in this population. The majority of patients reported being very satisfied or satisfied with their surgical outcomes, reflecting the significant pain relief and functional improvements achieved. These high satisfaction levels underscore the importance of addressing both the physical and psychological aspects of recovery, ensuring that patients receive comprehensive care throughout the treatment process [11].

Clinical Implications: The findings of this study have several important clinical implications:

- **Preoperative Optimization:** Enhancing preoperative bone health through the use of bone-stimulating agents and other interventions can significantly improve surgical outcomes in osteoporotic patients. Routine assessment of bone mineral density (BMD) should be integrated into the preoperative evaluation process.
- **Surgical Technique and Postoperative Care:** Tailored surgical techniques that account for the compromised bone quality in osteoporotic patients are crucial. Postoperative care should include close monitoring for complications and adherence to rehabilitation protocols designed to maximize functional recovery.
- **Patient Education and Support:** Educating patients about the potential risks and benefits of hip replacement surgery, as well as the importance of postoperative rehabilitation, can enhance patient engagement and satisfaction. Providing psychological support and addressing patient concerns can further improve outcomes.

Strengths and Limitations: A key strength of this study is its comprehensive follow-up period of up to two years, providing robust data on the long-term outcomes of hip replacement surgery in osteoporotic patients. The large sample size and detailed analysis of radiographic and functional outcomes add to the study's reliability. However, the study's retrospective design and reliance on electronic medical records may introduce biases. Additionally, the study was conducted in a single tertiary care center, which may limit the generalizability of the findings to other settings.

Future Research: Future research should focus on prospective, multicenter studies to validate these findings and explore the long-term efficacy of different surgical techniques and adjuvant therapies in osteoporotic patients. Investigating the molecular mechanisms underlying bone healing and integration in osteoporosis could lead to the development of targeted therapies. Additionally, examining the role of novel biomaterials and implant designs in improving outcomes for this population could further advance clinical practice.

CONCLUSION

This retrospective study demonstrates that hip replacement surgery in osteoporotic patients can achieve satisfactory bone healing and integration, with significant improvements in pain relief and functional outcomes over a two-year period. Preoperative optimization of bone health and the use of bone-stimulating agents are key factors in enhancing surgical success. The findings highlight the importance of tailored surgical techniques, comprehensive postoperative care, and patient education in managing osteoporotic patients undergoing hip replacement. Future research should continue to explore strategies to optimize outcomes and address the unique challenges posed by osteoporosis in orthopedic surgery.

REFERENCES

1. Hollensteiner, M., S. Sandriesser, E. Bliven, C. von Rüden and P. Augat, 2019. Biomechanics of osteoporotic fracture fixation. Curr. Osteo Rep., 17: 363-374.
2. Deorio, J.K. and M.E. Easley, 2008. Total ankle arthroplasty. Instr Course Lect., 57: 383-413.
3. Laguna, E., M.I. Pérez-Núñez, Á. del Real, G. Menéndez and J.A. Sáinz-Aja et al., 2022. Effects of systemic or local administration of mesenchymal stem cells from patients with osteoporosis or osteoarthritis on femoral fracture healing in a mouse model. Biomolecules, Vol. 12, No. 5 .10.3390/biom12050722
4. Wurm, A., D. Dammerer, M.C. Liebensteiner, M. Nogler and C.Ammann,et al., 2021. Variation of bmp2 concentration and its activity in bone grafts obtained from patients undergoing hip replacement surgery. In Vivo, 35: 713-719.
5. Li, X.P., X.Y. Li, M.H. Yang, S.W. Zhu, X.B. Wu and P. Zhang, 2020. Changes of bone turnover markers after elderly hip fracture surgery. J. Bone Min Met., 39: 237-244.
6. Weisová, D., M. Salášek and T. Pavelka, 2013. Zlomeniny horního konce stehenní kosti [Hip fractures]. Cas Lek Cesk., 152: 219-225
7. O'Connor, J.P. and T. Lysz, 2008. Celecoxib, NSAIDs and the skeleton. Drugs Today (Barc)., 44: 693-709.
8. Douša, P., O. Cech, M. Weissinger and V. Džupa, 2013. Trochanteric femoral fractures. Acta chiru ortho trau Cec., 80: 15-26.
9. Vestermark, M.T., 2011. Strontium in the bone-implant interface. Dan Med Bull., Vol. 58, No. 5.
10. Kucera, T., T. Soukup, O. Krs , K. Urban and P. Sponer, 2012. Bone healing capacity in patients undergoing total hip arthroplasty. Acta chir ortho traum Cech., 79: 52-58.
11. Hislop, S., J. Alsousou, D. Chou, J. Rawal, P. Hull and A. Carrothers, 2022. Fix and replace: Simultaneous fracture fixation and hip replacement for acetabular fractures in older patients. Injury, 53: 4067-4071.
12. Mears, D.C., 1999. Surgical treatment of acetabular fractures in elderly patients with osteoporotic bone. J. Am. Acad. Orthop. Surgeons, 7: 128-141.