

Fully Stable Quasi-Prime Module

Muntaha Abdul-Razaq Hasan

Department of Math, College of Basic Education, Mustansiriyah University, Baghdad, Iraq
 muntha_1974razaq.edbs@uomustansiriyah.edu.iq

Abstract: In this research, we shall introduce the concept of fully stable quasi-prime module and give some properties of this type of module.

Key words: Prime module, quasi-prime module, weakle quasi-prime module, fully stable quasi-prime module, properties, module

INTRODUCTION

Let R be a commutative ring with unity and M be an R -module, we introduce that an R -module M is called fully stable quasi-prime module iff $\text{ann}_R M = \text{ann}_R f(N)$, for every non-zero submodule N of M and f be homomorphism function $f: N \rightarrow M$ where:

$$\text{ann}_R M = \{r: r \in R \text{ and } rM = 0\}$$

The main purpose of this research is to investigate the properties of full stable quasi-prime module and we give several characterization of fully stable quasi-prime module. Recall that an R -module is called prime, if $\text{ann}_R M = \text{ann}_R N$ for every non-zero submodule N of M (Al-Bahrany, 1996). The concept of quasi-prime module is introduced by Hassin (1999) where an R -module M is quasi-prime if $\text{ann}_R N$ is prime ideal for every non-zero submodule N of M . Recall that M is called weakly quasi-prime module, if $\text{ann}_R M = \text{ann}_R rM$ for each $r \notin \text{ann}_R M$ (Hassin, 2011). We show that every quasi-prime module is fully stable quasi-prime module and every fully stable quasi-prime module is weakly quasi-prime module but the converse is not true.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fully stable quasi-prime module: In this study, we introduce the concept of fully stable quasi-prime module and give several results about it.

Definition 2.1: Let, N be submodule of an R -module M , then, N is called stable quasi-prime module (briefly sqp) module, if there exist homomorphism function:

$$f: N \rightarrow M; \text{ann}_R f(N) = \text{ann}_R M$$

Definition 2.2: An R -module M is called fully stable quasi-prime module (briefly fsqp) module, if for each N submodule of M is sqp module.

Examples and remarks: Every simple R -module is fsqp module. But the converse is not true, for example, let:

$$f: 2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}; f(2a) = 2a+1; a \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\text{Ann}_R f(2a) = 0 = \text{ann}_R \mathbb{Z}$$

So, \mathbb{Z} as \mathbb{Z} -module is fsqp module but \mathbb{Z} is not simple module. \mathbb{Z}_8 as \mathbb{Z} -module is not fsqp module, since, $\text{ann}_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_8 = 8\mathbb{Z}$. Let:

$$f: [2]\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_8; f([2]) = [2]+1 \text{ so,}$$

$$\text{ann}_R f([2]) \neq 8\mathbb{Z}$$

So, \mathbb{Z}_n as \mathbb{Z} -module is fsqp module iff n is prime. Let, $N = 1/p^n + \mathbb{Z}$ be submodule of a module $M = \mathbb{Z}_p^\infty$. Let, $f: N \rightarrow M; f(N) = 1/p^n$, so, $\text{ann}_{\mathbb{Z}} f(N) = 0$ and $\text{ann}_{\mathbb{Z}} M = 0$, so, N is sqp module of M , so, M is fsqp module. Let $N = (0) + \mathbb{Z}_6$ be submodule of a module $M = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}_6$ where, $f: N \rightarrow M; f((0) + \mathbb{Z}_6) = \mathbb{Z}_6$, so, $\text{ann}_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_6 = \mathbb{Z}_6$ but $\text{ann}_{\mathbb{Z}} M = 0$. So, N is not sqp module, so, M is not fsqp module.

Theorem 2.4: Every quasi-prime module is fsqp module.

Proof: Let, M be q.p module to prove M is fsqp module, i.e., to prove $\text{ann}_R M = \text{ann}_R f(N)$ for each submodule N of M and homomorphism function:

$$f: N \rightarrow M, \text{ since, } f(N) \subseteq M, \text{ so, } \text{ann}_R M \subseteq \text{ann}_R f(N)$$

To prove $\text{ann}_R f(N) \subseteq \text{ann}_R M$: Let $x \in \text{ann}_R f(N)$ and suppose $x \notin \text{ann}_R M$, so, $xM \neq 0$, so, there exist $m_i \in M$;

$x(m_1) \neq 0$ implies $x \notin \text{ann}_R(m_1)$, since, $x \in \text{ann}_R f(N)$ implies $xf(N) = 0$, since, f is homomorphism, so, $f(xN) = f(0) = 0$ implies $xN = 0$ for each $n \in N \subseteq M$, so, $n \in M$.

If $(m_1) \subseteq (n)$ then, $n = cm_1$, so, $xn = xcm_1$ implies $0 = x(cm_1)$, so, $x \in \text{ann}_R(cm_1)$ but M is qp module, so, $\text{ann}_R(cm_1) = \text{ann}_R(m_1)$ by Abdul Razak, so, $x \in \text{ann}_R(m_1)$ which is contradiction.

If $(n) \subseteq (m_1)$ then, $m_1 = cn$ then, $xm_1 = xc n$ where, $xn = 0$ implies $xm_1 = 0$ which is contradiction with hypothesis, so, $x \in \text{ann}_R M$.

The converse of theorem is not true, for example, $M = Z_p^\infty$ is fsqp module by example 3 but is not qp (Hassin, 1999). But if we addition the condition, we can satisfy the converse.

Theorem 2.5: If M is cyclic fsqp module then M is qp module.

Proof: Let, $f: N \rightarrow M$ be homomorphism, $\text{ann}_R f(N) = \text{ann}_R M$, we must prove that M is qp, i.e., $\text{ann}_R M$ is prime ideal.

Let $a, b \in R$, $ab \in \text{ann}_R M$, suppose $a \notin \text{ann}_R M$ and $b \notin \text{ann}_R M$, so, $abM = 0$, so, $abM = 0$ but $aM \neq 0$ and $bM \neq 0$. There exist $x \in M$, $ax \neq 0$ and $bx \neq 0$, since, M is cyclic, let $N = (bx)$, so, $abx = 0$ implies $a \in \text{ann}_R N$, so, $aN = 0$, so, $f(\alpha N) = F(0) = 0$ since, f is homomorphism, so, $af(N)$ implies $a \in \text{ann}_R f(N)$ but M is f.s.q.p module, so, $a \in \text{ann}_R M$ which is a contradiction.

Theorem 2.6: Let, M be cyclic R -module then, the following statement are equivalent:

- M is fsqp module
- M is qp module
- $\text{ann}_R(m) = \text{ann}_R(rm)$, $r \notin \text{ann}_R(m)$

Proof:

- 1 \rightarrow 2 (by th. 2.5)
- 2 \rightarrow 3 by Hassin (1999)
- 3 \rightarrow 1, since, M is cyclic, so, $M = (ry)$, $r \in R$, $y \in M$

To prove $\text{ann}_R f(N) = \text{ann}_R M$ for each f is homomorphism. $f: N \rightarrow M$, since, $f(N) \subseteq M$, so, $\text{ann}_R M \subseteq \text{ann}_R f(N)$. To prove $\text{ann}_R f(N) \subseteq \text{ann}_R M$. $x \in \text{ann}_R f(N)$, so, $xf(N) = 0$, let $y \in f(N)$, so, $y \in M$. Implies $xy = 0$ for each $y \in M$, so, $x \in \text{ann}_R(y) = \text{ann}_R(ry)$, $r \in R$ by hypothesis. So, $x(ry) = 0$ implies $x \in \text{ann}_R M$. So, $\text{ann}_R f(N) \subseteq \text{ann}_R M$ implies. So, M is fsqp module.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Relation between fsqp module and wqp module: In this study, we give the relation between fsqp module and

weakly quasi-prime module where an R -module M is called weakly quasi-prime module (briefly wqp), if $\text{ann}_R M = \text{ann}_R rM$ for $r \notin \text{ann}_R M$ (Hassin, 2011).

Theorem 3.1: Every f.s.q.p module is w.q.p module.

Proof: Let, N be submodule of an R -module M . Let, $f: N \rightarrow M$ be homomorphism function st $\text{ann}_R f(N) = \text{ann}_R M$. To prove $\text{ann}_R rM = \text{ann}_R M$ for each $r \notin \text{ann}_R M$. Since,

$$rM \subseteq M, \text{ so, let } N = rM, \text{ so, } \text{ann}_R M \subseteq \text{ann}_R rN \quad (1)$$

To prove $\text{ann}_R N \subseteq \text{ann}_R M$. Let $x \in \text{ann}_R N$ implies $xN = 0$, so, $xrM = 0$ but f is homomorphism, so, $f(xrM) = f(0) = 0$, so, $x f(rM) = 0$ implies $x \in \text{ann}_R f(rM)$ but M is f.s.q.p module, so, $x \in \text{ann}_R M$.

Note 3.2: The convers of theorem 3-1 is not true, for example. Let, $M = Z + Z_p$; p is prime number is wqp module (Hassin, 2011) but is not f.q.p module see [example and remark 2-3. But, we can give the equivalent by the theorem.

Theorem 3.3: Let, M be cyclic R -module then the following statement are equivalent:

- M is qp module
- M is fsqp module
- M is wqp module

Proof: 1 \rightarrow 2 by (2-4 theorem) and 2 \rightarrow 3 by (3-1 theorem) and 3 \rightarrow 2 by [3, 2-8 proposition] implies M is q.p module and by (2-4 theorem), so, M is fsqp module and 3 \rightarrow 1 by Hassin (2011).

Recall that an R -module M is called multiplication if for each submodule N of M ; $N = IM$ for some ideal I of R (Atani, 2004; Kasch, 1982).

Theorem 3.4: Let, I be ideal of ring R , then, every multiplication wqp module is fs qp module.

Proof: Let, N be submodule of an R -module M , since, M is multiplication, then, $N = IM$, so, there exist a homomorphism function.

$$f: IM \rightarrow M, \text{ to prove } \text{ann}_R f(N) = \text{ann}_R M$$

$$\text{i.e., } \text{ann}_R f(IM) = \text{ann}_R M$$

Since,

$$f(IM) \subseteq M, \text{ so, } \text{ann}_R M \subseteq \text{ann}_R f(IM) \quad (1)$$

To prove $\text{ann}_R f(IM) \subseteq \text{ann}_R M$. Let, $x \in \text{ann}_R f(IM)$, so, $xf(IM) = 0$, since, f is homomorphism then:

$f(xIM) = f(0)$ implies $xIM = 0$, so,
 $x \in \text{annRIM}$ but M is multiplication, so
 by Atani (2004) $\text{annRIM} = \text{annR}(Rm)$ (2)
 where $\text{annRRm} = \text{annRrM}$, so,
 $x \in \text{annRrM}$ but M is w.q.p, so,
 $x \in \text{annRM}$ implies $\text{annRf}(IM) \subseteq \text{annRM}$

From Eq. 1 and 2, implies M is fsqp module.

CONCLUSION

From this research, we conclude that every quasi-prime module is (fsqp) module. If M is a cyclic (fsqp) module, then, M is a (qp) module and every (fsqp) module is (wqp) module but the converse is not true, if, we put the condition cyclic. Finally, we conclude that every multiplication (wqp) module is (fsqp) module.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The researchers would like to thank Mustansiriyah University (www.uomustansiriyah.edu.iq) Baghdad, Iraq for its support in the present research.

REFERENCES

- Al-Bahrany, B., 1996. A note on prime modules and pure submodules. *J. Sci.*, 37: 1431-1441.
- Atani, S.E., 2004. Multiplication module and related results. *Arch. Mathematicum BRNO Tomus*, 40: 407-414.
- Hassin, M.A., 1999. Quasi-prime module and quasi-prime submodule. MSc Thesis, University of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq.
- Hassin, M.A., 2011. On weakly quasi-prime module. *Ibn AL. Haitham J. Pure Appl. Sci.*, 25: 1-6.
- Kasch, F., 1982. *Modules and Rings*. Vol. 17, Academic Press, London, England, Pages: 384.