



A Clinicopathological and Microbiological Study of Active Chronic Suppurative Otitis Media

Dr. Haseena Mohammed

Department of ENT, Nimra Institute of Medical Sciences, Jupudi, Ibrahimpatnam (M), Vijayawada, Andhra Pradesh 521456 India

OPEN ACCESS

Key Words

Cholesteatoma, tympanic membrane, chronic suppurative otitis media, escherichia coli, cefoperazone

Corresponding Author

Dr. Haseena Mohammed,
Department of ENT, Nimra Institute of Medical Sciences, Jupudi, Ibrahimpatnam (M), Vijayawada, Andhra Pradesh-521456, India

Author Designation

Assistant Professor

Received: 20 September 2020

Accepted: 28 October 2020

Published: 30 November 2020

Citation: Dr. Haseena Mohammed, 2020. A Clinicopathological and Microbiological Study of Active Chronic Suppurative Otitis Media. Res. J. Med. Sci., 14: 281-284, doi: 10.36478/makrjms.2020.281.284

Copy Right: MAK HILL Publications

ABSTRACT

This study aims to identify the microorganisms responsible for chronic suppurative otitis media (CSOM) through ear swab cultures and sensitivity testing, followed by appropriate treatment based on the culture results. The effectiveness of the treatment is subsequently evaluated. The findings indicate that the predominant organism isolated was *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, accounting for 41.07% of cases, followed by *Staphylococcus aureus* at 26.79%, *Klebsiella* at 8.93% and *Escherichia coli* at 5.36%. Notably, 8.93% of samples exhibited no growth, while commensal organisms were identified in 1.8% of ear discharges. The majority of infections in the study population were classified as Tubo-Tympanic disease (71.42%), with Attico-Antral disease following at 23.21%. Gram-negative organisms constituted 60.7% of the isolates, whereas gram-positive organisms represented 28.57%. Additionally, 13% of infections showed no microbial growth. The majority of *Pseudomonas* infections presented with mucopurulent discharge (50%), while *S. aureus* infections were characterized by a similar discharge in 33.3% of cases. Muroid discharge was predominantly observed in *Pseudomonas* (27%) and *Klebsiella* (16.6%). The study revealed that gram-negative organisms exhibited high sensitivity to cefoperazone (Cf), gentamicin (G) and amikacin (Ak). Specifically, *P. aeruginosa* demonstrated the highest sensitivity to Cf (91.3%), followed by G (82.6%), ceftriaxone (Ce) (73.9%), and Ak (65.2%). *Klebsiella* showed complete sensitivity to Cf and G (100%), with Ak and Ce at 80%. *Proteus* also exhibited 100% sensitivity to Cf and G, followed by Ak and Ce (66.6%). *E. coli* showed full sensitivity to Ak, Cf, G and Ce. *S. aureus* had a high sensitivity to Ak (80%), with G, Ce and Cf all at 66.6%. *Acinetobacter* demonstrated 100% sensitivity to Ak, Cf and G. The study concludes that *P. aeruginosa*, *S. aureus*, *Klebsiella* and *E. coli* are the primary pathogens associated with CSOM, with the recommended antibiotics being Cf, G, Ak and Ce. So it becomes very important that each case of CSOM should be studied bacteriologically so as to prevent administration of unwanted antibiotics. This certainly will help in achieving dry ear and preventing complications.

INTRODUCTION

The assessment and management of CSOM presents many challenging and fascinating problems. The state of individual ear involved in chronic disease represents the balance established at a particular time between the progression of the disease process on one hand and the healing response within the middle ear cleft on the other^[1-3]. Accordingly the manifestations of CSOM are extremely variable and there may be any lesion from a small healed deformity of the Tympanic Membrane(TM), to a cholesteatoma infiltrating widely throughout the temporal bone. CSOM is a disease of multiple aetiology and is well known for its persistence and recurrence inspite of treatment. CSOM is a name given to a long standing inflammatory disease affecting mucoperiosteal lining of the middle ear. It is a destructive and persistent disease with irreversible sequelae and can proceed to serious intra and/or extra cranial complications^[4]. CSOM is divided into two types according to presence or absence of cholesteatoma. Bacteriologically and etiologically both types are different. Noncholesteatomatous type is usually resulting of incompletely treated acute suppurative otitis media or recurrent suppurative otitis media. Important feature of this type of disease is the presence of central perforation., however, in cholesteatoma type perforation is either marginal or attic^[5-7]. The wide spread use of antibiotics has precipitated the emergence of multiple resistant strains of bacteria which can produce both primary and post-operative infections. The indiscriminate, haphazard and half treated use of antibiotics and poor follow up of patients have resulted in persistence of low grade infections. Changes in the microbiological flora following the advent of sophisticated synthetic antibiotics increase the relevance of reappraisal of the modern day flora in CSOM and their in vitro antibiotic sensitivity pattern is very important for the clinician to plan a general outline of treatment for a patient with a chronically discharging ear. The incidence of CSOM appears to depend on race and socio-economic factors^[5-7]. Socio-economical factors such as poor living conditions and over crowding, poor hygiene and nutrition have been suggested as a basis for the wide spread prevalence of CSOM. The present study is aimed at finding the organisms responsible for CSOM by taking the ear swab for culture an FFd sensitivity and by treating the patient according to culture report. The response to the treatment is studied and analyzed.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was conducted in the Department of Otorhinolaryngology. 50 patients with CSOM of all age groups attending the were selected randomly for the study.

Inclusion Criteria: All cases of middle ear discharge for >3 months.

Exclusion Criteria:

- Condition which mimic CSOM like.
- Otitis externa.
- Acute suppurative otitis media.
- Complications of CSOM.

History Taking and Examination: A proforma (Annexure-I) was filled for each patient documenting age, sex, address and clinical information, including chief complaints, duration of symptoms, predisposing factors and any previous history of treatment. Other medical history like diabetes mellitus, hypertension and tuberculosis, etc were noted. Examination under microscope was done and patients were categorised into tubotympanic and Atticoantral type of CSOM and ear discharge was collected.

Collection of Sample: Ear discharge was collected under aseptic precautions in clinically diagnosed cases of CSOM. Excess discharge was mopped out from external auditory canal and it was cleaned with betadin. Then with the sterile swab, specimen was collected and sent immediately to Microbiology Department with a requisition for culture and sensitivity.

Direct Smear Examination: In Microbiology Department, a thin smear was made on a clean glass slide and was fixed with 95% methanol, by pouring one or two drops on the smear and allowed to act for a minimum of 2 minutes or until the methanol dries on the smear. Gram staining was done for the smears so made and was examined under oil immersion objective to note the various morphological types of bacteria, their number, Gram reaction, presence or absence of inflammatory cells and also to note the numbers of squamous epithelial cells in the sample.

Aerobic Culture: The discharge was used for inoculation on blood agar, nutrient agar and Mac Conkey agar plates. All plates were incubated aerobically at 37°C and evaluated at 24 hours, 48 hours and 72 hours and the plates were discarded if there was no growth. The specific identification of bacterial pathogens was done based on microscopic morphology, staining characteristics, cultural and biochemical properties using standard laboratory procedures. Antimicrobial susceptibility of the bacterial isolates to the commonly used antibiotics was done by Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion method.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Some patients had more than one ear discharge which was taken separately for bacterial analysis where we found that *P. aeruginosa* was the commonest bacterial infection (41.07%) among the patients. Second most common organism is *S. aureus* (26.79%), *Acinetobacter* was minimum (1.79%) among the bacterias. It is interesting to know that around 8% didn't have any pathological bacteria in the discharge.

Table 1: Showing Types of Organisms Found in Ear Discharge

Organisms	Number of discharge	Percentage
<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	23	41.07
<i>S. aureus</i>	15	26.79
<i>Klebsiella</i>	5	8.93
<i>E. coli</i>	3	5.36
<i>Proteus</i>	3	5.36
<i>Acinetobacter</i>	1	1.79
No growth	5	8.93
Commensal	1	1.79
Total	56	100

Majority of the organisms were Gram negative organisms (60.71%) compared to gram positive organisms (28.57%). Around (8%) had no growth. Majority of *Pseudomonas* infection showed mucopurulent type of discharge (50%) followed by *S. aureus* (33.33%). Purulent discharge was common in *Pseudomonas* (37.5%) and *S. aureus* (37.5%). Mucoid discharge was common in *Klebsiella* (16.67%) followed by *Pseudomonas* (27.78%) infection. *Pseudomonas* has maximum sensitivity to Cf (91.3%) and high sensitivity for G. (82.6), Ce (73.9%), Ak (65.2%). High resistance was found for Cu (34.7%) and Of (38.5%). *S. aureus* has high sensitivity for Ak (80%), high resistance was found for cotrimoxazole (Co) (46.6%). *Klebsiella* has high sensitivity to Cf and G. (both being 100%), also Ak and Ce had sensitivity (both being 80%). Moderate resistance was found for cephalexin (Cn) (40%). *Acinetobacter* has 100% sensitivity to Ak, Cf, G. High resistance to Cu. *Proteus* showed 100% sensitivity to Cf and G., high sensitivity to Ak and Ce (66.6%). Resistance was found to Cn and Cu (33.3%). *E. coli* had 100% sensitivity to Ak, Cf, G. and high resistance to Cu.

Table 2: Comparison of Organisms vs Antibiotic Resistance

	Cu	Of	Co	Cn
<i>Pseudomonas</i>	8 (34.7 %)	6 (26.08%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
<i>S. aureus</i>	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	7 (46.6%)	0 (0%)
<i>Klebsiella</i>	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (40%)
<i>E. coli</i>	0 (0%)	1 (33.3%)	1 (33.3%)	0 (0%)
<i>Proteus</i>	1 (33.3%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (33.3%)
<i>Acinetobacter</i>	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)

Due to changing pattern of bacteriological profile of otitis media and sensitivity of microorganisms towards antibiotics, it has become very important to find out the organism causing the disease^[3]. In the present study the prevalence of CSOM was higher in the age

group of 21-30 years (23.2%), followed by 11-20 years (17.8%). According to study of Poorey V.K., Iyer, a common age group was first and second decades of life, among them 1-10 years age group was more common (46%), which differ from our study^[1]. In the present series of 56 ears of CSOM, we found that *P. aeruginosa* 23 (41.07%) was the commonest organism followed by *S. aureus* 15 (26.79%), *Klebsiella* 5 (8.93%), *E. coli* 3 (5.36%), *Proteus* 3 (5.3%) and *Acinetobacter* 1 (1.79%). No growth was found in 5 (8.9%) and commensal in 1 (1.8%). In the present study, majority of *Pseudomonas* infection (50%), *S. aureus* (33.3%) showed mucopurulent type of discharge. Mucoid discharge was common in *Pseudomonas* (27%), *Klebsiella* (16.67%). In our series 100% of no growth had mucoid discharge. In the present study, *Pseudomonas* was highly sensitive to Cf (91.3%) and G. (82.6%), followed by Ce (73.9%), Ak (65.2%), *Pseudomonas* showed maximum resistance to Cu (34.7%) followed by Of (26.08%). According to the study by Vijaya^[8], *P. aeruginosa* showed maximum sensitivity to Ak and Norfloxacin (Nx) (68.1% each), followed by G. (50%) and Cn (45.4%), which differ from our study. In our study, *S. aureus* had maximum sensitivity to Ak (80%), followed by Ce (66.6%), Cf and G. High resistance was found for Co (46.6%). In our study *Klebsiella* showed high sensitivity to Cf and G. (both being 100%), followed by Ak and Ce (both being 80%). Moderate resistance was found for Cn (40%). In our study, *Acinetobacter* had 50% sensitivity to Ak, Cf, G. and Ce. It showed 100% resistance to Cu. *Proteus* species 100% sensitivity to Cf and G. High sensitivity to Ak, Ce (66.7%). Resistance was found to Cu and Cn (33.3%). In present study, *E. coli* had 100% sensitivity to Ak, Cf, G. and Ce 50% of them had resistance to Co and Of. According to Vijaya D in 2000, *E. coli* had 33.3% sensitivity to Ak, G. and Chloromycetin, which differ from our study. According to Moorthy^[9], there were 192 bacterial isolates comprising mainly *Staphylococcus aureus* (36%), *Proteus* species (32%), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (24%) and coagulase-negative *Staphylococcus* (20%) this differs from the present study. Among the topical antibiotics, ciprofloxacin had the highest susceptibility rate (89%) for all the isolates tested followed by gentamicin (76.5%) and chloramphenicol (59.3%) which correlates to our present study. According to Vishwanath^[10], out of the 100 samples, 62 were culture positive where *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* was the most common pathogen followed by *Staphylococcus aureus*. Most of the cultured organisms in our study were sensitive to drug Ciprofloxacin which correlates to our present study. According to Kumar^[11], the most common causal organisms isolated were *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* 80

(45.9%) followed by *Staphylococcus aureus* 46 (26.4%) amongst the 167 (87.9%) bacterial isolates (including 10 isolates of MRSA) which correlates to our study. Fungi accounted for 7 (3.7%) of the isolates while 16 (8.4%) were culture negative isolates. The antimicrobial profile of the major isolates i.e. *Pseudomonas* and *Staph. Aureus* revealed maximum sensitivity to Piperacillin/Tazobactam against 90% isolates which differs from our study. According to Suneer^[12] Culture of aural swab demonstrate the polymicrobial bacteriology consisting of both gram positive and negative pathogens, while gram negative pathogens form the majority of both tubotympanic and atticoantral disease. Mixed growth is more common in attico-antral disease. In our study, Ciprofloxacin and Cefotaxime has found out to be the drug of choice for both tubotympanic and atticoantral disease with high sensitivity which correlates to our present study.

CONCLUSION

- Cf was the most effective antibiotic followed by Ak, G, Ce.
- In both TTD, AAD, No anaerobic organisms were cultured.
- In the present era of antibiotics, the emergence of antibiotic resistance is becoming more common. The most important factor responsible for the development of antibiotic resistance is human negligence. As soon as, symptoms subside, patients stop taking antibiotics before completion of therapy and allow partially resistant microbes to flourish. Such practice should be discouraged and patients should be educated to avoid the same.
- So it becomes very important that each case of CSOM should be studied bacteriologically so as to prevent administration of unwanted antibiotics. This certainly will help in achieving dry ear and preventing complications.

REFERENCES

1. Poorey, V.K. and A. Iyer, 2002. Study of bacterial flora in csom and its clinical significance. *Indian J. Otolaryngology Head Neck Surg.*, 54: 91-95.
2. Gupta V., A. Gupta and K. Sivarajan., 1998. Chronic Suppurative Otitis Media: An Aerobic Microbiological study. *Indian Journal of Otology.*, 4: 79-82.
3. Wright A., 1997. Anatomy and Ultra structure of the Human Ear Scott Brown's Otolaryngology. In: Butterworth Heinemann: Oxford., In: Gleeson M.Ed., (Ed.), 0 pp: 15-28.
4. Schuknecht H.F., 1993. Pathology of the Ear. 2nd Ed., Edn., Lee and Febiger., Pennsylvania., Pages: 31.
5. Ballantyne J. , 1979. Anatomy of the Ear. In: Edn Scott Brown's Disease of the Ear, Nose and Throat., In: Ballantyne J. and J. Groves., (Eds.), Butterworth and Co (Publishers) Ltd., London., 0 pp: 6-21.
6. Proctor B., 1989. Surgical Anatomy of the Ear and Temporal Bone. 1st ed., Edn., Thieme Medical Publishers., Inc: New York., ISBN-14: 978-086577 2953.
7. Shambaugh G.E. and M.E. Glasscock., 1980. Surgery of the Ear. 3rd Ed., Edn., W.B Saunders Company., Philadelphia., ISBN-14: 978-07216814 29.
8. Vijaya D., 2000. Aerobes, Anaerobes and Fungi in Chronic Suppurative Otitis Media. *Indian Journal of Otology.*, 6: 55-58.
9. Moorthy, P.N.S., J. Lingaiah, S. Katari and A. Nakirakanti, 2013. Clinical Application of a Microbiological Study on Chronic Suppurative Otitis Media. *Int. J. Otolaryngology Head and amp., Neck Surg.*, Vol. 2 .10.4236/ijohns.2013. 26060.
10. Vishwanath, S., C. Mukhopadhyay, R. Prakash, S. Pillai, K. Pujary and P. Pujary, 2012. Chronic Suppurative Otitis Media: Optimizing Initial Antibiotic Therapy in a Tertiary Care Setup. *Indian J. Otolaryngology Head and Neck Surg.*, 64: 285-289.
11. Kumar R., R. Agarwal and S. Gupta., 2015. A microbiological study of chronic suppurative otitis media. *Int J Recent Sci Res.*, 6: 5487-5490.
12. S.R. and S. L., 2017. Micro biological evaluation of aural swab culture and antibiotic sensitivity in 100 cases of tubotympanic and attico antral disease. *Int. J. Otorhinolaryngol. Head Neck Surg.*, 3: 666-670.