MAK HILL Publications Research Journal of Medical Sciences

Research Article
doi: 10.36478/makrjms.2024.10.324.328

Enhancing Patient Outcomes with Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) Protocols

[1]Pradeep Sopan Shivsharan, [2]Ajinkya Irape, [3]Prathamesh Chandrapattan and [4]Vinit Birajdar

[1]Department of General Surgery, Government Medical College, Nagpur, India

[2,3,4]Department of General Surgery, Ashwini Rural Medical College, Hospital and Research Cente, Kumbhari, Solapur, India

ABSTRACT

Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) protocols aim to improve surgical outcomes through a multimodal, evidence-based approach. This study evaluates the effectiveness of ERAS protocols in enhancing patient outcomes compared to traditional care in a tertiary hospital setting. A retrospective observational study was conducted involving 140 patients undergoing major surgeries, divided equally into two groups: those receiving ERAS protocols and those receiving traditional care. Outcomes measured included pain management, speed of recovery, incidence of postoperative complications, length of hospital stay and rates of rehospitalization. Patients in the ERAS group showed significant improvements in pain management (65 vs. 50, OR 2.2, P=0.001), faster return to normal diet (62 vs. 45, OR 2.3, P=0.002) and reduced postoperative ileus (56 vs. 30, OR 3.4, P=0.0001). Recovery rates were also notably higher in the ERAS group, with significant differences in early ambulation and reduced narcotic use. The incidence of complications was lower in the ERAS group, with reduced surgical site infections (5 vs. 15, OR 0.3, P=0.03), pulmonary complications (4 vs. 20, OR 0.2, P=0.01) and renal complications (3 vs. 10, OR 0.3, P=0.05). Additionally, the average hospital stay was shorter (4.5 days vs. 6.5 days) and rehospitalization within 30 days was less frequent (5.7% vs. 17.1%, OR 0.3, P=0.02). Conclusion: The implementation of ERAS protocols significantly enhanced postoperative outcomes, demonstrating a clear benefit in pain management, recovery rates, and reduction in complications and hospital stay. These findings support the broader adoption of ERAS protocols in surgical practices to improve patient care and resource utilization.

OPEN ACCESS

Key Words
Enhanced recovery after surgery, postoperative outcomes, surgical care improvement

Corresponding Author
Vinit Birajdar,
Department of General Surgery, Ashwini Rural Medical College, Hospital and Research Center, Kumbhari, Solapur, India.
drvinitb@gmail.com

Author Designation
[1,3,4]Assistant Professor
[2]Senior Resident

Received: 17 July 2024
Accepted: 20 August 2024
Published: 08 September 2024

Citation: Pradeep Sopan Shivsharan, Ajinkya Irape, Prathamesh Chandrapattan and Vinit Birajdar, 2024. Enhancing Patient Outcomes with Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) Protocols. Res. J. Med. Sci., 18: 324-328, doi: 10.36478/makrjms.2024.10.324.328

INTRODUCTION

Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) protocols represent a paradigm shift in perioperative care with the primary goal of improving patient outcomes and expediting recovery. This innovative approach focuses on minimizing the surgical stress response, optimizing physiological function and facilitating a faster return to normalcy. These protocols encompass various components including preoperative counseling, nutrition optimization, standardization of anesthesia techniques, and postoperative pain management, all of which are evidence-based and tailored to reduce complications and length of hospital stay[1].

The concept of ERAS was introduced in the late 1990s by Kehlet, a Danish surgeon, who proposed that a multimodal approach to manage surgical patients could significantly improve recovery outcomes. Since then, the ERAS Society has developed guidelines for numerous surgical specialties, continuously refining protocols based on accumulating evidence. Studies consistently show that ERAS protocols can significantly reduce perioperative morbidity and mortality rates compared to traditional care, particularly in complex surgeries such as colorectal resections, thoracic and orthopedic surgeries[2].

The application of ERAS protocols involves a multidisciplinary team including surgeons, anesthesiologists, nurses, physiotherapists, and dietitians, working collaboratively to ensure all elements of the protocols are implemented effectively. This team-based approach not only enhances the recovery process but also instills a culture of continuous improvement within clinical settings[3].

Despite the proven benefits of ERAS, its implementation varies widely across hospitals and regions. Factors influencing this variability include hospital policies, resource availability and the willingness of medical staff to adopt new practices. Consequently, comprehensive studies and continuous education on the benefits and methodologies of ERAS are crucial for wider adoption.

The theoretical foundation of ERAS is based on reducing the surgical stress response, which is known to suppress the immune system and delay healing. By mitigating this response through various interventions like minimal invasive techniques, optimal pain control, and avoidance of fluid overload, ERAS protocols enhance the overall recovery process. Furthermore, the economic implications of ERAS cannot be overstated, as shorter hospital stays and reduced complications lead to significant cost savings for healthcare systems worldwide[4-5].

Aim and Objective: To evaluate the effectiveness of Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) protocols in improving postoperative outcomes in patients undergoing major surgeries.

- To compare the postoperative recovery rates of patients under ERAS protocols versus traditional care.
- To assess the incidence of postoperative complications in patients managed with ERAS protocols.
- To evaluate the length of hospital stay and rehospitalization rates in patients treated under ERAS protocols.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Source of Data: The data for this study was retrospectively collected from patient records at the participating hospital's surgical department.

Study Design: The study was designed as a retrospective observational study, comparing outcomes of patients treated with ERAS protocols against those receiving traditional care.

Study Location: The research was conducted at a tertiary care hospital equipped with modern surgical and postoperative facilities.

Study Duration: Data collection spanned from January 2020 to December 2023.

Sample Size: A total of 140 patients were included in the study, with 70 patients in the ERAS group and 70 in the traditional care group.

Inclusion Criteria: Patients aged 18 and older, undergoing elective major abdominal surgery, were included in the study.

Exclusion Criteria: Patients with emergency surgeries, previous major abdominal surgeries, or chronic pain management issues were excluded.

Procedure and Methodology: Patients in the ERAS group received a standardized set of interventions including preoperative nutritional counseling, minimally invasive surgical techniques, multimodal pain management and early postoperative mobilization. The control group received traditional perioperative care.

Sample Processing: No specific sample processing was required as data was collected from existing medical records and patient follow-ups.

Statistical Methods: Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS software. Comparative analysis between groups was done using chi-square tests for categorical data and t-tests for continuous variables.

Data Collection: Data were collected on variables including recovery milestones, complication rates and

length of hospital stay. Data integrity was ensured by cross-verification with hospital databases and follow-up visits.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

In Table 1 demonstrates the effectiveness of Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) protocols in improving specific postoperative outcomes compared to traditional care. The data shows statistically significant improvements in pain management, speed of returning to a normal diet and reduced incidence of postoperative ileus among patients managed with ERAS protocols. Specifically, 65 out of 70 patients in the ERAS group reported improved pain management compared to 50 out of 70 in the traditional care group, with an odds ratio (OR) of 2.2 and a p-value of 0.001. Similar trends were observed for returning to a normal diet and reducing postoperative ileus, with respective ORs of 2.3 and 3.4, both indicating significant improvements.

In Table 2 compares the recovery rates between patients under ERAS protocols and those receiving traditional care. Key recovery milestones such as ambulation by Day 1, bowel function by Day 2 and cessation of narcotics by Day 3 were achieved more frequently in the ERAS group. For example, ambulation by Day 1 was achieved by 68 ERAS patients versus 55 traditional care patients, with an OR of 1.8 and a p-value of 0.01. The benefits are even more pronounced for bowel function and cessation of narcotics, with significant ORs of 3.5 and 4.2, respectively.

In Table 3 outlines the incidence of postoperative complications between the two groups. The ERAS protocols significantly reduced the rates of surgical site infections, pulmonary complications and renal complications compared to traditional care. The odds of experiencing a surgical site infection in the ERAS group were 70% lower than in the traditional care group (OR=0.3, p=0.03). Similar reductions were seen for pulmonary and renal complications, with respective ORs of 0.2 and 0.3.

In Table 4 focuses on the length of hospital stay and rehospitalization rates within 30 days post-surgery. Patients under ERAS protocols had a shorter average hospital stay (4.5 days) compared to those in traditional care (6.5 days). Furthermore, rehospitalization rates were significantly lower in the ERAS group (5.7%) compared to the traditional care group (17.1%), with an OR of 0.3 and a p-value of 0.02, indicating a substantial reduction in the likelihood of rehospitalization.

The outcomes in Table 1 are consistent with the findings from various other studies that highlight the effectiveness of ERAS protocols in improving pain management, speeding up the return to a normal diet, and reducing the incidence of postoperative ileus. For instance, a meta-analysis by Mac Curtain BM et al.(2023)[6] demonstrated significant improvements in pain scores and gastrointestinal recovery in patients managed under ERAS compared to traditional care. Similarly, Wang[7] reported enhanced recovery rates, notably in gastrointestinal function, which aligns with the substantial increase in the odds ratio for reduced postoperative ileus observed in this study.

The data showing improved recovery rates under ERAS protocols, such as earlier ambulation and reduced need for narcotics, are supported by several other research findings. A study by Belouaer[8] noted that patients under ERAS protocols experienced faster recovery milestones due to multimodal pain management strategies and optimized fluid management, leading to a significant reduction in the use of narcotics and earlier return to ambulation. These results underline the effectiveness of ERAS in facilitating a quicker return to baseline functionality post-surgery.

The reduced incidence of complications such as surgical site infections, pulmonary and renal complications under ERAS protocols is well-documented in the literature. For example, Lebel DE[9] found that the implementation of ERAS protocols significantly lowered the rates of infectious complications and organ dysfunctions. These findings mirror the odds ratios presented in this study, where ERAS protocols markedly decreased the risk of major postoperative complications.

The results indicating shorter hospital stays and lower rehospitalization rates are corroborated by studies such as those by Salvans[10] who reported that ERAS protocols led to a decrease in length of stay and a reduction in 30-day readmission rates. This study's findings contribute further evidence supporting the economic and clinical benefits of ERAS, emphasizing its role in not only enhancing recovery but also reducing the burden on healthcare resources.

CONCLUSION

The study effectively demonstrates that ERAS protocols significantly improve postoperative outcomes in patients undergoing major surgeries. The findings consistently reveal that patients managed under ERAS protocols experience better pain control, faster recovery of gastrointestinal function and earlier cessation of narcotic use compared to those receiving traditional care. This facilitates not only quicker functional recovery but also enhances the overall patient experience.

Table 1: Effectiveness of ERAS Protocols

Outcome	ERAS (n=70)	Traditional Care (n=70)	OR	95% CI	p- Value
Improved Pain Management	65	50	2.2	1.6-3.0	0.001
Faster Return to Normal Diet	62	45	2.3	1.7-3.1	0.002
Reduced Postoperative Ileus	56	30	3.4	2.5-4.6	0.0001

Table 2: Comparison of Postoperative Recovery Rates

Recovery Milestones	ERAS (n=70)	Traditional Care (n=70)	OR	95% CI	p-Value
Ambulation Day 1	68	55	1.8	1.3-2.5	0.01
Bowel Function Day 2	65	40	3.5	2.5-4.9	0.0005
No Narcotics Day 3	63	35	4.2	3.0-5.8	0.0001

Table 3: Incidence of Postoperative Complications

Complication	ERAS (n=70)	Traditional Care (n=70)	OR	95% CI	p- Value
Surgical Site Infection	5	15	0.3	0.1-0.9	0.03
Pulmonary Complications	4	20	0.2	0.06-0.7	0.01
Renal Complications	3	10	0.3	0.08-1.1	0.05

Table 4: Length of Hospital Stay and Rehospitalization Rates

Outcome	ERAS (n=70)	Traditional Care (n=70)	OR	95% CI	p- Value
Average Hospital Stay (days)	4.5±1.2	6.5 ± 1.5	-	-	-
Rehospitalization within 30 days	4 (5.7%)	12 (17.1%)	0.3	0.1-0.9	0.02

Additionally, the study highlights a notable reduction in the incidence of postoperative complications, including surgical site infections, pulmonary complications and renal issues. These outcomes align with the primary goals of ERAS protocols to minimize the stress response to surgery and promote a faster recovery by integrating evidence-based interventions across different phases of surgical care.

Importantly, our results show that ERAS protocols contribute to shorter hospital stays and significantly reduce rehospitalization rates within 30 days post-surgery, suggesting an improvement in both the quality of care and patient safety. These benefits collectively support the broader implementation and adherence to ERAS guidelines, which could potentially lead to substantial cost savings for healthcare systems and improved patient satisfaction.

In conclusion, this study confirms that ERAS protocols are crucial in the advancement of surgical care, emphasizing the need for ongoing education and training to foster the widespread adoption and consistent application of these protocols. The compelling evidence provided by this research advocates for policy changes and further studies to explore the comprehensive benefits of ERAS across various surgical specialties and patient populations.

Limitations of Study:

- **Retrospective Design:** The retrospective nature of this study limits our ability to control for potential confounding variables that may have influenced the outcomes. Prospective randomized controlled trials are needed to establish more definitive cause-and-effect relationships and confirm these findings.
- **Sample Size:** While the sample size of 140 patients provides initial insights, it may not fully represent the broader patient population undergoing various types of surgeries. Larger studies are needed to generalize these results more effectively and to assess the impact of ERAS protocols across different surgical disciplines and demographic groups.
- **Single-Center Setting:** The study was conducted at a single tertiary care center, which may limit the generalizability of the findings. Multi-center studies could provide a more comprehensive evaluation of ERAS protocols across different healthcare settings and geographic locations.
- **Selection Bias:** The inclusion and exclusion criteria might have led to selection bias, favoring patients who are likely to have better outcomes with ERAS protocols. This could overestimate the benefits of ERAS and under represent its effectiveness in a more diverse surgical population.
- **Variability in Protocol Implementation:** The implementation of ERAS protocols can vary significantly between surgeons and healthcare teams within the same hospital. This variability could affect the consistency of the outcomes and may not accurately reflect the potential of fully standardized ERAS implementation.
- **Lack of Long-Term Follow-up:** The study primarily focuses on short-term outcomes without long-term follow-up data, which is crucial to understand the sustainability of the benefits of ERAS protocols. Long-term outcomes such as quality of life and long-term complication rates are necessary to evaluate the full impact of ERAS protocols.
- **Subjective Outcome Measures:** Some of the outcome measures, such as pain management, are inherently subjective and can be influenced by patient reporting and assessment biases. More objective measures and standardized tools are needed to assess these outcomes more reliably.

- **Non-Uniform Data Collection:** As the data were retrospectively collected from medical records, there may have been inconsistencies in how data were recorded and collected, potentially leading to information bias.

REFERENCES

1. Turaga, A.H., 2023. Enhanced recovery after surgery (eras) protocols for improving outcomes for patients undergoing major colorectal surgery. Cureus, Vol. 15, No. 7 .10.7759/cureus.41755.
2. Cukierman, D.S., J.P. Cata and T.J. Gan, 2023. Enhanced recovery protocols for ambulatory surgery. Best Pract. amp Res. Clin. Anaes., Vol. 37 .10.1016/j.bpa.2023.04.007.
3. Mithany, R.H., N. Daniel, M.H. Shahid, S. Aslam and M. Abdelmaseeh et al., 2023. Revolutionizing surgical care: The power of enhanced recovery after surgery (eras). Cureus, Vol. 15, No. 11 .10.7759/cureus.48795.
4. Fair, L.C., S.G. Leeds, E.P. Whitfield, S.H. Bokhari and M.L. Rasmussen et al., 2023. Enhanced recovery after surgery protocol in bariatric surgery leads to decreased complications and shorter length of stay. Obesity Surg., 33: 743-749.
5. Esper, S.A., J. Holder-Murray, K. Subramaniam, M. Boisen and T.S. Kenkre et al., 2023. Enhanced recovery protocols reduce mortality across eight surgical specialties at academic and university-affiliated community hospitals. Ann. Surg., 277: 101-108.
6. Curtain, B.M.M., A. O'Mahony, H.C. Temperley and Z.Q. Ng, 2023. Enhanced recovery after surgery protocols and emergency surgery: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. ANZ J. Surg., 93: 1780-1786.
7. Wang, D., Y. Hu, K. Liu, Z. Liu and X. Chen et al., 2023. Issues in patients' experiences of enhanced recovery after surgery (eras) : A systematic review of qualitative evidence. BMJ Open, Vol. 13, No. 2 .10.1136/bmjopen-2022-068910.
8. Belouaer, A., G. Cossu, G.E. Papadakis, J.G. Gaudet and M.H. Perez et al., 2023. Implementation of the enhanced recovery after surgery (eras®) program in neurosurgery. Acta Neur., 165: 3137-3145.
9. Lebel, D.E., M. Machida, R. Koucheki, F. Campbell and N. Bath et al., 2023. Utilization of individual components of enhanced recovery after surgery (eras) protocol improves post-operative outcomes in adolescent idiopathic scoliosis: A blueprint for progressive adoption of eras. Spine Deformity, 11: 1117-1125.
10. Salvans, S., L. Grande, M.D. Cero and M. Pera, 2023. State of the art of enhanced recovery after surgery (eras) protocols in esophagogastric cancer surgery: The western experience. Updates Surg., 75: 373-382.