

The Relative Importance of the Critical Success Factors of Enterprise Resource Planning System (ERP) in Jordanian Pharmaceutical Companies

¹Zaid Alabaddi, ²Haitham Alali, ¹Arwa Rahahleh and ¹Khaled Abdrabbo

¹Al-Hussein Bin Talal University, Ma'an, Jordan

²Amman Arab University, Amman, Jordan

Abstract: This study aims through a theoretical framework to identify the critical factors that might help in the successful implementation of Enterprise Resource Planning systems (ERP) by covering the most important aspects of the success of implementing ERP systems. Many previous studies have identified many factors that help in the success of ERP systems. This study summarizes these factors and identifies the most frequently recurring factors by reviewing previous studies and composing a table showing the recurrence of these factors. Then surveying managers, heads of department and employees of IT departments in Jordanian companies for the production of human medicines for the relevancy and the importance of the extracted factors. About 15 Jordanian companies that produce medications were surveyed. This study shows that the following factors namely (team competencies and skills, minimal customization, top management support user training and education, project management, communication between sectors, teamwork and composition and skills, clear goals and objectives, business process reengineering, organizational change management user involvement and package selection) are the most important in the success of implementing enterprise resource planning systems.

Key words: Enterprise resource planning system, critical success factors, Jordan, pharmaceutical manufacturing, medicines, surveying

INTRODUCTION

The recent emergence of Information Systems (IS) which have been widely applied in organizations have witnessed significant growth because of its major impact on organizational profitability, success and sustainability. This impact is attributed to the development of information systems with multiple applications that helps in the sharing of knowledge among the departments in the organization and its functions.

ERP systems improve resource and process control and thus, improve all the processes within the organization. ERP systems are linked to a single system which is linked to a central database that provides access to data and information to its users within the organization at any time.

The main theme of the ERP application is not to build an application to achieve some of the goals but the possibility of building and implementing an integrated application covering all the operations of the organization through a single system interface. Most ERP suppliers are working, researching and developing ways to build these systems based on the best business practices. These

systems address and automate all business operations in the world. The best-known vendors of these systems are Oracle, SAP, Microsoft and many others. In order to build ERP systems, there is a need to constructing the requirements for building systems that fit the organization. The organization business processes should also be compatible with the operations of the ERP system.

Current studies have shown that the planning for ERP systems by many organizations is of a higher concern than any other information system regardless of the organization's size. Since, the ERP systems have direct impact on the level of performance. On the other hand, the ERP systems are reducing the inventory cycle, speeding the completion of transactions, improving supply chain management, reducing costs, improving the financial situation of the organization and increasing productivity and much more.

But despite the many benefits of ERP systems which are too numerous to list and the direction that many organization are taking in the investment of applying ERP systems, there is a high level of failure in applying ERP systems in Jordan. There are many factors that help in the successful implementation of ERP systems and the avoidance of the failure and losses of the unsuccessful

implementation of these systems. This high failure rate led to get more attention on the reasons behind these failures and the critical success factors that help in successfully investing in the ERP systems. This study aims at extract the main success factors that influence the success of ERP systems based on two stages; critically literature review from previous studies; after summarizing the most frequent factors. This study finds out the relative importance of the critical factors that help in the successful implementation of ERP systems in Jordanian companies for the pharmaceutical manufacturing by surveying 81 managers, heads of department and employees of IT departments in Jordanian companies for the production of human medicines. The importance of this study is highlighted by the identification of the factors that have the most impact on the successful implementation of ERP systems. The practical importance of this study is the results it will present to decision makers of Jordanian companies for the pharmaceutical manufacturing.

Literature review: Enterprise Resource Planning system (ERP) is a standard ready software application based on the best business practices that enables the organization to have integrated solutions to all functions and activities of the organization such as finance, procurement, human resources, customer relations, supply chain and financial asset management. The software is based on a centralized database accessible by users in accordance with the authority that is derived from the responsibilities and nature of the work they perform.

ERP systems: ERP systems are one of the innovative solutions that are adapted by large and small organizations that have a major impact on the improvement of production and increased efficiency, in addition to the integration of all the organization's activities.

ERP systems were built on the best business practices through a set of software and applications that meet all the functional needs of the organization. These systems are integrated to be more efficient depending on the various sources of information in the organization.

Many of the organizations around the world and especially in developing countries that have implemented ERP systems and did so to automate and improve processes and gain a competitive advantage. This has helped providers and systems developers to build these systems in a professional way to meet all the current requirements and potential future needs. Organizations in

developing countries are late in adopting and fully implementing ERP systems compared to developed countries. Studies indicate that the reasons behind this imbalance between developed and developing countries in implementing ERP systems is limited capabilities and resources, weak management and the absence of IT experts in the implementation of the systems of developing countries.

Other studies indicate that the reason for the imbalance in adopting ERP systems by developed and developing countries is due to the economic situation, laws, government legislation, the lack of maturity of information technology and the lack of experience in the management of business operations in developing countries.

The critical success factors in K systems implementation: There are several factors that impact the successful implementation of ERP systems. Some of these factors are related to organizational factors. As indicated by Roldan *et al.* (2002), they found the main factors that have the most impact in the successful implementation of ERP systems are organizational culture, top management support, the participation of all the members of the organization in all phases of the planning and implementation process of this system in addition to the support of distributors and training.

In the similar vein, Woo (2007) through his applied studies on several organizations found that support of top management, teams, project management, change of processes, education, training and communications are the most critical factors in the success of implementing ERP systems.

Zhang *et al.* (2003) sorted the factors affecting the successful implementation of ERP systems into five categories the regulatory environment, the characteristics of people in the organization, technical matters, the commitment of suppliers and organizational influence. This study also indicated that the support of top management, re-engineering of business processes, effective project management, training and education, the sustainability of equipment and machines and accuracy of data are the most influential factors for successful implementation of ERP systems.

Zhou-Sivunen noted that there are four factors to consider in ERP implementation team work, training and education which should be given to managers and employees before adopting the system, in addition to personal resources, re-engineering processes and the seamless modification of systems. Almahdi *et al.* sorted the critical factors for the successful implementation of ERP systems into three groups: Strategic factors (senior

management commitment, clear vision, current system), personnel factors (education and training, involvement of staff, task forces, staff capabilities), organizational factors (efficient project management, process management, change management strategy, information technology maturity, computer culture, empowerment, organizational culture, communication). Table 1 shows the most frequent recurring factors related to the successful implementation of ERP systems, the data are from the above studies and reference 55 specialized studies on the topic of ERP applications.

In a study by Anjum *et al.* to determine the critical factors in the successful implementation of ERP systems. Anjum *et al.* confirmed that success of ERP systems depends on its implementation within a specified time and the availability of resource. Taking into account the customer's satisfaction, training and support of top management. Garg (2010), identified the critical success factors of ERP systems including the commitment of top management, project management, project selection, project team composition and training. Nah and Lau pointed out that there are ten critical factors that determine the success of ERP systems such as: support

of top management, task forces, formation of business plans, vision and effective communication, management of projects and current systems, development of systems, assessing and correcting errors, effective decision making and effective training.

According to Jafari *et al.* (2006), ten key success factors were highlighted support for top management, clarity of goals and objectives, communication, project management, process reengineering, accuracy and integration of data, sustainability of equipment and machines, support of suppliers, education and training and the participation of employees.

The study by Kale *et al.* emphasized on the issues that should be taken into account and should be available before starting ERP applications. These issues were planning the sources of infrastructure, quality education on ERP systems, human resources planning, support from top management, appropriate training accompanied by commitment by the company to its most capable personnel and experienced in the implementation of ERP systems. A study by Jing and Qiu (2007) confirmed the following factors that are related to the successful implementation of ERP systems making the right

Table 1: List of factors related to the successful implementation of ERP system

| References | Top management support | Training and education | Project management | Communication | Team composition and skills | Clear Goals and objectives | Business process engineering | Change management | User involvement | Package selection | Vendor support | Competency | Project champion | Testing and troubleshooting | Minimal customization | Data analysis and conversion | Motivation system | Performance | Strategic planning | Legacy systems management | Organizational culture | Management of expectations |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------|------------|------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|-------------|--------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|
| Akkermans and Helden (2002) | x | | x | | | x | | | | x | x | | x | | | | | | | | | |
| Somers and Nelson (2001) | x | x | | | x | x | x | | | | x | | | x | x | | | | | | | |
| Helo <i>et al.</i> (2008) | | x | x | x | | | | x | | x | | | | | | | | | | x | | |
| Dezdar (2011) | | x | | x | | | | | | | x | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dorobat and Nastase (2010) | | x | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lemmetty <i>et al.</i> (2009) | | x | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bueno and Salmeron (2008) | | x | | x | | | | | x | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sharma and Yetton (2007) | | | | x | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Woo (2007) | | x | | x | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Zornada (2005) | | x | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Umble <i>et al.</i> (2003) | | x | x | | | | | | x | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mandal and Gunasekaran (2002) | | x | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Loh and Koh (2004) | | | | | x | x | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Grabski <i>et al.</i> (2000) | | | | | x | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Somers and Nelson (2004) | | | | | | x | | | | | | | | | | | | | x | | | |
| Mabert <i>et al.</i> (2003) | | | | | | | x | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Hong and Kim (2002) | | | | | | | x | x | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Xu <i>et al.</i> (2002) | | | | | | | | x | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Wei and Wang (2004) | | | | | | | | | | x | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Shehab <i>et al.</i> (2004) | | | | | | | | | | x | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Upadhyay <i>et al.</i> (2011) | | | | | | | | | | | x | x | | | | | | | | | x | |
| Motwani <i>et al.</i> (2002) | | | | | | | | | | | x | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Holland <i>et al.</i> (1999) | | | | | | | | | | | x | x | | | | | | x | | | | |
| | 34 | 21 | 28 | 22 | 19 | 19 | 16 | 16 | 13 | 14 | 11 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |

Table 1: Continue

| References | Top management support | Training and education | Project management | Communication | Team composition and skills | Clear goals and objectives | Business process reengineering | Change management | User involvement | Package selection | Vendor support | Competency | Project champion | Testing and troubleshooting | Minimal customization | Data analysis and conversion | Motivation system | Performance | Strategic planning | Legacy systems management | Organizational culture | Management of expectations |
|--|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------|------------|------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|-------------|--------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|
| Mukhi <i>et al.</i> (2012) | x | x | x | x | x | | | x | x | x | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Khattak <i>et al.</i> (2012) | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Zouaghi and Laghouag (2012) | x | | | | x | x | x | | x | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Annamalai and Ramayah (2013) | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | | x | x | | | | x | | | | | | |
| Alaskari <i>et al.</i> (2012) | x | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dezdar and Sulaiman (2012) | x | x | x | x | | | x | | | | x | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Schmiederjans and Yadav (2013) | x | x | x | x | x | | | x | | x | | | | x | | | | | | | | |
| Amalaick <i>et al.</i> (2011) | x | x | x | x | | | x | x | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Khadya and Elmezzanemouad (2011) | x | x | x | | x | | | | x | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Garg (2010) | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Melurderi (2010) | x | x | x | x | x | x | | | | x | x | x | x | | | | | | | | | x |
| Supramaniam | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | | | x | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pabedinskaite (2009) | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Noudouostbeni <i>et al.</i> (2009) | x | x | x | | x | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Francoise <i>et al.</i> (2009) | x | x | | | | | | | x | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dezdar and Sulaiman (2009) | x | | x | | x | | x | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Franc <i>et al.</i> | x | | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | | | | x | x | | | | x | | | | |
| El-Savah <i>et al.</i> (2008) | x | | x | | | | | | x | x | x | | | | | | | | | | x | |
| Ngai <i>et al.</i> (2008) | x | x | | x | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Remus (2007) | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | | | x | x | x | x | | x | x | | | | | | x |
| Finney and Corbett (2007) | x | x | x | x | | x | | x | | x | | | | | | | x | | | | | |
| Garcia-Sanchez and Perez-Bernal (2007) | x | x | x | x | x | | | x | x | x | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Jafari <i>et al.</i> (2006) | x | x | x | x | | x | x | | x | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Soja (2006) | x | x | x | | x | x | | | | | | | | | x | | x | | | | | |
| Woo (2007) | x | x | x | | | | | x | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nait and Delgado (2006) | x | x | x | x | x | x | | x | | x | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Zhang <i>et al.</i> (2005) | x | x | x | | | | x | | x | | | x | | | | x | | | | | | |
| Yusuf <i>et al.</i> (2004) | x | | | | | x | x | | | | x | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Umble <i>et al.</i> (2003) | x | x | x | | | x | | x | | | | | | | | | | | x | | | |
| Al-Madhari <i>et al.</i> (2003) | x | | x | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Brown and Vessey (2003) | x | | | | | | | x | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ang <i>et al.</i> (2002) | x | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

investment decisions, the participation of the various departments, appropriate support from suppliers and good training for the members of the organization. A study by Halata indicted that the following factors influence the successful implementation of ERP systems in small and medium-sized companies in Jordan compatibility between ERP processes and business processes, top management support, IT efficiency and engineering and support of suppliers/vendors of enterprise resource planning systems.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study seeks to find out the relative importance of the critical factors that help in the successful implementation of ERP systems in Jordanian companies for the production of human medications. This study started with literature review of previous studies to extract the most frequent factors, then surveying managers, heads of department and employees of IT departments in Jordanian companies for the production of human medicines for the relevancy and the importance of the extracted factors. Whereas the managers, heads of

department and staff of IT departments in Jordanian companies for the production of human medicines that are registered as members of the Jordanian Union of Pharmaceutical Producers which were a total of 15 factories.

The sample included the entire study population. The questionnaire was distributed to 85 managers, heads of departments and employees of IT departments in Jordanian companies for the production of human medications that are registered members of the Jordanian Union of Pharmaceutical Producers and 81 questionnaires were returned.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Based on the research problem, objectives and the type of study data, a Likert scale was used to measure the application levels of critical factors that help in the successful implementation of (ERP) Enterprise Resource Planning systems. The central tendency, dispersion of the mean and standard deviation were measured to find out the relative importance of each variable. As shown in Table 2, the five point Likert scale was chosen because it

is one of the most widely used measurement scale. In addition, the five point Likert scale is easy to use and to understand. However, to determine the degree of agreement, three levels were specified (high, medium, low), low level 1<2.33, middle-level 2.33-3.66 and high level of 3.67 and above.

In order to describe the characteristics study sample gender, age, educational level and years of experience in the use of ERP systems were analysed as depicted in Table 3. We note that the percentage of males (67.9%) is much higher than the percentage of females (32.1) which indicates that females were the minority of the sample. The second category (Age) shows that the majority of the respondents are between 30-50 years old. However, 88% of the respondents are well educated, since, they hold a bachelor degree and above. The majority of the respondents have long experience of which 48% have more than 10 years of experience. This confirms the efforts of the pharmaceutical companies in Jordan to recruit high qualified and well-educated human resources.

Table 4 shows that the calculation of averages for the most important factors in the implementation of ERP systems ranged from (2.81-4.25) with the highest mean being for the factor of “support from top management”. This is consistent with Table 1 which showed this factor to be the most frequently recurring in many of the studies. The lowest mean was for the factor of “Monitoring and evaluation of performance”. In general it should be noted that all the differences in factors were the degree of prevalence between the high and medium level. This indicates the importance of the factors mentioned in Table 1 in the success of applying the ERP systems in Jordanian pharmaceutical companies, despite their uneven order. The most important factors were changed between (Table 1-4).

According to the critically literature review for the previous studies as a result, the following are the most ten frequent factors on the successful implementation of ERP systems top management support user training and education, project management, communication between sectors, teamwork and composition and skills, clear goals and objectives, business process reengineering, organizational change management user involvement and package selection.

After summarizing the most frequent factors as depicted in Table 4, this study finds out the relative importance of the critical factors that help in the successful implementation of ERP systems in Jordanian companies from the point of view of the managers, heads of departments and employees of IT departments in Jordanian pharmaceutical companies.

Table 2: Five point Likert scale

| Strongly agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly disagree |
|----------------|----------|----------|----------|-------------------|
| 5 grades | 4 grades | 3 grades | 2 grades | 1 grades |

Table 3: Sample characteristics

| Demographic variable/sections | Frequency | Percentage |
|--------------------------------|-----------|------------|
| Gender | | |
| Male | 55 | 67.9 |
| Female | 26 | 32.1 |
| Age | | |
| <30 | 30 | 37.0 |
| 30-50 | 41 | 51.0 |
| More than 50 | 10 | 12.0 |
| Academic qualifications | | |
| Less than bachelor | 10 | 12.0 |
| Bachelor | 38 | 47.0 |
| Master | 28 | 35.0 |
| PhD | 5 | 6.0 |
| Experience | | |
| <0 years | 42 | 52.0 |
| 10-15 years | 23 | 28.0 |
| More than 15 years | 16 | 20.0 |

The study found that Jordanian companies for the pharmaceutical manufacturing have the ability and capability to implement the ERP systems successfully as well as the ability to overcome the obstacles to the implementation of business resource planning systems. However, we will briefly discuss the top five CSFs in the following.

Top management support: By providing the necessary and needed resources and support in all its forms for the success of its implementation and achieving the vision of the organization.

Package selection: The selection of the package whether developed by the organization or purchased from the outside as long as it is within standards and for specific needs and with advanced knowledge on the integration of ERP systems with the existing systems and activities in the organization.

Communication between sectors: Communication is important for the implementation of the ERP systems. Having knowledge of the needs and expectations, the enhancing of official communication, receiving responses and announcements of progress and informing the staff about the changes that will occur and that is involved in the development process.

User training and education: The provision of adequate training of employees before the implementation of the new information system helps greatly in the following accepting the change, the success of the implementation of the new system, overcoming the difficulty of the implementation of the information system by users and the participation of end users.

Table 4: The arithmetical averages and standard deviations of the CSFs of ERP systems in Jordanian companies

| Factors | SD | Mean | Degree of relevancy |
|--|------|------|---------------------|
| Top management support | 0.79 | 4.25 | High |
| Package selection | 0.78 | 4.23 | High |
| Communication between sector | 0.92 | 4.21 | High |
| User training and education | 0.91 | 4.19 | High |
| Competencies | 0.87 | 4.13 | High |
| Change management | 1.28 | 3.98 | High |
| Project management | 1.28 | 3.98 | High |
| Team composition and skills | 0.93 | 3.94 | High |
| Clear goals and objectives | 0.85 | 3.92 | High |
| Minimal customization | 1.14 | 3.88 | High |
| User involvement | 1.18 | 3.88 | High |
| Business process reengineering | 1.08 | 3.83 | High |
| Vendor support | 1.06 | 3.83 | High |
| Organizational culture | 1.34 | 3.79 | High |
| Project champion | 0.90 | 3.77 | High |
| Testing and troubleshooting | 0.87 | 3.58 | Medium |
| Data analysis and conversion | 1.07 | 2.81 | Low |
| Motivation system | 1.12 | 2.81 | Low |
| Strategic IT planning | 1.07 | 2.81 | Low |
| Legacy systems management | 1.12 | 2.81 | Low |
| Management of expectations | 1.07 | 2.81 | Low |
| Monitoring and evaluation of performance | 1.12 | 2.81 | Low |

Team capabilities and skills: It is a group of skills, knowledge and attitudes that appear in an employee's behaviour. Competencies are essential if employees want to perform better. It must always be kept in mind that the competencies required for each position differ from each other. These competencies include management competencies, communication competencies, supervisory competencies and knowledge competencies.

It should be noted that the 'Package Selection' factor and 'Team Composition and Skills' factors were not among the most important factors listed in Table 1, however, they appear to be among the ten important factors, according to the viewpoint of the managers and heads of department. Furthermore, 'Business Process Reengineering' and 'Organizational Culture' were among the ten most important factors according to the studies shown in Table 1 but were not among the ten important factors according to the viewpoint of the managers and heads of department.

CONCLUSION

In an effort to narrow these gaps in the literature, this study aimed to explore and incorporate a taxonomy of critical success factors of the Enterprise Resource Planning system (ERP) systems. To achieve this, the study established theory-based measures for the main concepts that were adopted in the literature. This task was concerned with reducing the bias. After that, we surveying IT departments in Jordanian production of human medications for the relevancy and the importance of the extracted factors. According to the respondents, the five most important factors were top management

support, package selection, communication between sectors user training and education and team capabilities and skills.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The study recommends drawing attention to the establishment of ERP systems, in addition to the factors mentioned in Table 1, regarding the following issues Focus on adequate funding to ensure success. Focusing on the adequacy of user training on new systems, work procedures and their follow-up to ensure optimal use of the system.

When making a decision to adopt an ERP system, companies should have clear and written procedures, so that, the system that most closely matches the company's business processes is selected. To study the gap between the company's operations and the best practices in the system and to study the possibility of re-engineering the internal processes of the company in a manner that will commensurate with the system and adapts the work of the company before proceeding with the implementation of the ERP system.

Identify the problems that the company aspires to be rid of by installing the system. Determine the company's future vision and take it into consideration when choosing the right system. Choosing the appropriate system for the company or organization according to the nature of its work, size and potential. Provide strong support from the enterprise leadership to the project manager or applicant. Coordination between project partners and consultants. Provide qualified teams from the company to research with the consulting firm.

Participation of task forces in reviewing outputs and making observations. Work on upgrading the IT infrastructure to ensure that the organization's resource planning system is built on the best available standards in terms of ease of access to information, responsiveness of the system and to ensure continuity of research in the event of any problems.

Focus on developing the efficiency of the Information Technology Department team to ensure that technical services are provided in lieu of the other party for the implementation of the ERP system in the post implementation phase. Surveying service provider's implementation of the ERP system with surveys that are dedicated to exploring their perspectives on factors influencing the success of the organization's resource planning system. Conduct studies focused on a specific organizational resource planning system and identifying the most successful sectors when applied.

REFERENCES

- Akkermans, H. and K. Helden, 2002. Vicious and virtuous cycles in ERP implementation: A case study of interrelations between critical success factors. *Eur. J. Inform. Syst.*, 11: 35-46.
- Al-Mashari, M., A. Al-Mudimigh and M. Zairi, 2003. Enterprise resource planning: A taxonomy of critical factors. *Eur. J. Oper. Res.*, 146: 352-364.
- Alaskari, O., M.M. Ahmad, N. Dhafr and R. Pinedo-Cuenca, 2012. Critical Successful Factors (CSFs) for successful implementation of lean tools and erp systems. *Proceedings of the World Congress on Engineering*, July 4-6, 2012, London, UK., pp: 1627-1632.
- Amalnick, M.S., A. Ansarinejad, S.M. Nargesi and S. Taheri, 2011. New perspective to ERP critical success factors: Priorities and causal relations under fuzzy environment. *J. Math. Comput. Sci.*, 2: 160-170.
- Ang, J.S., C.C. Sum and L.N. Yeo, 2002. A multiple-case design methodology for studying MRP success and CSFs. *Inf. Manage.*, 39: 271-281.
- Brown, C.V. and I. Vessey, 2003. Managing the next wave of enterprise systems: Leveraging lessons from ERP. *MIS. Quart. Executive*, 2: 65-77.
- Bueno, S. and J.L. Salmeron, 2008. TAM-based success modeling in ERP. *Interact. Comput.*, 20: 515-523.
- Dezdar, S. and A. Sulaiman, 2009. Successful enterprise resource planning implementation: Taxonomy of critical factors. *Ind. Manage. Data Syst.*, 109: 1037-1052.
- Dezdar, S. and S. Ainin, 2012. Investigating the impact of organizational culture on enterprise resource planning implementation projects. *World Appl. Sci. J.*, 17: 1125-1133.
- Dezdar, S., 2011. Influence of tactical factors on ERP projects success. *Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Advanced Management Science Vol. 19*, November 4-6, 2011, IACSIT Press, Singapore, pp: 72-76.
- Dorobat, I. and F. Nastase, 2010. Personalized training in romanian SMEs ERP implementation projects. *Inf. Econ. J.*, 14: 116-127.
- El Sawah, S., A.A.E.F. Tharwat and M.H. Rasmy, 2008. A quantitative model to predict the Egyptian ERP implementation success index. *Bus. Process Manage. J.*, 14: 288-306.
- Finney, S. and M. Corbett, 2007. ERP implementation: a compilation and analysis of critical success factors. *Bus. Process Manage. J.*, 13: 329-347.
- Francoise, O., M. Bourgault and R. Pellerin, 2009. ERP implementation through critical success factors management. *Bus. Proc. Manage. J.*, 15: 371-394.
- Garcia-Sanchez, N. and L.E. Perez-Bernal, 2007. Determination of critical success factors in implementing an ERP system: A field study in Mexican enterprises. *Inf. Technol. Dev.*, 13: 293-309.
- Garg, P., 2010. Critical success factors for enterprise resource planning implementation in Indian retail industry: An exploratory study. *Intl. J. Comput. Sci. Inf. Secur.*, 8: 1-6.
- Grabski, S.V., S.A. Leech and L.B. Risks, 2000. Controls in the 22 implementation of ERP systems. *Intl. J. Digital Account. Res.*, 1: 47-68.
- Helo, P., P. Anussornmritsarn and K. Phusavat, 2008. Expectation and reality in ERP implementation: Consultant and solution provider perspective. *Ind. Manage. Data Syst.*, 108: 1045-1059.
- Holland, C.P., B. Light and N. Gibson, 1999. A critical success factors model for enterprise resource planning implementation. *Proceedings of the 7th International European Conference on Information Systems Vol. 1*, June 23-25, 1999, Copenhagen, Denmark, pp: 273-287.
- Hong, H.K. and Y.G. Kim, 2002. The critical success factors for ERP implementation: An organizational fit perspective. *Inform. Manage.*, 40: 25-40.
- Jafari, S.M., M.R. Osman, R.M. Yusuff and S.H. Tang, 2006. ERP systems implementation in Malaysia: The importance of critical success factors. *Int. J. Eng. Technol.*, 3: 125-131.
- Jing, R. and X. Qiu, 2007. A study on critical success factors in ERP systems implementation. *Proceedings of the 2007 International Conference on Service Systems and Service Management*, June 9-11, 2007, IEEE, Chengdu, China, pp: 1-6.

- Khadija, E. and C. Elmezanemourad, 2011. The Importance of CSF of ERP implementation in China. *Bus. Manage. Dyn.*, 1: 1-10.
- Khattak, M.A.O., S. Yuanguan, M. Irfan, R.A. Khattak and M.S.M. Khattak, 2012. Examining critical success factors affecting ERP implementations in enterprises of Pakistan. *Interdiscip. J. Contemp. Res. Bus.*, 3: 606-632.
- Lemmetty, K., K. Hayrinen and S. Sundgren, 2009. The impacts of informatics competencies and user training on patient information system implementation. *Stud. Health Technol. Inf.*, 146: 646-651.
- Loh, T.C. and S.C.L. Koh, 2004. Critical elements for a successful enterprise resource planning implementation in small-and medium-sized enterprises. *Int. J. Product. Res.*, 42: 3433-3455.
- Mabert, V., A. Soni and M.A. Venkataramanan, 2003. The impact of organization size on Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) implementations in the US manufacturing sector. *Int. J. Manage. Sci.*, 31: 235-246.
- Mandal, P. and A. Gunasekaran, 2002. Application of SAP R/3 in on-line inventory control. *Intl. J. Prod. Econ.*, 75: 47-55.
- Mehrjerdi, Y.Z., 2010. Enterprise resource planning: Risk and benefit analysis. *Bus. Strategy Ser.*, 11: 308-324.
- Motwani, J., D. Mirchandani, M. Madan and A. Gunasekaran, 2002. Successful implementation of ERP projects: Evidence from two case studies. *Int. J. Prod. Econ.*, 75: 83-96.
- Mukti, S.K., P. Tripathi and A.M. Rawani, 2012. Identification of progress and success factors during implementation of Enterprise resource planning package in Indian steel industry-a case study on Bhilai steel plant. *Intl. J. Eng. Innov. Technol.*, 1: 41-47.
- Nah, F.F.H. and S. Delgado, 2006. Critical success factors for enterprise resource planning implementation and upgrade. *J. Comput. Inform. Syst.*, 46: 99-113.
- Ngai, E.W.T., C.C.H. Law and F.K.T. Wat, 2008. Examining the critical success factors in the adoption of enterprise resource planning. *Comput. Ind.*, 59: 548-564.
- Noudoostbeni, A., N.M. Yasin and H.S. Jenatabadi, 2009. To investigate the success and failure factors of ERP implementation within Malaysian small and medium enterprises. *Proceedings of the 2009 International Conference on Information Management and Engineering (ICIME'09)*, April 3-5, 2009, IEEE, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, ISBN:978-0-7695-3595-1, pp: 157-160.
- Pabedinskaite, A., 2009. Successful implementation of ERP system. *Proceedings of the 9th International Conference on Liberec Economic Forum*, September 15-16, 2009, Czech Republic, Liberec, pp: 275-283.
- Remus, U., 2007. Critical success factors for implementing enterprise portals. *Bus. Proc. Manage. J.*, 13: 538-552.
- Roldan, M.D.G.Z., A.R. Zamora and F.D. Amores, 2002. Assessing Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) Adoption in the Philippines. In: *Enterprise Resource Planning: Global Opportunities and Challenges*, Hossain, L., J.D. Patrick and M.A. Rashid (Eds.). IGI Global, Pennsylvania, USA., ISBN:9781930708365, pp: 61-77.
- Schniederjans, D. and S. Yadav, 2013. Successful ERP implementation: An integrative model. *Bus. Proc. Manage. J.*, 19: 364-398.
- Sharma, R. and P. Yetton, 2007. The contingent effects of training, technical complexity and task interdependence on successful information systems implementation. *MIS. Quart.*, 31: 219-238.
- Shehab, E.M., M.W. Sharp, L. Supramaniam and T.A. Spedding, 2004. Enterprise resource planning: An integrative review. *Bus. Process Manage. J.*, 10: 359-386.
- Soja, P., 2006. Success factors in ERP systems implementations: Lessons from practice. *J. Enterp. Inf. Manage.*, 19: 418-433.
- Somers, T. and K. Nelson, 2001. The impact of critical success factors across the stages of enterprise resource planning implementations. *Proceedings of the 34th Annual Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences*, January 3-6, 2001, Washington, DC., USA., pp: 8016-8016.
- Somers, T.M. and K.G. Nelson, 2004. A taxonomy of players and activities across the ERP project life cycle. *Inform. Manage.*, 41: 257-278.
- Umble, E.J., R.R. Haft and M.M. Umble, 2003. Enterprise resource planning: Implementation procedures and critical success factors. *Eur. J. Opera. Res.*, 146: 241-257.
- Upadhyay, P., S. Jahanyan and P.K. Dan, 2011. Factors influencing ERP implementation in Indian manufacturing organisations: A study of micro, small and medium-scale enterprises. *J. Enterprise Inform. Manage.*, 24: 130-145.
- Wei, C.C. and M.J.J. Wang, 2004. A comprehensive framework for selecting an ERP system. *Int. J. Project Manage.*, 22: 161-169.
- Woo, H.S., 2007. Critical success factors for implementing ERP: The case of a Chinese electronics manufacturer. *J. Manuf. Technol. Manage.*, 18: 431-442.
- Xu, H., J. Horn Nord, N. Brown and G. Daryl Nord, 2002. Data quality issues in implementing an ERP. *Ind. Manage. Data Syst.*, 102: 47-58.
- Yusuf, Y., A. Gunasekaran and M.S. Abthorpe, 2004. Enterprise information systems project implementation: A case study of ERP in Rolls-Royce. *Int. J. Prod. Econ.*, 87: 251-266.

- Zhang, L., M.K. Lee, Z. Zhang and P. Banerjee, 2003. Critical success factors of enterprise resource planning systems implementation success in China. Proceedings of the 36th Annual Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences, January 6-9, 2003, IEEE, Hong Kong, China, ISBN:0-7695-1874-5, pp: 1-10.
- Zhang, Z., M.K.O. Lee, P. Huang, L. Zhang and X. Huang, 2005. A framework of ERP systems implementation success in China: An empirical study. *Int. J. Prod. Econ.*, 98: 56-80.
- Zornada, M., 2005. e-Learning and the changing face of corporate training and development. *Managing Global Trans.*, 3: 5-21.
- Zouaghi, I. and A. Laghouag, 2012. Aligning key success factors to ERP implementation strategy: Learning from a case study. Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Information Systems, Logistics and Supply Chain Creative Logistics for an Uncertain World (ILS'2012), August 26-29, 2012, Quebec (Canada), pp: 1475-1491.