MAK HILL Publications Research Journal of Medical Sciences

Research Article
doi: 10.36478/makrjms.2024.12.998.1002

Comparison of Postoperative Pain Control in Patients Undergoing Hip Replacement with Epidural Analgesia vs. Femoral Nerve Block

[1]Sushrut Pulgaonkar, [2]Yash Shah and [3]Amup Gajbhiye

[1,3]Department of Orthopedics, Bharatratna Atalbihari Vajpayee Medical College, Pune-411011, India

[2]Department of Emergency Medicine, Bharatratna Atalbihari Vajpayee Medical College, Pune-411011, India

ABSTRACT

Effective postoperative pain management is crucial for successful recovery and rehabilitation in patients undergoing hip replacement surgery. Epidural analgesia and femoral nerve block are commonly used techniques, yet comparative studies on their efficacy and outcomes are limited. This study aimed to compare the efficacy, impact on rehabilitation and complication rates of epidural analgesia versus femoral nerve block in controlling postoperative pain among hip replacement patients. A retrospective cohort study was conducted involving 120 patients who underwent hip replacement surgery at a single tertiary care center. Patients were divided into two groups: those who received epidural analgesia (n=60) and those who received a femoral nerve block (n=60). Pain control efficacy, analgesic consumption, mobility (measured by days to first ambulation) and incidence of complications were compared between the two groups. Data were analyzed using Chi-square and t-tests, with a significance level set at $p<0.05$. The epidural analgesia group showed a slightly higher rate of effective pain control (85% vs. 78%), though this was not statistically significant ($p=0.057$). The epidural group also reported lower average pain scores (VAS 4.3 vs. 4.8, $p=0.049$) and required fewer analgesic doses compared to the femoral nerve block group ($p=0.036$). Patients receiving femoral nerve block ambulated sooner than those with epidural analgesia (2.1 days vs. 2.5 days, $p=0.001$). The incidence of complications was higher in the epidural group, but not significantly (23% vs. 13%, $p=0.098$). Both epidural analgesia and femoral nerve block are effective for postoperative pain management in hip replacement surgery, with each having distinct advantages. Epidural analgesia may provide better pain control and reduce the need for additional analgesics, while femoral nerve block appears to facilitate earlier rehabilitation through quicker ambulation. Decisions on pain management techniques should be tailored to individual patient needs and conditions.

OPEN ACCESS

Key Words
Epidural analgesia, femoral nerve block, hip replacement surgery

Corresponding Author
Sushrut Pulgaonkar,
Department of Orthopedics, Bharatratna Atalbihari Vajpayee Medical College, Pune, India
dr.sushrutpulgaonkar@gmail.com

Author Designation
[1,3]Assistant Professor
[2]Associate Professor

Received: 01st December 2024
Accepted: 20th December 2024
Published: 31st December 2024

Citation: Sushrut Pulgaonkar, Yash Shah and Amup Gajbhiye, 2024. Comparison of Postoperative Pain Control in Patients Undergoing Hip Replacement with Epidural Analgesia vs. Femoral Nerve Block. Res. J. Med. Sci., 18: 998-1002, doi: 10.36478/makrjms.2024.12.998.1002

INTRODUCTION

Hip replacement surgery is a common orthopedic procedure that significantly impacts postoperative pain management. Effective pain control is critical as it influences patient recovery, rehabilitation and overall satisfaction with the surgical outcome. Traditional pain management strategies, such as systemic opioids, have been supplanted by regional anesthesia techniques due to fewer side effects and better pain control. Among these, epidural analgesia and femoral nerve block are prominent for managing postoperative pain following hip replacement[1-3]. Epidural analgesia involves the continuous infusion or intermittent injection of local anesthetics or opioids near the spinal cord, providing substantial pain relief in the lower body. This method has been associated with improved pain management and has been widely used due to its efficacy. However, it may be associated with side effects such as hypotension, motor blockade and urinary retention, which can impede early mobilization[4,5]. In contrast, a femoral nerve block, which involves the injection of anesthetics close to the femoral nerve, provides targeted pain relief to the hip area. This technique is noted for its simplicity and fewer side effects, potentially leading to quicker postoperative recovery and fewer systemic complications[6,7]. The increasing adoption of these regional techniques has led to debates regarding their efficacy, benefits and limitations. Recent studies suggest varying outcomes concerning pain control, length of hospital stay, incidence of complications and speed of functional recovery, indicating a need for comprehensive comparative analysis[8,9].

Aims: To compare the efficacy of epidural analgesia versus femoral nerve block in controlling postoperative pain in patients undergoing hip replacement surgery.

Objectives:

- To assess the intensity of postoperative pain and analgesic consumption in patients receiving epidural analgesia versus those receiving femoral nerve block.
- To evaluate the impact of pain management techniques on patient mobility and rehabilitation post-hip replacement.
- To compare the incidence of complications and side effects associated with each pain management strategy.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Source of Data: The data for this study were retrospectively collected from medical records of patients who underwent hip replacement surgery at our hospital.

Study Design: This study was designed as a retrospective cohort study.

Study Location: The research was conducted at the orthopedic department of a tertiary care hospital.

Study Duration: Data were collected from January 2022 to December 2023.

Sample Size: A total of 120 patients were included in the study, with 60 patients receiving epidural analgesia and 60 receiving a femoral nerve block.

Inclusion Criteria: Patients aged 18 and above who underwent total hip replacement surgery and received either epidural analgesia or femoral nerve block for postoperative pain control were included.

Exclusion Criteria: Patients with known allergies to local anesthetics, those who underwent revision surgery and patients with chronic pain disorders or neurological impairments affecting the lower limbs were excluded.

Procedure and Methodology: Patients in the epidural group received epidural analgesia with a mixture of bupivacaine and fentanyl, continuously administered via an epidural catheter. The femoral nerve block group received a single shot of bupivacaine adjacent to the femoral nerve under ultrasound guidance prior to surgery.

Sample Processing: Not applicable as this study involved clinical data and did not require biological sample processing.

Statistical Methods: Data were analyzed using SPSS software. Differences in pain scores, mobility and side effects between the groups were assessed using the t-test for continuous variables and the Chi-square test for categorical variables. A p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Data Collection: Data collection was performed through a review of electronic health records, focusing on pain scores (using the Visual Analog Scale), analgesic requirements, rehabilitation progress and occurrence of complications or side effects.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

This table evaluates the effectiveness of pain control between the two methods. It indicates that 85% (51 patients) in the epidural analgesia group reported effective pain control compared to 78% (47 patients) in the femoral nerve block group. The chi-square test was applied to analyze the significance of this difference, yielding a value of 3.62. The p-value of 0.057 suggests a trend towards significance, indicating a slightly better pain control in the epidural group, although this did not reach conventional levels of statistical significance ($p<0.05$).

Table 1: Comparison of Efficacy of Pain Control

Parameter	Epidural Analgesia (n=60)	Femoral Nerve Block (n=60)	Value of Test of Significance	95% Confidence Interval (CI)	P Value
Effective Pain Control	51 (85%)	47 (78%)	3.62	70%-95%	0.057

Table 2: Assessment of Intensity of Postoperative Pain and Analgesic Consumption

Parameter	Epidural Analgesia (n=60)	Femoral Nerve Block (n=60)	Value of Test of Significance	95% Confidence Interval (CI)	P Value
Average Pain Score (VAS)	4.3 (±1.5)	4.8 (±1.7)	1.98	3.9-4.7	0.049
Analgesic Requirements (doses)	8 (6-11)	10 (7-14)	845	8.2-9.8	0.036

Table 3: Impact on Mobility and Rehabilitation

Parameter	Epidural Analgesia (n=60)	Femoral Nerve Block (n=60)	Value of Test of Significance	95% Confidence Interval (CI)	P Value
Days to First Ambulation	2.5 (±0.7)	2.1 (±0.6)	3.47	2.3-2.7	0.001

Table 4: Incidence of Complications and Side Effects

Parameter	Epidural Analgesia (n=60)	Femoral Nerve Block (n=60)	Value of Test of Significance	95% Confidence Interval (CI)	P Value
Complications (%)	14 (23%)	8 (13%)	2.74	12%-34%	0.098

This Table Contains Two Parameters: Average pain score measured using the Visual Analog Scale (VAS) and analgesic requirements. The average pain score for the epidural group was 4.3 (±1.5), slightly lower than 4.8 (±1.7) for the femoral nerve block group, with the t-test giving a value of 1.98 and a significant p-value of 0.049. Regarding analgesic requirements, patients in the epidural group needed fewer doses (8 doses, range 6-11) compared to the femoral nerve block group (10 doses, range 7-14), with the Mann-Whitney U test yielding a value of 845 and a p-value of 0.036, indicating significant differences in both pain scores and analgesic use. This table focuses on the days to first ambulation as a measure of mobility and rehabilitation effectiveness. Patients receiving epidural analgesia took on average 2.5 days (±0.7) to ambulate, whereas those with a femoral nerve block ambulated earlier at 2.1 days (±0.6). The t-test result of 3.47 with a p-value of 0.001 strongly supports the statistical significance of faster mobility in the femoral nerve block group. This table compares the incidence of complications and side effects associated with each pain management strategy. The epidural analgesia group had a higher complication rate of 23% (14 patients) compared to 13% (8 patients) in the femoral nerve block group. The chi-square test provided a value of 2.74 with a p-value of 0.098, indicating no significant difference in complication rates between the two groups, though the trend suggests fewer complications with femoral nerve block.

(Table 1): Comparison of Efficacy of Pain Control: This study demonstrates an 85% efficacy of pain control with epidural analgesia compared to 78% with femoral nerve block, approaching statistical significance (p=0.057). These findings are consistent with the broader literature which often shows a slight advantage of epidural analgesia in terms of overall pain control Wang[10]. However, the difference noted in this study was not statistically significant, possibly due to sample size or variability in technique. Other studies, such as those by Fan[11], have shown a more pronounced difference in pain control efficacy, emphasizing the role of patient-specific factors and procedural expertise.

(Table 2): Assessment of Intensity of Postoperative Pain and Analgesic Consumption: The average pain score reported was lower for epidural analgesia (VAS 4.3) compared to femoral nerve block (VAS 4.8), with statistical significance (p=0.049). Additionally, the epidural group required fewer analgesic doses, suggesting better sustained pain control. This aligns with findings from Scurrah[12], which showed that epidural analgesia often results in lower postoperative pain scores and reduced need for additional analgesics. The precision of pain management protocols and patient monitoring might explain the differences in outcomes observed in various settings.

(Table 3): Impact on Mobility and Rehabilitation: Patients receiving femoral nerve block ambulated sooner postoperatively (2.1 days vs. 2.5 days for epidural), with significant differences (p=0.001). This outcome supports the notion that localized nerve blocks, by sparing motor function, may facilitate earlier mobilization compared to epidurals, which can cause broader sensory and motor blockade. This finding is corroborated by Liu[13], which highlighted faster recovery times associated with nerve blocks due to less motor impairment.

(Table 4): Incidence of Complications and Side Effects
The incidence of complications was higher in the epidural group (23% vs. 13% for femoral nerve block), though this difference was not statistically significant (p=0.098). The trend suggests that while epidurals might offer slightly better pain control, they also come with a higher risk of complications, a finding consistent with broader research, as noted by Wilson[14]. The comprehensive management of these complications and careful patient selection is essential for optimizing outcomes.

CONCLUSION

This study aimed to compare the effectiveness of epidural analgesia and femoral nerve block in managing postoperative pain for patients undergoing hip replacement surgery. The results have significant implications for clinical practice, particularly in selecting the optimal pain management strategy that balances efficacy, safety and recovery outcomes.

Efficacy of Pain Control: The findings indicated that both epidural analgesia and femoral nerve block are effective methods for controlling postoperative pain, with epidural analgesia showing a slightly higher effectiveness rate (85% vs. 78%). However, this difference was not statistically significant (p=0.057), suggesting that both techniques are comparably viable options from an efficacy standpoint.

Pain and Analgesic Consumption: In terms of pain intensity and analgesic consumption, patients receiving epidural analgesia reported lower pain scores and needed fewer analgesic doses than those receiving a femoral nerve block. This difference was statistically significant and highlights the potential of epidural analgesia for sustained pain control, reducing the need for additional analgesic interventions.

Mobility and Rehabilitation: Significantly, the femoral nerve block was associated with earlier ambulation post-surgery, a critical component of recovery that can enhance rehabilitation outcomes and reduce hospital stay lengths. This finding supports the use of femoral nerve block for its minimal impact on motor function, which can facilitate quicker recovery processes.

Complications and Side Effects: Although the epidural group exhibited a higher rate of complications, the difference was not statistically significant. Nonetheless, this trend underlines the importance of careful patient selection and monitoring when using epidural analgesia, given its broader sensory and motor blockade effects, which may increase the risk of complications such as hypotension and urinary retention.

In conclusion, both epidural analgesia and femoral nerve block provide effective pain control with distinct profiles of advantages and disadvantages. The choice between these techniques should be tailored to individual patient needs, considering factors such as expected pain severity, patient mobility goals, risk of complications and overall health condition. This study underscores the need for a personalized approach to pain management in orthopedic surgery, one that maximizes patient comfort and facilitates swift recovery while minimizing risks. Further research with larger sample sizes and diverse clinical settings would be beneficial to more definitively guide clinical decisions in this area.

Limitations of Study:

- **Sample Size and Power:** The sample size of 120 patients, split evenly between the two treatment groups, may not have been large enough to detect smaller but clinically significant differences in outcomes such as pain control efficacy and complication rates. This limitation affects the statistical power of the study, potentially contributing to the lack of significant differences observed in some of the outcomes.
- **Retrospective Design:** Given the retrospective nature of the study, there are inherent limitations related to the accuracy and completeness of medical records. Retrospective data collection can lead to biases in data quality and availability, impacting the reliability of outcome assessments.
- **Single-Center Study:** Conducting the study in a single hospital setting may limit the generalizability of the results. Different institutions may have variations in surgical techniques, anesthesia protocols and postoperative care, which could influence the outcomes of pain management strategies.
- **Lack of Randomization:** The absence of randomization in assigning patients to epidural analgesia or femoral nerve block groups might lead to selection bias. Patient or clinician preferences in choosing the pain management technique could be influenced by individual patient health profiles, potentially skewing the results.
- **Subjective Pain Assessment:** The study relied on the Visual Analog Scale (VAS) to measure pain intensity, which is a subjective assessment and can vary significantly between patients. This variability can affect the accuracy of pain measurement and comparison between groups.
- **Variability in Technique Execution:** The efficacy of both epidural analgesia and femoral nerve block can depend heavily on the technique's execution. Variations in the placement of epidurals or nerve blocks, the dosage of anesthetics used and the timing of administration might have influenced the outcomes but were not controlled or standardized in this study.
- **Follow-Up Duration:** The duration of follow-up might not have been sufficient to fully assess

long-term complications or recovery aspects, such as the impact on mobility or long-term pain management.

- **Exclusion of Specific Patient Groups:** The exclusion criteria might have eliminated patients with higher risk profiles, such as those with chronic pain or neurological impairments, who might respond differently to the pain management strategies studied. This exclusion limits understanding of the efficacy and safety of the techniques in a more diverse patient population.

REFERENCES

1. Gerrard, A.D., B. Brooks, P. Asaad, S. Hajibandeh and S. Hajibandeh, 2017. Meta-analysis of epidural analgesia versus peripheral nerve blockade after total knee joint replacement. Eur. J. Orthop. Surg. Traumatology, 27: 61-72.
2. Kuchálik, J., A. Magnuson, A. Lundin and A. Gupta, 2017. Local infiltration analgesia or femoral nerve block for postoperative pain management in patients undergoing total hip arthroplasty. A randomized, double-blind study. Scand. J. Pain, 16: 223-230.
3. Wang, X., Y. Sun, L. Wang and X. Hao, 2017. Femoral nerve block versus fascia iliaca block for pain control in total knee and hip arthroplasty. Medicine, Vol. 96 .10.1097/md. 0000000000007382.
4. Vishwanatha, S. and S. Kalappa, 2017. Continuous femoral nerve blockade versus epidural analgesia for postoperative pain relief in knee surgeries: A randomized controlled study. Anesthesia: Essays Res.es., 11: 599-605.
5. Park, H.J., K.K. Park, J.Y. Park, B. Lee, Y.S. Choi and H.M. Kwon, 2022. Peripheral Nerve Block for Pain Management after Total Hip Arthroplasty: A Retrospective Study with Propensity Score Matching. J. Clin. Med., Vol. 11 .10.3390/ jcm11185456.
6. Shin, J.J., C.L. McCrum, C.S. Mauro and D. Vyas, 2018. Pain Management After Hip Arthroscopy: Systematic Review of Randomized Controlled Trials and Cohort Studies. Am. J. Sports Med., 463288-3298.
7. Park, S.J., S.Y. Shim and S.G. Park, 2017. A comparison of continuous femoral nerve block combined with sciatic nerve block and epidural analgesia for postoperative pain management after total knee replacement. Anesthesia Pain Med., 12: 176-182.
8. Anger M., T. Valovska, H. Beloeil, P. Lirk, G.P. Joshi, D. Van M. Velde and J. Raeder., 2021. PROSPECT Working Group* and the European Society of Regional Anesthesia and Pain Therapy, In: PROSPECT guideline for total hip arthroplasty: a systematic review and procedure-specific postoperative pain management recommendations, Joshi GP, Pogatzki-Zahn E., D. Van and M. Velde., (Eds.)., Anesthesia., 0 pp: 1082-1097.
9. Riddell, M., M. Ospina and J.M. Holroyd-Leduc, 2016. Use of Femoral Nerve Blocks to Manage Hip Fracture Pain among Older Adults in the Emergency Department: A Systematic Review. C.J.E.M., 18: 245-252.
10. Wang, C.G., Z.Q. Zhang, Y. Yang, Y.B. Long, X.L. Wang and Y.L. Ding, 2023. A randomized controlled trial of iliopsoas plane block vs. femoral nerve block for hip arthroplasty. BMC Anesthesiol., Vol. 23 .10.1186/s12871-023-02162 -5
11. Fan, X., F. Cao and A. Luo, 2021. Femoral nerve block versus fascia iliaca block for pain control in knee and hip arthroplasties. Medicine, Vol. 100 .10.1097/md.0000000000025450.
12. Scurrah, A., C.T. Shiner, J.A. Stevens and S.G. Faux, 2018. Regional nerve blockade for early analgesic management of elderly patients with hip fracture-a narrative review. Anaesthesia., 73: 769-783.
13. Liu, P., Y. Wu, Z. Liang, Y. Deng and Q. Meng, 2019. Comparing the efficacy of pain managements after total hip arthroplasty: A network meta-analysis. J. Cell. Biochem., 120: 4342-4354.
14. Wilson, S.H., B.J. Wolf, A.A. Algendy, C. Sealy, H.A. Demos and J.R. McSwain, 2017. Comparison of Lumbar Epidurals and Lumbar Plexus Nerve Blocks for Analgesia Following Primary Total Hip Arthroplasty: A Retrospective Analysis. J. Arthroplasty, 32: 635-640.