

## Morphological Characterization of Indigenous and Crossbred Pigs in Rural and Peri-Urban Areas of Southwestern Nigeria

A.C. Adeola, S.O. Oseni and O.G. Omitogun

Department of Animal Sciences, Obafemi Awolowo University, 2220005 Ile-Ife, Nigeria

**Abstract:** Researchers sought to determine morphological descriptors of Nigerian Indigenous Pigs (NIP) and Crossbred Pigs (CBP) based on relationships among Live Weight (LW) and a suite of 18 morphometric measurements plus the number of teats. Researchers sampled four locations in Southwestern Nigeria and obtained data for a total of 120 NIP and CBP. More female pigs (61.7%) than males (38.3%) were sampled and they had a mean live weight of  $19.9 \pm 6.10$  kg (range 9-32 kg) and  $20.1 \pm 6.08$  kg (range 8-37 kg), respectively. The NIP had a longer snout, wider head and longer, erect ears than CBP ( $p < 0.0001$ ). Morphological variables that were highly correlated with LW included Neck Circumference (NC), Breast Height (BH), Rump Height (RH), Body Length (BL), Interorbital Width (IW), Paunch Girth (PG), Hearth Girth (HG), Tail Length (TL) and Length of Snout (LS) with Spearman correlation coefficients ( $r^2$ ) of 0.97, 0.92, 0.96, 0.97, 0.91, 0.97, 0.97, 0.90 and 0.93, respectively (all  $p < 0.0001$ ). Teat number for NIP ranged from 5-14 while the CBP had a range of 10-16 teats. For male NIP, HG and TL best-fit in the model for body weight prediction ( $LW = -25.71 + 0.43 HG + 2.21 TL$ ;  $R^2 = 0.93$ ;  $p < 0.0001$ ) while HG and IW had the best-fit for the female NIP ( $LW = -28.27 + 0.50 HG + 2.22 IW$ ;  $R^2 = 0.96$ ;  $p < 0.0001$ ). Models for male and female CBP were  $LW = -8.89 + 0.32 RH + 0.34 BL$  ( $R^2 = 0.84$ ;  $p < 0.0001$ ) and  $LW = -13.01 + 0.44 RH + 0.27 BL$  ( $R^2 = 0.94$ ;  $p < 0.0001$ ), respectively. Thus, for these populations of pigs, LS and TN differentiated NIP from CBP because the NIP consistently recorded longer LS and lesser TN.

**Key words:** Nigerian indigenous pigs, live weight, morphological descriptors, relationship, CBP

---

### INTRODUCTION

Pigs are one of the most prolific and fast growing livestock that can convert food waste to valuable products. The value of a pig carcass for meat production depends primarily on carcass weight and on the relative proportions of fat and lean meat. As consumer preferences become more specific, the shape of retail cuts and thus carcass conformation plays an increasingly significant role in the pork industry (Brown, 2004). Pigs have several advantages over other species of farm animals including high prolificacy involving the possibility of two or more litters per year. Hence, experimental lines can be produced faster than most other farm animals.

In many societies in the developing world, indigenous pigs are the favourite monogastric mammal rural families use to supplement protein intake and family income because of their less intensive management and feed requirements (Omitogun, 2004). The production of indigenous stocks of pigs is recommended as an alternative source of cheap, high quality dietary protein for the escalating human population (Okorie, 1978). Pig production is relatively inexpensive due to fast growth

rate, short generation interval and high production potential, prolific fecundity (Holness, 1991; Osaro, 1995), highly efficient carcass yield and high adaptability to varied environmental conditions. Further, pork has good meat to bone ratio (Ikani and Dafwang, 1995; Olomu and Oboh, 1995). The intake of protein in Nigeria stands at 3.5 g/caput/day (Ironkwe and Amefule, 2008) and this is far <35 g caput/day recommended by the World Health Organisation.

Limited information exists on the relationship between body weight and linear measurements for Nigerian Indigenous Pigs (NIP) and their Crossbreed Pigs (CBP) with exotic forms such as large white. Such inferences are important in anthropogenic selection for frame size, drug administration, feeding and marketing of live weight and carcasses, among other potential applications. For example, morphometric measurements characterize and establish breed standards in the production of West African Dwarf (WAD) goats in rural communities (Oseni *et al.*, 2006). Linear body measurements are closely related to live weights for pigs (Sulabo *et al.*, 2006; Machebe and Ezekwe, 2008) and in other farm animals including goats and sheep (Adewumi *et al.*, 2003; Thiruvankadan, 2005; James *et al.*,

2007; Ladan *et al.*, 2009), poultry (Akanno *et al.*, 2007; Ibe, 1989, 1990), cattle (Orheruata and Olutogun, 1994) and rabbits (Abdullah *et al.*, 2006; Okoro *et al.*, 2008). Such zoometrical measurements of body parts can predict live weight at relatively lower costs with a high relative accuracy and consistency.

The objectives of this study are to determine relationships among body weight and linear measurements in NIP and their CBP particularly crosses between NIP and large white and to establish morphological descriptors for this composite pig population.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Study sites:** The study was conducted in Southwestern Nigeria. The region was located between 7° and 9°S and between 3° and about 6°W (Fig. 1). The study sites were located at elevations ranging from 200-500 m above sea level. The region enjoyed abundant and reliable rainfall from April through October.

One hundred twenty samples of pigs were collected from four different locations of >100 km apart. Forty one CBP were from Obafemi Awolowo University (OAU), Teaching and Research Farm (T&R Farm), Osun State; these pigs had a history of >5 years crossbreeding between selected or introduced commercial exotic founder stocks that were contaminated with other breeds of pigs. The remaining three locations contained indigenous pigs. First, 30 NIP were from Ogbooro, Saki Oyo State. Local pigs kept in a mud house were released to roam about the village. This area was dominated by Muslims who do not rear or eat pigs; a few educated Christian families inherited the animals from their forefathers and this, to some extent, restricted infiltration by other breeds of pigs from the neighbouring states. Second, 16 NIP were from the Institute of Agricultural Research and Training (IAR&T), Ibadan, Oyo State. They were kept on a research farm and had regular feeding and record-keeping for each animal. Third, 33 pigs were from Igbara Odo, Ekiti State. These local pigs were allowed to roam about scavenging for survival and they had a history of being reared for over



Fig. 1: The map of Southwestern Nigeria showing the various locations where animals were sampled

many years. These areas were identified and confirmed to have uncontaminated NIP after the field reconnaissance survey of the states around OAU and personal interviews of the pig farmers. The 18 variables measured (Fig. 2 and 3) for each of the animals were as follows:

**Linear body measurements:** The following linear body measurements and counts were taken: Head Width (HeW), the distance between the two zygomatic arches; Head Length (HL), the distance between the snout and occipital tip of the animal; Rump Width (RW), the




Fig. 2: Schematic diagram indicating various linear measurements taken on the pig. BL = Body Length; WH = Wither Height; RH = Rump Height; SW = Shoulder Width; HW = Hip Width; RL = Rump Length; RW = Rump Width; TL = Tail Length; BH = Breast Height; HL = Head Length; EL = Ear Length; LS = Length of Snout; IW = Interorbital Width; HeW = Head Width; NC = Neck Circumference; HG = Heart Girth



Fig. 3: A representative picture of Nigerian Indigenous Pigs (NIP)

distance between the external iliac tuberosities; Rump Length (RL): the distance between the end of ischion and the beginning of the rump (external iliac tuberosity); Rump Height (RH), the distance between the highest point of the hip bone and the ground; Length of Snout (LS), the distance between the frontal nasal suture and upper part of the snout; Breast Height (BH), the distance between the withers most sloping part and the sternum most curved part; Wither Height (WH), the distance between the highest part of wither and the ground; Body Length (BL), the distance from the point of the shoulder to the pin bone; Interorbital Width (IW), the shortest distance between the two eye sockets; Paunch Girth (PG), the length of the circumference of the pelvic region just in front of the hip; Heart Girth (HG), the length of the circumference immediately behind the front legs; Neck Circumference (NC), the length of the circumference of the neck; Ear Length (EL), the distance between the tip of the ear and the base; Hip Width (HW), the distance between the points of the hip (hindquarters); Shoulder Width (SW), the distance between the points of the shoulder; Tail Length (TL), the distance from the tip to the base of the tail; Live Weight (LW), the live animal body weight and the Teat Number (TN) that observed for the each animal sampled.

Each animal was gently restrained while taking the measurements. Weights (kg) were taken with the aid of weighing scale and linear measurements (cm) were taken with a tape rule. Animal ages were estimated by stage of dental growth as described by Matschke (1967) and pigs were assigned to one of three in age groups: 4-12, 12-24 and 24-48 months.

Means and standard error for body weight and linear body measurements were calculated using the General Linear Model Procedure of SAS Software. The simple Linear Correlation Procedure of SAS was used to establish the strength of linear relationship and association between the different linear body measurements together with the bodyweight.

Linear and multiple regression analysis were carried out using the PROC REG procedures of SAS Institute (2004) and the stepwise model selection option. Prediction equations that related to body weight and linear body measurements were chosen based on values of the adjusted  $R^2$  and RSD reporting the equation with the highest  $R^2$  and the lowest RSD values. Researchers employed the following model:

$$Y_{ij} = a + b_i x_i + e_{ij}$$

Where:

$Y_{ij}$  = The dependent variable body weight

$a$  = Intercept

$b_i$  = Partial regression coefficient of the dependent variable  
 $x_i$  =  $i$ th independent variable  
 $e_{ij}$  = Random error

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This study sampled more female pigs (61.7%) than males (38.3%) with a mean live weight of 19.9±6.10 kg (range 9-32 kg) and 20.1±6.08 kg (8-37 kg), respectively. This structure reflected the population of pigs generally kept by subsistence peasant farmers in rural areas. Generally, rural farmers were artistic breeders who naturally and traditionally knew that a constant flock size essentially depends on a large number of reproductively active females that must be kept for long periods of time. The means of live body weight and other morphological measurements for all animals sampled were summarized in Table 1. The CBP sampled from research farm, OAU had a mean live weight of 17.1 kg in the 4-24 months age group. NIP sampled from Igbara Odo had a mean live weight of 22.4 kg. Within the population sampled at IAR&T, the mean body weight was 18.1 kg. The mean live weight for samples from Ogbooro was 22.1 kg. The location of sampling did not have any effect on WH, RL and RW ( $p<0.05$ ). The weight of the NIP ranged between 11 and 37 kg with the overall mean weight of 21.4±6.40 kg; these animals were in 12-48 months age group.

Correlation coefficients among live weight and morphometric traits in NIP and CBP were shown in Table 2. Morphological variables that were highly correlated with body weight included NC, BH, RH, BL, IW, PG, HG, TL and LS with correlation coefficients of 0.97, 0.92, 0.96, 0.97, 0.91, 0.97, 0.97, 0.90 and 0.93, respectively (in all  $p<0.0001$ ). This indicated that depending on genetic correlations, selection for these traits could have resulted in responses in the correlated traits.

The NIP had longer snout, wider head and longer erect ears than the CBP ( $p<0.0001$ ) (Table 3). The TN recorded for the NIP had a mean of 11.5±1.76 with a range of 5-14 indicating an odd number along the belly line. In comparison, the CBP had a range of from 10-16 teats with a mean of 14.0±1.46. The best prediction equation for NIP was:

$$LW = -5.03 + 0.58 HG; R^2 = 0.89$$

while that of CBP was (Table 4):

$$LW = -5.95 + 0.51 BL; R^2 = 0.83$$

Table 1: Least-squares means for the morphological variable analyzed for each location

Variables	T&R Farm	Ogbooro	IAR&T	Igbara Odo
LW	17.1 <sup>b</sup>	22.4 <sup>a</sup>	18.1 <sup>b</sup>	22.1 <sup>a</sup>
BL	45.0 <sup>a</sup>	45.3 <sup>a</sup>	39.5 <sup>b</sup>	43.7 <sup>ab</sup>
WH	37.3 <sup>a</sup>	46.0 <sup>a</sup>	29.5 <sup>a</sup>	32.2 <sup>a</sup>
RH	40.9 <sup>a</sup>	35.7 <sup>b</sup>	31.8 <sup>b</sup>	34.2 <sup>b</sup>
SW	10.2 <sup>b</sup>	11.2 <sup>ab</sup>	10.6 <sup>b</sup>	11.6 <sup>a</sup>
HW	9.2 <sup>b</sup>	10.0 <sup>ab</sup>	10.2 <sup>a</sup>	10.7 <sup>a</sup>
RL	10.2 <sup>a</sup>	10.9 <sup>a</sup>	10.6 <sup>a</sup>	10.1 <sup>a</sup>
RW	8.6 <sup>a</sup>	10.2 <sup>a</sup>	9.8 <sup>a</sup>	10.3 <sup>a</sup>
TL	12.1 <sup>ab</sup>	12.4 <sup>ab</sup>	11.9 <sup>b</sup>	12.6 <sup>b</sup>
BH	19.7 <sup>c</sup>	29.4 <sup>a</sup>	25.0 <sup>b</sup>	26.4 <sup>ab</sup>
HL	15.2 <sup>b</sup>	17.3 <sup>a</sup>	16.5 <sup>a</sup>	17.0 <sup>a</sup>
EL	9.4 <sup>c</sup>	14.2 <sup>a</sup>	13.1 <sup>b</sup>	13.2 <sup>b</sup>
LS	9.0 <sup>c</sup>	14.8 <sup>a</sup>	13.3 <sup>b</sup>	13.9 <sup>ab</sup>
IW	5.2 <sup>c</sup>	8.0 <sup>b</sup>	7.8 <sup>b</sup>	8.6 <sup>a</sup>
HeW	7.0 <sup>c</sup>	10.2 <sup>b</sup>	10.7 <sup>ab</sup>	11.3 <sup>a</sup>
NC	27.2 <sup>b</sup>	34.6 <sup>a</sup>	25.0 <sup>b</sup>	27.6 <sup>b</sup>
HG	44.6 <sup>ab</sup>	48.1 <sup>a</sup>	40.5 <sup>b</sup>	45.1 <sup>ab</sup>
PG	39.0 <sup>a</sup>	41.3 <sup>a</sup>	33.9 <sup>b</sup>	36.9 <sup>ab</sup>
TN	14.0 <sup>a</sup>	10.7 <sup>b</sup>	11.4 <sup>b</sup>	11.6 <sup>b</sup>

\*\*\*Means within a row with the same superscripts are not significantly different ( $p<0.05$ ). TN: measured by counting, LW: measured in kg and other variables measured in cm; T&R Farm = Crossbred samples from Teaching and Research farm; Igbara, IAR&T, Ogbooro are the local animals sampled from Igbara Odo, IAR&T and Ogbooro respectively; LW = Live Weight; BL = Body Length; WH = Wither Height; RH = Rump Height; SW = Shoulder Width; HW = Hip Width; RL = Rump Length; RW = Rump Width; TL = Tail Length; BH = Breast Height; HL = Head Length; EL = Ear Length; LS = Length of Snout; IW = Inter orbital Width; HeW = Head Width; NC = Neck Circumference; HG = Heart Girth; PG = Paunch Girth

Table 2: Correlation coefficients among live weight and morphometric traits in NIPs and crossbred pigs

Location	Sex	Age (m)	BL	WH	RH	SW	HW	RL	RW	TL	BH	HL	EL	LS	IW	HeW	NC	HG	PG
All	M	All	0.83	0.70	0.61	0.62	-	-	0.61	0.66	0.83	-	0.66	0.66	0.60	-	0.79	0.79	0.74
All	F	All	0.86	-	0.70	-	-	-	0.62	-	0.85	-	-	-	-	-	0.76	0.83	0.74
T&R Farm	Both	All	0.91	0.88	0.86	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
T&R Farm	Both	4-12	0.86	0.94	0.88	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
T&R Farm	Both	12-24	0.82	0.71	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Igbara	Both	All	0.94	-	0.92	0.64	0.70	0.66	0.74	0.68	0.92	0.81	0.92	0.93	0.73	0.70	0.93	0.95	0.93
IAR&T	Both	All	0.97	0.90	0.95	0.89	0.87	0.90	0.93	0.90	0.90	-	0.67	0.85	0.91	-	0.97	0.97	0.96
Ogbooro	Both	All	0.95	0.96	0.96	0.88	0.62	0.74	0.63	0.67	0.93	0.55	0.72	0.79	0.79	0.67	0.93	0.96	0.97
Local	Both	All	0.93	-	0.91	0.71	0.61	0.68	0.71	0.72	0.90	0.69	0.76	0.82	0.72	-	0.83	0.94	0.89
Local	Both	12-24	0.88	0.78	0.79	-	-	-	-	0.76	0.65	-	0.74	0.83	0.77	-	0.70	0.90	0.80
Local	Both	24-48	0.91	-	0.88	-	-	-	-	-	0.87	-	-	-	-	-	0.71	0.92	0.83

$p<0.0001$  level of significance; NB: Only  $p<0.0001$  level of significance were included in the table; All: this is the all the 120 animals sampled from the four different locations; Local: this is the 79 local animals sampled from the three different locations; T&R Farm: Crossbred samples from Teaching and Research Farm Igbara, IAR&T, Ogbooro are the local animals sampled from Igbara Odo, IAR&T and Ogbooro respectively; LW = Live Weight; BL = Body Length; WH = Wither Height; RH = Rump Height; SW = Shoulder Width; HW = Hip Width; RL = Rump Length; RW = Rump Width; TL = Tail Length; BH = Breast Height; HL = Head Length; EL = Ear Length; LS = Length of Snout; IW = Interorbital Width; HeW = Head Width; NC = Neck Circumference; HG = Heart Girth; PG = Paunch Girth

The multiple regression model for male NIP was:

$$LW = -25.71 + 0.43 HG + 2.21 TL; R^2 = 0.93$$

and for females:

$$LW = 28.27 + 0.5 HG + 2.22 IW; R^2 = 0.96$$

The best model for male CBP was:

$$LW = -8.89 + 0.25 RH + 0.35 BL; R^2 = 0.84$$

and for females:

$$LW = 13.01 + 0.44 RH + 0.27 BL; R^2 = 0.94$$

Table 3: Least-squares means by breed type and the corresponding levels of significance

Variables (cm)	Crossbred pigs	Local pigs
LW	20.5 <sup>a</sup>	16.40 <sup>b</sup>
BL	50.3 <sup>a</sup>	36.60 <sup>b</sup>
WH	40.7 <sup>a</sup>	26.10 <sup>b</sup>
RH	44.1 <sup>a</sup>	28.00 <sup>b</sup>
SW	10.8	10.40
HW	9.9 <sup>a</sup>	9.70 <sup>b</sup>
RL	11.1	10.30
RW	9.4 <sup>a</sup>	9.50 <sup>b</sup>
TL	12.5 <sup>a</sup>	12.00 <sup>b</sup>
BH	21.1	22.00
HL	15.5	16.30
EL	10.3 <sup>a</sup>	12.95 <sup>b</sup>
LS	9.9 <sup>a</sup>	13.50 <sup>b</sup>
IW	5.6 <sup>a</sup>	7.90 <sup>b</sup>
HeW	7.9 <sup>a</sup>	10.30 <sup>b</sup>
NC	28.8 <sup>a</sup>	24.30 <sup>b</sup>
HG	45.6 <sup>a</sup>	38.00 <sup>b</sup>
PG	40.7 <sup>a</sup>	31.00 <sup>b</sup>
TN (No.)	14.0 <sup>a</sup>	11.50 <sup>b</sup>

Crossbred pigs (Crossbred); Local pigs: Nigerian Indigenous Pigs (NIPs); Means with the same superscript are not significantly different ( $p < 0.05$ ); LW = Live Weight; BL = Body Length; WH = Withers Height; RH = Rump Height; SW = Shoulder Width; HW = Hip Width; RL = Rump Length; RW = Rump Width; TL = Tail Length; BH = Breast Height; HL = Head Length; EL = Ear Length; LS = Length of Snout; IW = Interorbital Width; HeW = Head length; NC = Neck Circumference; HG = Heart Girth; PG = Paunch Girth; TN = Teat Number

Higher HG and TL were established as morphometric traits useful for predicting the live weight of male NIP while HG and IW for female NIP in Nigeria. For CBP, BL and RH were useful for predicting live weight in both sexes.

The low mean live weight and body measurements recorded in samples from Igbara Odo, IAR&T and Ogbooro show that the NIP are generally smaller than imported commercial pigs and their crossbreds. For example, in Tanzania, Mbaga *et al.* (2005) recorded a range of live BW, from 30-64 kg, in adult local pigs. Similarly, Pan *et al.* (2005) reported BWs of  $38.9 \pm 1.49$  kg for 5 months and  $106.3 \pm 0.31$  kg for 1 year old Ghongroo pigs in India. These contrasting differences may be driven by environmental influences such as climate, nutrition and management. However, the study agrees with the findings of Holness (1991) that indigenous breeds are smaller with shorter legs than exotic types. Smaller size may yield a greater ability to survive under the harsh conditions than larger size as an evolutionary adaptation to conditions of low-input production (Lekule and Kyvsgaard, 2003).

The longer snout, wider head and longer erect ears indicates that the NIP represents a largely unselected genetic group of pigs. Sonaiya (1986) confirmed higher fat deposition at the shoulder region than at the rump area. Breeds do not differ significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) between SW, HW, RW, BH and HL. The longer snout observed in IAR&T, Igbara Odo and Ogbooro is characteristic of West African indigenous pigs and this is a distinguishing physical feature of this genetic group. Earlier, Pathiraja and Oyedipe (1990), Adebambo (1994), Blench (2000), Mbaga *et al.* (2005) and Ademola *et al.* (2009) reported a long, straight, pointed snout and strong jaws in NIP and local pigs respectively.

The odd TN of NIP is similar to Mexican hairless pigs (Lemus *et al.*, 2003), Kele indigenous pigs found in Southwest China (Zheng, 1984), Chato Murciano pigs (Peinado *et al.*, 2006), local pigs in Tanzania (Mbaga *et al.*, 2005) and Majorcan black pigs in Spain (Jaume and

Table 4: Prediction equations for live weight based on stepwise regression analysis in NIPs and crossbreds

Genotype	Location	Sex	Simple regression equation	Coefficient of determination	Multiple regression equation	Coefficient of determination
Crossbred	T&R Farm	MF	-5.95+0.51 BL	0.83	-11.47+0.32 RH+0.34 BL	0.90
		M	-	-	-8.89+0.25 RH+0.35 BL	0.84
		F	-	-	13.01+0.44 RH+0.27 BL	0.94
Local	Igbara	MF	-2.08+0.50 HG	0.91	-	-
	IAR&T	MF	-14.39+0.80 HG	0.95	-	-
	Ogbooro	MF	-11.66+0.91 PG	0.95	-	-
	local	MF	-5.03+0.58 HG	0.89	-	-
	local	M	-	-	-25.71+0.43 HG+2.21 TL	0.93
	local	F	-	-	28.27+0.50 HG+2.22 IW	0.96

M = Male; F = Female; MF = Male and Female; Local: 79 locals sampled from three different locations; T&R Farm: Crossbred sampled from Teaching and Research Farm; Igbara, IAR&T, Ogbooro are the local animals sampled from Igbara Odo, IAR&T and Ogbooro, respectively; LW = Live Weight; BL = Body Length; WH = Withers Height; RH = Rump Height; SW = Shoulder Width; HW = Hip Width; RL = Rump Length; RW = Rump Width; TL = Tail Length; BH = Breast Height; HL = Head Length; EL = Ear Length; LS = Length of Snout; IW = Interorbital Width; HeW = Head Width; NC = Neck Circumference; HG = Heart Girth; PG = Paunch Girth

Alfonso, 2000). In some cases, the lower TN in the NIP serves to differentiate them from CBP, although the ranges overlap.

## CONCLUSION

NIP has the potential to be developed in order to contribute significantly to the indigenous pig industry based on their positive qualities such as valuable source of meat and secondary income to the rural household economy, the hardiness and adaptability to harsh management conditions and in view of the breed going into extinction.

HG fits best in the linear regression model ( $R^2 = 0.89$ ) and is useful for predicting body weight in NIP. The character is useful for predicting boar BW (Sulabo *et al.*, 2006) and growing-finishing pigs in Kansas State University (Groesbeck *et al.*, 2002).

When there are no weighing scales, morphometric measurements such as HG, TL, IW, BL and RH are useful for estimating and predicting the live weight in the NIP and CBP. Similarly, in the absence of a standard ruler in rural areas, researchers recommend using calibrated sticks.

## REFERENCES

- Abdullah, A.R., O.A. Sokunbi, O.O. Omisola and M.K.A. Adewumi, 2006. Interrelationships between bodyweight and linear body measurement in domestic rabbit (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*). Proceedings of the 28th Annual Conference of the Nigerian Society for Animal Production, March 16-20, 2003, Nigeria, pp: 113-136.
- Adebambo, O.A., 1994. A proposed animal breeding programme in Nigeria: African animal genetic resources: Their characterization, conservation and utilization. Proceedings of the Resource Planning Workshop, February 19-21, 1992, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, pp: 640.
- Ademola, S.G., L.O. Ojedapo, T.B. Olayeni and I.A. Emiola, 2009. A Practical Approach to Animal Production and Health. Oluseyi Press Ltd., Ibadan, Nigeria, pp: 36.
- Adewumi, O.O., J.A. Alokun and A.G. Ologun, 2003. The relationship between some body measurements and milk yield of lactating ewes. J. Agric. For. Fish., 4: 44-48.
- Akanno, E.C., P.K. Ole, I.C. Okoli and U.E. Ogundu, 2007. Performance characteristics and prediction of body weight of broiler strains using linear body measurements. Proceedings of the 32nd Annual Conference on Nigerian Society for Animal Production, March 18-21, 2007, Nigeria.
- Blench, R.M., 2000. The History of Pigs in Africa. In: The Origins and Development of African Livestock: Archaeology, Genetics, Linguistics, and Ethnography, Blench, R.M. and K.C. MacDonald (Eds.). Taylor and Francis Group, USA., pp: 355-367.
- Brown, C., 2004. The Changing Market: Perspective from Multiple Retailers. In: The Applianse of Pig Science, Thompson, J.E., B.P. Gill and M.A. Varley (Eds.). British Society of Animal Science, UK., pp: 19-22.
- Groesbeck, C.N., R.D. Goodband, J.M. DeRouchey, M.D. Tokach and S.S. Nelssen *et al.*, 2002. Using heart girth to determine weight in finishing pigs. Swine Day 2002, pp: 166-168.
- Holness, D.H., 1991. The Tropical Agriculturist (Pigs). Evenements CTA, Wageningen, Netherlands, pp: 1-29.
- Ibe, S.N., 1989. Measures of size and conformation in commercial broilers. J. Anim. Breed. Genet., 106: 461-469.
- Ibe, S.N., 1990. Effect of feed restriction on principal component measures of body conformation in commercial broiler chickens. Niger. J. Anim. Prod., 17: 1-5.
- Ikani, I.E. and I.I. Dafwang, 1995. Pig production technology for piggery farmers. Extension Bulletin Livestock Series NAERLS, A.B.U., Zaria.
- Ironkwe, M.O. and K.U. Amefule, 2008. Appraisal of indigenous pig production and management practices in Rivers State. Nigeria. J. Agric. Soc. Res., 8: 11-16.
- James, I.J., O.A. Osinowo and T.O. Amoo, 2007. Estimation of live weight from chest girth and wither height measurement in West African dwarf goats. Niger. J. Anim. Prod., 34: 181-187.
- Jaume, J. and L. Alfonso, 2000. The Majorcan black pig. Anim. Genet. Resour. Inform., 27: 53-58.
- Ladan, S.A., J.O. Egahi and N.I. Dim, 2009. Body biometry as a predictive index for body weights in Yankasa ewes under traditional husbandry system in North Central Nigeria. Proceedings of the 34th Annual Conference of the Nigerian Society for Animal Production, March 15-18, 2009, University of Uyo, Uyo, Nigeria.
- Lekule, F.P. and N.C. Kyvsgaard, 2003. Improving pig husbandry in tropical resource-poor communities and its potential to reduce risk of porcine cysticercosis. Acta Tropica, 87: 111-117.
- Lemus, F.C., M.R. Alonso, M. Alonso-Spilsbury and N.R. Ramirez, 2003. Morphologic characteristics in mexican native pigs. Archivos Zootechnia, 52: 105-108.

- Machebe, N.S. and A.G. Ezekwe, 2008. Predicting body weight of growing-finishing gilts reared in the tropics using linear body measurements. Proceedings of the 13th Annual Conference of the Animal Science Association of Nigeria, September 15-19, 2008, Abu Zaria, Nigeria.
- Matschke, G.H., 1967. Aging European wild hogs by dentition. *J. Wildlife Soc. Bull.*, 31: 109-113.
- Mbaga, S.H., C.M. Lymo, G.C. Kifaro and F.P. Lekule, 2005. Phenotypic characterization and production performance of local pigs under village settings in the Southern Highland zone, Tanzania. *Anim. Genet. Resour. Inform.*, 37: 83-90.
- Okorie, J.U., 1978. A Guide to Livestock Production in Nigeria. Macmillan Ltd., London, UK., ISBN-13: 9789781320132, Pages: 155.
- Okoro, V.M.O., U.E. Ezeokeke, U.E. Ogundu and C. Chukwudim, 2008. Phenotypic correlation between weight and linear body measurement in Chinchilla rabbits. Proceedings of the 13th Annual Conference of the Animal Science Association of Nigeria, September 15-19, 2008, Abu Zaria, Nigeria.
- Olomu, J.M. and S.O. Oboh, 1995. Pig Production in Nigeria: Principles and Practice. Ajachem Publication, Nigeria, pp: 1-52.
- Omitogun, O.G., 2004. Analysis of swine genome organization. Evaluation of G-T-G bands in porcine chromosomes for physical mapping. Proceedings of the 29th Annual Conference of the Genetics Society of Nigeria, October, 11-14, 2004, Abeokuta, Nigeria, pp: 54-57.
- Orheruata, A.M. and O. Olutogun, 1994. Pre-and post-weaning phenotypic relationship between some N'dama cattle linear measurement in the tropics. *Niger. J. Anim. Prod.*, 21: 76-82.
- Osaro, O.M., 1995. Enhancing production performance of smallholder pig farmers. Pig Production Workshop Training Manual. National Agricultural Extension and Research Liaison Services, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Nigeria, pp: 100-130.
- Oseni, S.O., E.B. Sonaiya, O.G. Omitogun, B.A. Ajayi and I. Muritala, 2006. West African Dwarf goat production under village condition: 1. Characterisation and establishment of breed standards. Proceedings of the Conference on International Agricultural Research for Development, October 11-13, 2006, University of Bonn, Germany, pp: 1-5.
- Pan, S., S.K. Misra and M.S. Kundu, 2005. Ghoongroo pig: A new found animal genetic resource of sub-Himalayan West Bengal, India. *Anim. Genet. Resour. Inform.*, 37: 91-96.
- Pathiraja, N. and E.O. Oyedipe, 1990. Indigenous pig of Nigeria. *Anim. Genet. Resour. Inform.*, 7: 63-70.
- Peinado, B., J.L. Vega-Pla, M.A. Martinez, M. Galian, C. Barba, J.V. Delgado and A. Poto, 2006. Chato Muciano pig breed: Genetic and ethno zoological characterization. *Anim. Genet. Resour. Inform.*, 38: 77-86.
- SAS Institute, 2004. SAS Users Guide: Statistics. SAS Institute, Cary, NC.
- Sonaiya, E.B., 1986. Observations on the growth, body dimensions and carcass traits of Nigerian Indigenous pigs. *Nig. J. Anim. Prod.*, 13: 124-130.
- Sulabo, R.C., J. Quackenbush, R.D. Goodband, M.D. Tokach, S.S. Dritz, J.M. DeRouchey and J.L. Nelssen, 2006. Validation of flank-to-flank measurements for predicting boar weight. <http://www.asi.ksu.edu/doc4605.ashx>.
- Thiruvankadan, A.K., 2005. Determination of best-fitted regression model for estimation of body weight in Kanni Adu kids under farmer's management system. *Livest. Res. Rural Dev.*, Vol. 17, No. 7.
- Zheng, P., 1984. Livestock Breeds of China. FAO, Rome, ISBN-13: 9789251021859, Pages: 217.