



OPEN ACCESS

Key Words

Diabetes mellitus, hypertension, linezolid, methicillin resistance, surgical site infection, poliglecaprone

Corresponding Author

D. Rao Bharathi,
Department of Obstetrics and
Gynaecology, Kasturba Medical
College, Mangalore. A constituent of
Manipal Academy of Higher
Education, Karnataka, India

Author Designation

¹Junior resident ²Professor ³Research Assistant

Received: 31 January 2024 Accepted: 10 March 2024 Published: 20 March 2024

Citation: Al Shukkur Sahrun, D. Rao Bharathi and M. Zubair Sabah 2024. Prospective Analysis of Wound Infection Following Cesarean Section. Res. J. Med. Sci., 18: 543-548, doi: 10.59218/makrjms. 2024.5.543.548

Copy Right: MAK HILL Publications

Prospective Analysis of Wound Infection Following Cesarean Section

¹Al Shukkur Sahrun, ²D. Rao Bharathi and ³M. Zubair Sabah ¹⁻³Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Kasturba Medical College, Mangalore. A constituent of Manipal Academy of Higher Education, Karnataka, India

ABSTRACT

In India there has been a rise in the rate of caesarean section in the last few years and wound infection is most common complication following caesarean section. The study aimed at analyzing the risk factors for the development of wound infection following caesarean section. Prospective hospital-based cross-sectional study was conducted from 2016 to 2018, enrolled women who developed surgical site infections(SSI) within 30 days of caesarean delivery performed in the hospital. Descriptive statistics were used for socio-demographic variables and appropriate univariate and multi variate analysis used to find the association between continuous and categorical variables with a p-value of <0.05 as statistically significant. Out of 4540 cesarean deliveries, 52 (1.1%) cases developed SSI. Surgical site infections were found significantly associated with maternal age above 25 years, BMI>27 Kg/m² (p<0.001), hypertension, diabetes (p<0.001), the urgency of caesarean delivery, prolonged operative duration, technique and suture material (p<0.001) used. Poliglecaprone (monofilament) was found suitable for subcutaneous tissue and skin closure. Out of 38 cases with microbial growth, 52% cultured Staphylococcus aureus with 60% displaying methicillin resistance, followed by Diphtherioids (22%) and Pseudomonas (10.5%). Majority isolates showed higher sensitivity to Linezolid, Clindamycin and Vancomycin. Apart from maternal factors, the surgeon's operative skill and technique and suture material also contribute significantly to the development of SSI. A developing trend towards resistance to higher antibiotics was noted among Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus. Gentamicin and Linezolid were found as effective as second-line agents. Thus, maintenance of quality asepsis and a hospital-based antibiotic policy is vital.

INTRODUCTION

In India there has been a rising trend, rather doubling (17.2%) in the caesarean delivery rate, as per National Family Health Survey (NFHS)-4 especially in Chattisgarh (98%), Telangana (58%), Kerala (36%), Tamil Nadu (34%) and Karnataka (23.6%), above the recommended WHO level of 15%^[1]. This rising rate has well-documented risks for the woman in the current and subsequent pregnancies^[2]. They are associated with substantial morbidity, increased hospitalization and expenditure. Wound infection accounts for the second most common surgical adverse event following cesarean deliveries, accounting for 3-15%[3]. The incidence of surgical site infection (SSI)is attributed to a multitude of factors, about the general physical health of the patient, the site of surgery, the institutional hygiene, supplemented by the emergence of antimicrobial resistance.

As per the National Nosocomial Infection Surveillance (NNIS) system guidelines (United States), SSI is defined as that which occurs within 30 days of surgery in the part of the body where the surgery took place. It is classified as superficial incisional, deep incisional and organ/space infections. Superficial infections include skin or subcutaneous tissue and diagnosis require more than or equal to one of the following: pus discharge, positive wound culture, diagnosis made by attending physician and wound separation^[3]. Deep infection involves fascial or muscle layer and diagnosis involves more than or equal to one of the following: Deep pus, abscess, spontaneous dehiscence^[4]. An organ or space SSI may show a discharge of pus coming from a drain placed through the skin into a body space or organ^[5,6]. As per the NNIS, the most common organism isolated in SSI is Staphylococcus aureus, approximately about 15-20% of cases followed by gram-negative bacilli (especially pseudomonas), coagulase-negative staphylococci, Enterococcusspecies, and Escherichia coli. To develop target prevention strategies, identifying the risk factors is prudent which can be broadly classified as host-related, pregnancy-related and procedural variables. Host related factors include-maternal age, obesity, personal hygiene, immunocompromised status, presence of other medical comorbidities, previous surgeries. Obstetric factors includehypertension (HTN), gestational diabetes mellitus, multiple pregnancy, prelabour rupture of membranes and prolonged labour.

Procedural details include-preoperative preparation, duration of surgery, the skill of the operating surgeon, the suture material used and importantly the empirical antibiotic. Although many interventional strategies have been enforced to reduce the incidence of SSI based on various clinical trials, an initiative towards identifying the modifiable risk factors, the drawbacks of common surgical techniques

and developing a hospital-based antibiotic policy, is required. This would eventually aid in stratifying women at risk. The objective of the study was to determine the risk factors among women who develop postoperative wound infection following caesarean section, and to analyze the microbiological pattern and antibiotic sensitivity.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

It is a time-bound cross-sectional hospital-based study. All patients undergoing elective or emergency caesarean delivery in our hospital during the study period were followed up until discharge (usually on day 5, if no comorbidities), for the development of wound infection. Those who developed SSI within 30 days postoperatively were included, after taking informed consent for participation. Patients presenting with symptoms and signs of wound infection following caesarean delivery were recruited as per the CDC definition criteria. These patients were assessed for the presence of the following demographic and obstetric risk factors such as advanced maternal age, parity, BMI, immune compromise-HIV, steroid intake, diabetes mellitus, HTN, anaemia, chronic cough-TB, and bronchial asthma. History of abdominal surgeries was collected along with details of the surgery and labour details. The wound culture and sensitivity reports were collected and details of the microbiological pattern and antibiotic sensitivity were recorded.

Data Analysis: The association between variables of interest were tested using Chi-square test. Descriptive statistics were used for socio-demographic variables and appropriate univariate and multi variate analysis were used to find the association between continuous and categorical variables, p<0.05 was taken as the level of significance.

RESULT AND DISCUSSIONS

Out of the 4540 cesarean deliveries, 52 cases developed SSI, which included only superficial and deep SSI. Among the 52 cases of SSI, 28 patients developed SSI within 10 days postoperatively. Among the 52 cases, 29 patients (55%) were above 25 years of age (p<0.001) (Table 1). There was also a highly significant (p<0.001) risk noted among obese women (Table 1), those with hyperglycemia and hypertensive disorders of pregnancy (p<0.001). Other prominent host factors observed were bronchial asthma, anaemia and previous abdominal surgeries (Table 1), apart from advancing maternal age. Multigravidas (34 cases, 64%) had almost twice the risk compared to primigravidas (18 cases, 36%). Duration of prelabour rupture of membranes (PROM)was not found to be significantly associated. Out of 31 patients who had h/o PROM, 28 cases had PROM of more than 6hours (p = 0.056).

On analyzing the surgical details, it was found that emergency caesarean deliveries contributed to 94% cases of SSI (p<0.001), it was found that the incidence of SSI increased with surgeries lasting more than 45minute, (p<0.001). Among the suture materials used in our hospital, polyglactin was found favourable for rectus sheath closure while poliglecaprone 25 (monofilament) was associated with a significantly lesser risk when used for subcutaneous tissue and skin closure. Among the 52 cases infected, 11 cases had involvement of rectus sheath, out of which more than 50% cases sutured with Polypropylene and Polydioxanone developed an infection (Table 2), 29 cases had involvement of subcutaneous tissue, of which, 25 cases were sutured with polyglactin (Table 3). On studying the bacteriological profile of the 52 cases of SSI, it was found, only 38 wounds showed microbial growth. Among the 38 cases, 52% swabsgrew Staphylococcus aureus, of which 60% were resistant to Methicillin. Some of the other organisms isolated were Pseudomonas, Diphtheroid, E. coliand Klebsiella. Staphylococci (Methicillin-Sensitive Staphylococcus aureus (MSSA) and Pseudomonas were found highly sensitive to Gentamicin and Linezolid. Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus(MRSA) and Diphtheroid (80%) werefound sensitive to Vancomycin. The E.coli showed high sensitivity to Gentamicinand Cefotaxime, while Klebsiella showed towards Linezolid and Clindamycin. Out of 12 cases of MRSA, high resistance was noted for Cephalothin and Erythromycin and a developing trend for resistance to higher antibiotics like Carbapenems. Diphtherioids were highly resistant to Ciprofloxacin while E. coli and Klebsiella showed high resistance to Piperacillin. Pseudomonas was predominantly resistant to Erythromycin.

Risk Factors: As per the NNIS system, it is well known that SSI is the second most common postoperative infection following caesarean deliveries, with an incidence ranging from 3-15%. In India, as per a study conducted at Lady Hardinge Medical College New Delhi, the infection rate was 24.2%. In our study, the approximate (excluding those lost to follow up) incidence of SSI in our hospital during one and a half years was 1.1%, which is much lower than the general incidence rate in various other studies done in India. In a study done by Anjum et al in, at a tertiary care center Tumkur in Karnataka, the SSI rate following alowersegment caesarean section (LSCS) was 16% and another done by Priayanka Dahiya et al at a first referral unit in India in 2016, it was 9%. Studies done in the USA reported an incidence of 3.15%^[18].

Based on studies done earlier, certain risk factors were identified as causative for SSI, namely obesity, diabetes mellitus (DM), HTN, PROM, emergency caesarean deliveries, chorioamnionitis, longer operative time, poor antimicrobial prophylaxis.

Regarding the effect of maternal age, there have been controversial results in various studies although with increasing age due to the senescence of cells and poor host immune response mechanisms the risk of SSI is proposed to increase. In this study, the majority of cases (55%) of SSI were above 25years age and this was statistically significant. This was similar to studies conducted by Anjum *et al* and Wloch *et al*. while a study was done by Olicer Ezechi *et al*. in Nigeria showed 75% SSI cases below 25years age, replicating the outcomes from the study by Ashish Pathak *et al*. in rural India^[8-10]. A few have also reported an absence of any association between maternal age and SSI^[3,18].

Host related and obstetric risk factors found to have a statistically significant association for SSI in this study were obesity and multiparty (p = 0.013). Obesity as an independent risk factor correlated with studies done by Anjum et al. Fathia et al and Wloch et al. $(BMI>35kg/m^2, p<0.01)^{[5,7,8]}$. Fathia et al reported an increase in SSI with BMI>35kg/m², p = 0.005^[7]. Multiparity was also found to be a significant risk factor in the studies by Hansa Dhar et al (2014) and Filbert Mpogoro et al^[11,23]. Majority of patients in our study were multiparous, moreover among them, those with previous h/o LSCS had an added risk and this may have confounded the results. Among those with comorbidities in our study, hypertensive disorder of pregnancy and DM were highly significant in causation with a p<0.001 which correlated with studies by Anium et al. Rita Andersen^[5,12]. In the study by Rita Andersen among diabetic women obesity increased the risk by more than two-fold. In hypertensive disorders of pregnancy, the risk can be attributed to the increased rate of surgical intervention in preeclampsia, eclampsia which is supplemented by generalized oedema and hypoalbuminemia^[12]. The results of the study done by Bhadauria et al. stated was found to be more among the patients having a pre-existing illness such as anaemia 21.13%, obesity 13.62%, DM 8.45% and HTN 4.69% (Table 4)[13]. Emergency surgeries, in general, are found to have

Table 1: Patient characteristics

Range	Frequency	Percentage
<20	2	3.5
20-25	21	41.9
26-30	12	22.6
31-35	13	25
36-40	4	6.5
Distribution of BMI		
18.5-22.9 (normal)	10	19.4
23.0- 27.9 (overweight)	10	19.4
More than or equal to 27.0 (obese)	32	61.3
Prevalence of comorbidities		
Gestational hypertension	10	19.4
Eclampsia	2	3.2
Gestational diabetes mellitus	5	9.7
Overt Diabetes Mellitus	2	3.2
Bronchial Asthma	5	9.7
Previous surgeries	5	9.7
Anaemia	3	6.5

Table 2: Rectus sheath closure suture material and incidence of SSI.

Suture material	A total number of cases. n =52	No. of cases with rectus sheath involvement. n = 11	Percentage of cases infected
Polyglactin	36	1	2.78
Polydioxanone	9	6	66.6
Polypropylene	7	4	57.1

Table 3: Subcutaneous tissue closure suture material and incidence of SSI.

Suture material	A total number of cases. n =52	No. of cases with subcutaneous tissue involvement. n = 29	Percentage of cases infected (%)
Polyglactin	43	25	53.5
Poliglecaprone25	9	4	44.4

Table 4: Comparison of maternal risk factors published in previous studies.

		Afzal and Nawaz, BJMMR,14(2):	C Wloch et al., BJOG,vol 119,	HansaDhar et al., SQUMJ, 2014	Olicer C Ezechi, et al., Nigeria,	Filbert j Mpogoro et al,Tanzania,
Factors	Present study	1-6, 2016	issue 11	May; 14(2)	2009 Sept	2012
Age	45% <25yrs	80.2% cases b/w	35% >35yrs	NS	70% below	-
		20-34yrs age			25yrs	
BMI	61% obese	51.8% >/= 25	19.2% >/= 35	Higher in obese	51.3% >25	-
			p<0.01	p= 0.018		
Parity	65% multi	NS	NS	70% multigravid	NS	-
Period of Gestation	70% term	NS	11% >40 weeks	NS	NS	-
PROM	60%	16.5% had PROM	NS	57.5% PROM	14.5% P=0.02	-
				p<0.001		
HTN	39%	52%	-	-	-	Higher risk p=0.02
DM	13%	74.5 %	-	-	-	NS
Anaemia	6.5%	58.7%	-	-	-	Higher risk p= 0.03
Previous surgeries	9.7%	_	-	-	_	38%

Table 5: Comparison of surgical details of previous studies conducted.

		Afzal and Nawaz; BJMMR,	C Wloch et al, BJOG,	Filbert j Mpogoro	
Surgical details	Present study	14(2): 1-6, 2016	vol 119, issue 11	et al, Tanzania, 2012	
Туре	94% emergency	83.6% emergency LSCS	NS	92% in emergency	
Duration of surgery	75% > 45min.	NS	19% > 60min	Prolonged P = 0.015	

Table 6: Comparison of microbial pattern and antibiotic sensitivity.

		C Wloch et al, BJOG,	HansaDhar et al, May, 14(2)	Filbert j Mpogoro
	Present study	vol 119, issue 11	SQUMJ, 2014	et a, Tanzania, 2012
Common causative organism	52%Staph aureus	40%Staph aureus,	31.27%Staph aureus,	Staph aureus 28%
	(60% MRSA)	E.coli 6%	E.coli 19%	
Common sensitive antibiotics	Gentamicin, Linezolid	NS	Gentamicin (24.6%),	Vancomycin,
			Cephalosporins (22.7%)	Cephalosporins, Ciprofloxacin
Common resistant antibiotics	Piperacillin, Erythromicin	NS	Ampicillin, Amox+ Clavulanate	NS

a higher risk due to lack of adequate time for action of antibiotics, second stage labour, h/o PROM among them and the possibility of improper preoperative preparation, that was also found significant in this study with p<0.001 among the emergency LSCS. Several other studies observed higher SSI rates in the emergency LSCS than elective cases [5,14-18]. In this study, the reduced incidence of SSI with longer duration of PROM (more than 12hours), can be attributed to the early initiation and prolonged course of injectable antibiotics (continued for 5 days postoperatively, unlike for other cases with PROM <12 hours where postoperatively injectable preparations are given only for 2 days). This was following the study by Fathia et al. that had more SSI rate among those with PROM <8 hours, but it was not statistically significant, whereas Hansa Dhar et al. and Olicer C Ezechi et al. showed a significant association with PROM (p<0.001)^[7,9,11].

Surgical Aspects: Longer duration of surgery, mostly beyond 1 hour carried a significant association in our study (p = 0.001) and also in the studies done by Fathia *et al.* Wloch C and Filbert J Mpogoro^[7,8,23]. Martin *et al.* study revealed, an operative time longer than 75th percentile increased the risk of SSI by 1.84 times^[22]. The probable etiologies for an increase in SSI risk

being: complicated surgery, inadequate tissue concentration of antibiotic, tissue trauma, breach of sterile technique, increased blood loss, and prolonged exposure to environmental pathogens(Table 5). Skin closure by subcuticular sutures was found to have a higher risk of wound infection compared to mattress sutures, but this may have been confounded by the fact that mattress sutures were used for those with an obvious risk factor like obesity, uncontrolled DM or eclampsia, while the majority were sutured as subcuticular sutures. Staples were not used for any case. A Cochrane review has thus concluded that there is insufficient data on standardization of any particular technique or material for LSCS wound closure.

Microbiological Profile: Out of the 52 swabs collected, 73% (38 cases) showed growth of an organism, while 27% showed absence of any microbial growth. Of the 38 cases, 52% showed growth of Staph aureus that was the same as the study by Fathia *et al.* where Staph aureus comprised 50.4% of the growth while studies by Wloch *et al.* and Anjum *et al.* showed 40% and 43% growth of Staphaureus among SSI^[5,7,8]. Among the 19 cases with Staph aureus growth, 60% constituted MRSA. Another study by Bhattacharya *et al.* also showed the highest culture of Staph aureus (35%)

| 2024 |

among the SSI, (of which MRSA was 26%), others being E.coli (20%), Klebsiella (18%), Pseudomonas (8%) and Acinetobacter (7.5%)^[19]. In our study, the other organisms isolated included Diphtheroids (12%), E.coli (10.5%), Klebsiella (7%), Pseudomonas (7%) and Acinetobacter (2%). The main gram-negative offenders in our study were E.coli, followed by Klebsiella and Pseudomonas, this was similar to that in studies done by Bhattacharya and Anjum *et al.*^[5,19]. No growth of Streptococci was obtained.

The highest sensitivity was observed for amino glycosides like Gentamicin and glycopeptides like Linezolid and Vancomycin. Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureusshowed a higher sensitivity for Clindamycin and Linezolid, while MSSA were more sensitive to Gentamicin and Clindamycin. Gentamicin was effective against E.coli and Pseudomonas. In a study conducted in MumbaiLilani SP et al. in 190 patients, Pseudomonas showed high resistance to Gentamicin^[20]. In our hospital, gentamicin was rarely used as a postoperative antimicrobial, unless indicated so lesser were the chances of antibiotic resistance. Unlike other studies, Diphtheroids being a component of normal skin flora were the second most common contaminating microbe cultured, they showed relatively high sensitivity towards Vancomycin. As stated in several other studies, the most effective antibiotics for gram-positive infections were Linezolid and Vancomycin (90.9% and 81.8% bacteria). However, because of emerging drug resistance, the use of these antibiotics for empirical therapy cannot be recommended. These are preferable to be used only in life-threatening infections or resistance to other commonly used drugs. Among the gram-negative organisms, Ceftazidime or Gentamicin could be used as second-line therapy. As per the study by Das et al. for gram-negative infections, a combination of Piperacillin +Tazobactam or Cefoperazone+Sulbactam and Amikacin^[21].It was found that 60% of staphylococcal infections were methicillin-resistant. These MRSA also showed an increasing trend towards resistance to higher antibiotics like Carbapenems. There was no Vancomycin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (VRSA) isolated. In a study from Northern India, two (0.25%) VRSA strains were reported^[20]. Gram-negative organisms were also noted to have developed resistance to broad-spectrum antibiotics like Piperacillin(Table 6).

CONCLUSION

Surgical site infection has increased the morbidity significantly in the postoperative period, thus requiring a prolonged hospital stay and surgical reintervention. A multitude of predisposing factors for SSI have been identified such as DM, HTN, obesity, anaemia, duration of surgical procedure and surgical technique, to be

systematically incorporated into initiatives taken for the prevention and surveillance of postoperative wound infection. A developing trend towards resistance to higher antibiotics was noted among MRSA. Gentamicin and Linezolid were found to be effective second-line agents in the present study. Hence maintenance of quality asepsis and a hospitalbased antibiotic policy is vital.

REFERENCES

- Chunder, A., J. Devjee, S.M. Khedun, J. Moodley and T. Esterhuizen, 2012. A randomized controlled trial on suture materials for skin closure at caesarean section: Do wound infection rates differ. South. Afr. Med. J., 102: 374-0.
- 2. Dahiya, P.,V. Gupta and S. Pundir, 2016. Study of incidence and risk factors for surgical site infection after cesarean section at first referral unit. Inter. J. Contem. Med. Res., 3: 1102-1104.
- 3. Zuarez-Easton, S., N. Zafran, G. Garmi and R. Salim, 2017. Postcesarean wound infection: Prevalence, impact, prevention and management challenges. Int. J. Women's Health., 9: 81-88.
- Mangram, A., J.T.C. Horan and M.L. Pearson, 1999. Guideline for prevention of surgical site infection, 1999. hospital infection control practices advisory committee. Inf. cont. hospital. epidemiol., 20: 250-278.
- Anjum, W. and N. Abrar, 2017. A Study on Surgical Site Infections (SSI) and associated factors in a tertiary care hospital in tumkur, karnataka. Nation. J. Res. Commun. Med., 6: 206-612.
- 6. Gur, R.,S.D. Duggal, S.R. and Rongpharpi, 2015. Post caesarean surgical site infections. Arch. clin. microbiol., Vol. 6.
- 7. Jama, F.E.A., 2012. Risk factors for wound infection after lower segment cesarean section. Qata.r Med. J., 2012: 26-31.
- Wloch, C., J. Wilson, T. Lamagni, P. Harrington, A. Charlett and E. Sheridan, 2012. Risk factors for surgical site infection following caesarean section in england: Results from a multicentre cohort study. BJOG. Int J. Obstet. Gynaecol., 119: 1324-1333.
- Ezechi, O.C., A. Edet, H. Akinlade, C.V. Gab-Okafor and E. Herbertson, 2009. Incidence and risk factors for caesarean wound infection in lagos Nigeria. BMC. Res. Notes., Vol. 2.10.1186/1756-0500-2-186.
- Pathak, A., K. Mahadik, M.B. Swami, P.K. Roy, M. Sharma, V.K. Mahadik and C.S. Lundborg, 2017. Incidence and risk factors for surgical site infections in obstetric and gynecological surgeries from a teaching hospital in rural India. Antimicrob. Resist. Infec. Control, Vol. 6.10.1186/s13756-017-0223-y.
- 11. Dhar, H.,I. Al-Busaidi, B. Rathi. and E.A. Nimre, 2014. A study of post-caesarean section wound

- infections in a regional referral hospital, oman. Sul. Qab. Uni. med. j., 14: 211-217.
- Leth, R.A., N. Uldbjerg, M. Norgaard, J.K. Moller and R.W. Thomsen, 2011. Obesity, diabetes, and the risk of infections diagnosed in hospital and post-discharge infections after cesarean section: A prospective cohort study. Acta. Obstetr. Gynecol. Scand., 90: 501-509.
- 13. Bhadauria, A. and C. Hariharan, 2013. Clinical study of post operative wound infections in obstetrics and gynaecological surgeries in a tertiary care set up. Int. J. Rep. Contracep. Obstet. Gynecol., 2: 631-638.
- Mahesh, C.,B.S. Shivakumar, B.S. and Suresh, 2010. A prospective study of surgical site infections in a teaching hospital. J. Clin. Diagn. Res., 4: 3114-3119.
- 15. Patel, D.,A.K.B. Patel and S.K, Bhatt, 2011. Surveillance of hospital acquired infection in surgical wards in tertiary care centre Ahmedabad, Gujarat. Natl. J. Commun. Med., 2: 340-345.
- Jain, B.,K. and M. Banerjee, 2013. Surgical site infections and its risk factors in orthopaedics: a prospective study in teaching hospital of central India.
- 17. Hariom, H., A.P. Misra and R. Mishra, 2012. Determinants of surgical site infection in rural kanpur, India. J. Evol. Med. Dent. Sci., 1: 921-928.
- Mundhada, A. and S. Tenpe, 2015. A study of organisms causing surgical site infections and their antimicrobial susceptibility in a tertiary care government hospital. Indian J. Pathol. Microbiol., 58: 195-200.
- Bhattacharya, S., 2016. Surgical site infection by methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus-on decline. J. Clin Diagno Res., Vol. 10.10.7860/jcdr/2016/21664.8587.
- Lilani, S.P., N. Jangale, A. Chowdhary and G.B. Daver, 2005. Surgical site infection in clean and clean-contaminated cases. Indian J. Med. Microbiol., 23: 249-252.

- Das, R., A. Singh, P. Srivastava, S. Pradhan and R. Murthy, 2015. Microbial profile and antibiotic susceptibility pattern of surgical site infections in orthopedic patients at a tertiary hospital in Bilaspur. Int. J. Sci. Study, 3: 43-47.
- 22. Martin, E.T., K.S. Kaye, C. Knott, H. Nguyen and M. Santarossa *et al.* 2015. Diabetes and risk of surgical site infection: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Infec. Control Hosp. Epidemiol., 37: 88-99.
- Mpogoro, F.J., S.E. Mshana, M.M. Mirambo, B.R. Kidenya, B. Gumodoka and C. Imirzalioglu, 2014. Incidence and predictors of surgical site infections following caesarean sections at bugando medical centre, mwanza, Tanzania. Antimicrob. Resist. Infec. Control, Vol. 3.10.1186/2047-2994-3-25.