

## Some Structures of Čech Fuzzy Soft Closure Spaces

Rasha Naser Majeed Lina Hussein Maibed

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education for Pure Sciences Abn Al-Haitham,  
 Baghdad University, Baghdad, Iraq

**Abstract:** The concept of Čech fuzzy soft closure space (ČF-scs for short) is very recently defined and its basic properties are introduced by Majeed. In this study, we continue the study of ČF-scs. We show that every ČF-scs gives a parameterized family of Čech fuzzy closure spaces (ČF-fcss, for short). Furthermore, some properties of fuzzy soft neighborhood of a fuzzy soft point are introduced. Finally, the notion of fuzzy soft exterior (respectively, boundary) in ČF-scs is introduced and its basic properties are discussed, supported by counter examples.

**Key words:** Fuzzy set, fuzzy soft set, fuzzy soft point, Čech fuzzy soft closure operator, fuzzy soft exterior, fuzzy soft boundary

### INTRODUCTION

The principal idea of fuzzy set was presented by Zadeh (1965). Fuzzy sets were introduced to provide means to represent situations mathematically which give rise to ill-defined classes, i.e., collections of objects for which there is no exact criteria for membership. By Molodtsov (1999) started a novel idea of soft set theory which is a totally new approach for displaying ambiguity and uncertainty. Soft set theory has a rich potential for applications in several directions, few of which had been shown by Molodtsov (1999). Maji *et al.* (2001) were combined fuzzy sets and soft sets to introduce the concept of fuzzy soft sets. Later in 2011, Tanay and Kandemir (2011) were gave the concept of topological structure based on fuzzy soft sets.

Czech (1966) introduced the notion of Čech closure spaces  $(X, C)$  where  $C: P(X) \rightarrow P(X)$  is a mapping satisfying  $C(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ ,  $A \subseteq C(A)$  and  $C(A \cup B) = C(A) \cup C(B)$ , the mapping  $C$  called Čech closure operator on  $X$ , it is similar to a topological closure operator, excluding that it is not required to be idempotent. That is Čech closure operator comply just three of the four Kuratowski closure axioms. By Mashhour and Ghanim (1985) introduced the concept of ČF-fcss when they substitute sets by fuzzy sets in the definition of Čech closure space which states as (An operator  $C: I^X \rightarrow I^X$  is said to be Čech fuzzy closure operator (ČF-fco for short) on  $X$ , if  $C$  satisfied the following three axioms: (C1)  $C(\bar{0}) = \bar{0}$ , (C2)  $\mu \leq C(\mu)$  and (C3)  $C(\mu \vee \rho) = C(\mu \vee C(\rho))$ ). Recently, by Gowri and Jegadeesan (2014) using the notion of soft sets to introduced and investigation soft Čech closure spaces, the soft closure operator in that sense was defined from the power set  $P(X_{F_A})$  of  $X_{F_A}$  to itself (where  $F_A$  is a soft set

over the universe set  $X$  with the set of parameter  $K$  and  $A \subseteq K$ ). Also, in the same year, Krishnaveni and Sekar (2014) introduced and study Čech soft closure spaces (where the soft closure operator here defined from the set of all soft sets over  $X$  to itself). Very recently, Majeed (2018) employ the fuzzy set theory to define and study the notion of Čech fuzzy soft closure spaces (ČF-scs's for short) which is a generalization to Čech soft closure spaces that given by Krishnaveni and Sekar (2014).

The aim of this study is to complete the study of ČF-scs's in order to obtain new properties for them. In this study, some properties of this spaces are introduced, such as, we show that the union of two Čech fuzzy soft closure operators is also Čech fuzzy soft closure operator (Theorem 3.1). However, the intersection is not. Also, we obtained a very important relationship between ČF-scs's and ČF-fcss. That is, every ČF-scs gives a parameterized family of ČF-fcss (Theorem 3.5). In addition, some properties of fuzzy soft neighborhood of a fuzzy soft point are introduced. In this study, the notion of fuzzy soft exterior (respectively, boundary) of a fuzzy soft sets in ČF-scs is defined and give the properties of its. Also, we find several deviations for some results in exterior and boundary that are hold in both ordinary and soft topological spaces but not in ČF-scss. These deviations are clarified by giving several examples.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Preliminaries:** We expect that the reader is knows about the usual notions and most basic ideas of fuzzy set theory. Throughout our study,  $X$  will refer to the initial universe,  $I = [0, 1]$ ,  $I_0 = (0, 1]$ ,  $I^X$  be the family of all fuzzy sets of  $X$  and  $K$  the set of parameters for  $X$ .

A fuzzy soft set  $\lambda_A$  on  $X$  is a mapping from  $K \rightarrow I^X$ , i.e.,  $\lambda_A: K \rightarrow I^X$  where  $\lambda_A(h) \neq \bar{0}$  if  $h \in A \subseteq K$  and  $\lambda_A(h) = \bar{0}$  if  $h \notin A \subseteq K$  where  $\bar{0}$  is the empty fuzzy set on  $X$ . The family of all fuzzy soft sets over  $X$  denoted by  $F_{ss}(X, K)$  (Roy and Samanta, 2012; Varol and Aygun, 2012). Let  $\lambda_A, \mu_B \in F_{ss}(X, K)$ , then  $\lambda_A$  is called a fuzzy soft subset of  $\mu_B$ , denoted by  $\lambda_A \subseteq \mu_B$ , if  $\lambda_A(h) \leq \mu_B(h)$  for all  $h \in K$ . Also  $\lambda_A$  and  $\mu_B$  are said to be equal, denoted by  $\lambda_A = \mu_B$  if  $\lambda_A \subseteq \mu_B$  and  $\mu_B \subseteq \lambda_A$ . The union (respectively, intersection) of  $\lambda_A$  and  $\mu_B$ , denoted by  $\lambda_A \cup \mu_B$  (respectively,  $\lambda_A \cap \mu_B$ ) is the fuzzy soft set  $\sigma_{(\lambda_A \cup \mu_B)}$  defined by  $\sigma_{(\lambda_A \cup \mu_B)}(h) = \lambda_A(h) \vee \mu_B(h)$ , (respectively is the fuzzy soft set  $\sigma_{(\lambda_A \cap \mu_B)}$  defined by  $\sigma_{(\lambda_A \cap \mu_B)}(h) = \lambda_A(h) \wedge \mu_B(h)$ ) for all  $h \in K$ . The constant fuzzy soft sets taking, respectively, values  $\bar{0}$  and  $\bar{1}$  at every  $h \in K$  are denoted by  $\bar{0}_K$  and  $\bar{1}_K$ , respectively. For the fuzzy soft set  $\lambda_A$  in  $X$ ,  $\bar{1}_K - \lambda_A$  will stand for the complement of  $\lambda_A$  is the fuzzy soft set defined by  $(\bar{1}_K - \lambda_A)(h) = \bar{1}_K - \lambda_A(h)$  for each  $h \in K$ . Its clear that  $\bar{1}_K - (\bar{1}_K - \lambda_A) = \lambda_A$  (Varol and Aygun, 2012).

According to the concept of Atmaca and Zorlutuna a fuzzy soft set  $\lambda_A \in F_{ss}(X, K)$  is called fuzzy soft point, denoted by  $x_t^h$ , if there exist  $x \in X$  and  $h \in K$  such that  $\lambda_A(h)(x) = t$  ( $0 < t \leq 1$ ) and 0 otherwise for all  $y \in X - \{x\}$ . The fuzzy soft point  $x_t^h$  is said to be belongs to the fuzzy soft set  $x_t^h$ , denoted by  $\lambda_A$  if for the element  $\lambda_A(h)(x)$  (see (Atmaca and Zorlutuna, 2013)).

**Definition 2.1; Majeed (2018):** An operator  $\theta: F_{ss}(X, K) \rightarrow F_{ss}(X, K)$  Is called Čech fuzzy soft closure operator (Č-fsco for short) on  $X$  if the following axioms are satisfied:

- (C1)  $\theta(\bar{0}_K) = \bar{0}_K$
  - (C2)  $\lambda_A \subseteq \theta(\lambda_A)$ , for all  $\lambda_A \in F_{ss}(X, K)$
  - (C3)  $\theta(\lambda_A \cup \mu_B) = \theta(\lambda_A) \cup \theta(\mu_B)$ , for all  $\lambda_A, \mu_B \in F_{ss}(X, K)$
- The triple  $(X, \theta, K)$  is called a ČF-fsco

A fuzzy soft set  $\lambda_A$  is said to be closed fuzzy soft set (closed-fss, for short) in  $(X, \theta, K)$  if  $\lambda_A = \theta(\lambda_A)$ . A fuzzy soft set  $\lambda_A$  is said to be an open fuzzy soft (open-fss, for short) set if  $\bar{1}_K - \lambda_A$  is a closed-fss.

**Proposition 2.2; Majeed (2018):** Let  $(X, \theta, K)$  be a ČF-fsco and  $\lambda_A, \mu_B \in F_{ss}(X, K)$  such that  $\lambda_A \subseteq \mu_B$ , then  $\theta(\lambda_A) \subseteq \theta(\mu_B)$ .

**Definition 2.3; Majeed (2018):** Let  $(X, \theta, K)$  be a ČF-fsco and let  $\lambda_A \in F_{ss}(X, K)$ . The interior of  $\lambda_A$ , denoted by  $\text{Int}(\lambda_A)$  is defined as  $\text{Int}(\lambda_A) = \bar{1}_K - \theta(\bar{1}_K - \lambda_A)$ .

**Proposition 2.4; Majeed (2018):** Let  $(X, \theta, K)$  be a ČF-fsco and let  $\lambda_A, \mu_B \in F_{ss}(X, K)$ . Then:

- $\text{Int}(\bar{0}_K) = \bar{0}_K$  and  $\text{Int}(\bar{1}_K) = \bar{1}_K$
- $\text{Int}(\lambda_A) \subseteq \lambda_A$
- $\text{Int}(\lambda_A \cap \mu_B) = \text{Int}(\lambda_A) \cap \text{Int}(\mu_B)$
- If  $\lambda_A \subseteq \mu_B$ , then  $\text{Int}(\lambda_A) \subseteq \text{Int}(\mu_B)$
- $\lambda_A$  is an open-fss  $\Leftrightarrow \text{Int}(\lambda_A) = \lambda_A$
- $\text{Int}(\lambda_A) \cup \text{Int}(\mu_B) \subseteq \text{Int}(\lambda_A \cup \mu_B)$

**Definition 2.5; Majeed (2018):** A fuzzy soft set  $\lambda_A$  in a ČF-fsco  $(X, \theta, K)$  is said to be fuzzy soft neighborhood of a fuzzy soft point  $x_t^h$ , if  $x_t^h \in \text{Int}(\lambda_A)$

**Definition 2.6; Majeed (2018):** Let  $(X, \theta, K)$  be a ČF-fsco. A fuzzy soft point  $x_t^h$  is said to be a fuzzy soft interior point of a fuzzy soft set  $\lambda_A$ , if there exists an open-fss  $\mu_B$  such that  $x_t^h \in \mu_B \subseteq \lambda_A$

**Definition 2.7; Majeed (2018):** Let  $V$  be a non-empty subset of  $X$ , then  $\bar{v}_K$  denotes the fuzzy soft set  $\bar{v}_K$  over  $X$  for which  $\bar{v}_K(h) = \bar{v}_V$  for all  $h \in K$  (where  $\bar{v}_V: X \rightarrow I$  such that  $\bar{v}_V(x) = 1$  if  $x \in V$  and  $\bar{v}_V(x) = 0$  if  $x \notin V$ )

**Theorem 2.8; Majeed (2018):** Let  $(X, \theta, K)$  be a ČF-fsco,  $V \subseteq X$  and let  $\theta_V: F_{ss}(V, K) \rightarrow F_{ss}(V, K)$  defined as  $\theta_V(\lambda_A) = \bar{v}_K \cap \theta(\lambda_A)$ . Then  $\theta_V$  is a ČF-fsco. The triple  $(V, \theta_V, K)$  is said to be Čech fuzzy soft closure subspace (ČF-sc subspace, for short) of  $(X, \theta, K)$ .

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**Some properties of Čech fuzzy soft closure spaces:** In this study, some properties of ČF-fsco's are studied such as the union and intersection of two ČF-fsco's  $\theta_1, \theta_2$  on  $X$ . In addition, a very important result is obtained, that is, every ČF-fsco  $(X, \theta, K)$  (respectively, ČF-fsco subspace  $(V, \theta_V, K)$  of  $(X, \theta, K)$ ) gives a parameterized family of ČF-fsco's (respectively, ČF-fsco subspaces of ČF-fsco) in the sense by Mashhour and Ghanim (1985), we give an example to show the converse does not hold. Finally, the properties of fuzzy soft neighborhood of a fuzzy soft point are investigated. Firstly, we show the union of two ČF-fsco's  $\theta_1, \theta_2$  on  $X$  is also ČF-fsco on  $X$ .

**Theorem 3.1:** Let  $(X, \theta_1, K)$  and  $(X, \theta_2, K)$  be ČF-fsco's over the same universe  $X$  and the set of parameters  $K$ . Define  $\theta_1 \cup \theta_2: F_{ss}(X, K) \rightarrow F_{ss}(X, K)$  given by for each  $\lambda_A \in F_{ss}(X, K)$ :

$$(\theta_1 \cup \theta_2)(\lambda_A) = \theta_1(\lambda_A) \cup \theta_2(\lambda_A) \quad (1)$$

Then  $\theta_1 \cup \theta_2$  is a Č-fsco on  $X$  and  $(X, \theta_1 \cup \theta_2, K)$  is a ČF-fsco.

**Proof:** We must show  $\theta_1 \cup \theta_2$  satisfies the axioms (C1-C3) of Definition 2.1 Now:

- (C1)  $(\theta_1 \cup \theta_2)(\bar{0}_K) = \theta_1(\bar{0}_K) \cup \theta_2(\bar{0}_K) = \theta_1(\bar{0}_K)$
- (C2) Let  $\lambda_A \in F_{ss}(X, K)$  Since,  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  are  $\check{C}$ -fscos on  $X$ . This implies  $\lambda_A \subseteq \theta_1$  and  $\lambda_A \subseteq \theta_2$ . It follows  $\lambda_A \subseteq \theta_1 \cup \theta_2$ .  $(\lambda_A) \cup \theta_2(\lambda_A) = (\theta_1 \cup \theta_2)(\lambda_A)$
- (C3) Let  $\lambda_A, \mu_B \in F_{ss}(X, K)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\theta_1 \cup \theta_2)(\lambda_A \cup \mu_B) &= \theta_1(\lambda_A \cup \mu_B) \cup \theta_2(\lambda_A \cup \mu_B) \\ &= (\theta_1(\lambda_A) \cup \theta_1(\mu_B)) \cup (\theta_2(\lambda_A) \cup \theta_2(\mu_B)) \\ &= (\theta_1(\lambda_A) \cup \theta_2(\lambda_A)) \cup (\theta_1(\mu_B) \cup \theta_2(\mu_B)) \\ &= (\theta_1 \cup \theta_2)(\lambda_A) \cup (\theta_1 \cup \theta_2)(\mu_B) \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\theta_1 \cup \theta_2$  is a  $\check{C}$ -fscos on  $X$ . Thus,  $(X, \theta_1 \cup \theta_2, K)$  is a  $\check{C}$ CF-scs

**Remark 3.2:** The Intersection of two  $\check{C}$ CF-fscos on  $X$  need not to be  $\check{C}$ CF-fscos on  $X$ . The following example clarify that.

**Example 3.3:** Let  $X = \{a, b\}$  and let  $(\lambda_A)_1, (\lambda_A)_2 \in F_{ss}(X, K)$  such that  $(\lambda_A)_1 = \{(h_1, a_{0.2})\}$  and  $(\lambda_A)_2 = \{(h_2, a_{0.3}) \vee b_{0.4}\}$ . Define  $\check{C}$ -fscos  $\theta_1 \cup \theta_2$  on  $F_{ss}(X, K)$  as follows:

$$\theta_1(\lambda_A) = \begin{cases} \bar{0}_K & \text{if } \lambda_A = \bar{0}_K, \\ \{(h_1, a_{0.2})\} & \text{if } \lambda_A \subseteq (\lambda_A)_1 \\ \bar{1}_K & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

And:

$$\theta_2(\lambda_A) = \begin{cases} \bar{0}_K & \text{if } \lambda_A = \bar{0}_K, \\ \{(h_2, a_{0.3} \vee b_{0.5})\} & \text{if } \lambda_A \subseteq (\lambda_A)_2 \\ \bar{1}_K & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then,  $(X, \theta_1, K)$  and  $(X, \theta_2, K)$  are  $\check{C}$ -scs's on  $X$ . Define  $\theta_1 \cap \theta_2$  on  $F_{ss}(X, K)$  as follows:  $(\theta_1 \cap \theta_2)(\lambda_A) = \theta_1(\lambda_A) \cap \theta_2(\lambda_A)$ , for all  $\lambda_A \in F_{ss}(X, K)$ . It is clear that  $\theta_1 \cap \theta_2$  satisfies the axioms (C1) and (C2) of Definition 2.1, however  $\theta_1 \cap \theta_2$  does not satisfies the axiom (C3). That is if we consider  $(\lambda_A)_1, (\lambda_A)_2 \in F_{ss}(X, K)$ , then  $(\theta_1 \cap \theta_2)((\lambda_A)_1 \cup (\lambda_A)_2) = \bar{1}_K$  and  $(\theta_1 \cap \theta_2)((\lambda_A)_1) \cup (\theta_1 \cap \theta_2)((\lambda_A)_2) = \{(h_1, a_{0.2})\} \cup \{(h_2, a_{0.3}) \vee b_{0.5}\}$ . Hence,  $(\theta_1 \cap \theta_2)((\lambda_A)_1) \cup (\theta_1 \cap \theta_2)((\lambda_A)_2) \neq (\theta_1 \cap \theta_2)((\lambda_A)_1 \cup (\lambda_A)_2)$ .

**Remark 3.4:** As we mentioned that  $F_{ss}(X, K)$  denoted to the family of all fuzzy soft sets which are defined on the universe set  $X$  and a set of parameters  $K$ . That means  $F_{ss}(X, K) = \{\lambda_A : \lambda_A : K \rightarrow I_X\}$ . It follows That, for each parameter  $h \in K$ , the family  $\{\lambda_A(h), \lambda_A \in F_{ss}(X, K)\} I^X$ . The

following theorem show that each  $\check{C}$ CF-scs gives a parameterized family of  $\check{C}$ CF-fscs in the sense of Mashhour and Ghanim (1985).

**Theorem 3.5** Let  $(X, \theta, K)$  be a  $\check{C}$ CF-scs. For each  $h \in K$ , define  $\theta_h : I^X \rightarrow I^X$  as follows :

$$\theta_h(\mu) = \inf_{\mu = (\lambda_A)(h)} \{(\theta(\lambda_A))(h)\} \quad (2)$$

for each  $\mu \in I^X$ . Then  $\theta_h$  is a  $\check{C}$ CF-fco on  $X$ .

**Proof:** For any  $h \in K$ , we must show  $\theta_h$  satisfies the axioms of  $\check{C}$ CF-co in (Mashhour and Ghanim, 1985), (C1) since,  $\theta$  is a  $\check{C}$ CF-sco, then by (C1) of definition 2.1, we have  $\theta(\bar{0}_K)$ . That is mean  $(\theta(\bar{0}_K))(h) = \bar{0}$  for each  $h \in K$ . This implies  $\theta_h(\bar{0}) = \inf_{\bar{0} = (\lambda_A)(h)} \{(\theta(\lambda_A))(h)\} = \bar{0}$ .

(C2) We must show  $\mu \leq \theta_h(\mu)$  for all  $\mu \in I^X$ . Since,  $\theta$  is a  $\check{C}$ CF-sco, then by (C2) of definition 2.1, we have  $\lambda_A \subseteq \theta(\lambda_A)$  for each  $\lambda_A \in F_{ss}(X, K)$ . This mean  $(\lambda_A)(h) \leq (\theta(\lambda_A))(h)$  for all  $h \in K$ . So, for any  $\mu \in I^X$ , such that  $\mu = (\lambda_A)(h)$  we have  $\mu \leq \inf_{\mu = (\lambda_A)(h)} \{(\theta(\lambda_A))(h)\}$ . Thus,  $\mu \leq \theta_h(\mu)$ .

(3) Let  $\mu, \rho \in I^X$ . Then:

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_h(\mu) \vee \theta_h(\rho) &= \inf_{\mu = (\lambda_A)(h)} \{(\theta(\lambda_A))(h)\} \vee \inf_{\rho = (\mu_B)(h)} \{(\theta(\mu_B))(h)\} = \\ &= \inf_{\mu \vee \rho = (\theta(\lambda_A))(h) \vee (\theta(\mu_B))(h)} \{(\theta(\lambda_A))(h) \vee (\theta(\mu_B))(h)\} = \\ &= \inf_{\mu \vee \rho = (\theta(\lambda_A) \cup \theta(\mu_B))(h)} \{(\theta(\lambda_A) \cup \theta(\mu_B))(h)\} = \theta_h(\mu \vee \rho) \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\theta_h$  is a  $\check{C}$ CF-co on  $X$  for each  $h \in K$ . Hence,  $(X, \theta_h)$  is a  $\check{C}$ CF-cs on  $X$  for each  $h \in K$ .

**Remark 3.6:** Now we discuss the converse of above theorem is it hold? That mean, if we have a collection of  $\check{C}$ CF-cos  $\theta_{hi}$  on  $X$  such that each one corresponding a parameter  $h \in K$ . Is this collection gives a  $\check{C}$ CF-sco on  $X$ . We answer about this question through the following example.

**Example 3.7:** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$ ,  $K = \{h_1, h_2\}$  and let  $\lambda_A, \mu_B \in F_{ss}(X, K)$  such that  $\lambda_A = \{(h_1, b_1 \vee c_1), (h_1, a_1 \vee b_1)\}$  and  $\mu_B = \{(h_1, a_1), (h_2, a_1 \vee b_1)\}$ . Define  $\check{C}$ -fco's  $\theta_{h1}, \theta_{h2} : I^X \rightarrow I^X$  as follows:

$$\theta_{h1}(\mu) = \begin{cases} \bar{0} & \text{if } \mu = \bar{0}, \\ b_1 \vee c_1 & \text{if } \mu \leq (\lambda_A)(h_1), \\ a_1 & \text{if } \mu \leq (\mu_B)(h_1), \\ \bar{1} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

And:

$$\theta_{h_2}(\mu) = \begin{cases} \bar{0} & \text{if } \mu = \bar{0}, \\ a_1 V b_1 & \text{if } \mu \leq (\lambda_B)(h_2), \\ \bar{1} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then  $(X, \theta_{h_1})$  and  $(X, \theta_{h_2})$  are  $\check{C}F$ -fcss on  $X$ . Now, define fuzzy soft closure operator  $\theta: F_{ss}(X, K) \rightarrow F_{ss}(X, K)$  as follows:

$$\theta(\rho_C) = \left\{ (h_1, \theta_{h_1}((\rho_C)(h_1))), (h_2, \theta_{h_2}((\rho_C)(h_2))) \right\}$$

This implies:

$$\theta(\rho_C) = \begin{cases} \bar{0}_K & \text{if } \rho_C = \bar{0}_K, \\ \{(h_1, b_1 V c_1), (h_2, a_1 V b_1)\} & \text{if } \rho_C \subseteq \lambda_A, \\ \{(h_1, a_1), (h_2, a_1 V b_1)\} & \text{if } \rho_C \subseteq \mu_B, \\ \bar{1}_K & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The fuzzy soft operator  $\theta$  is not  $\check{C}$ -sco, since:

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(\lambda_A \cup \mu_B) &= \bar{1}_K \neq \\ \{(h_1, a_1 V b_1 V c_1), (h_2, a_1 V b_1)\} &= \theta(\lambda_A) \cup \theta(\mu_B) \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 3.8:** Let  $(X, \theta, K)$  be a  $\check{C}F$ -scs and  $\lambda_A \in F_{ss}(X, K)$ . If  $\lambda_A$  is a closed-(respectively, open-) fss in  $(X, \theta, K)$  then  $(\lambda_A)(h)$  is aclosed (respectively, open) fuzzy set in  $(X, \theta_h)$ , for all  $h \in K$ .

**Proof:** Let  $\lambda_A$  be a closed-fss in  $(X, \theta, K)$ . Then  $\theta(\lambda_A) = \lambda_A$  which means  $(\theta(\lambda_A))(h) = (\lambda_A)(h)$  for all  $h \in K$ . Now, we want to prove  $(\lambda_A)(h)$  is aclosed fuzzy set in  $(X, \theta_h)$ . So, we must prove  $(\theta_h(\lambda_A))(h) = (\lambda_A)(h)$ . Now:

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_h((\lambda_A)(h)) &= \inf_{(\lambda_A)(h) = (\mu_B)(h)} \{(\theta(\mu_B))(h)\} = \\ \inf_{(\lambda_A)(h) = (\mu_B)(h)} \{(\theta(\lambda_A))(h), (\theta(\mu_B))(h)\} &= \\ \inf_{(\lambda_A)(h) = (\mu_B)(h)} \{(\lambda_A)(h), (\theta(\mu_B))(h)\} &= \\ (\lambda_A)(h), \text{ because } (\theta(\lambda_A))(h) &= \\ (\lambda_A)(h) \text{ and } (\mu_B)(h) \subseteq (\theta(\mu_B))(h) & \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $(\lambda_A)(h)$  is a closed fuzzy set in  $(X, \theta_h)$  for all  $h \in K$ .

Now, if  $\lambda_A$  is an open-fss. Then  $\theta(\bar{1}_K - \lambda_A) = \bar{1}_K - \lambda_A$ . By using the same idea of proof the first part we get the result.

**Theorem 3.9:** Let  $(X, \theta, K)$  be a  $\check{C}F$ -scs, let  $V \subseteq X$  and  $(V, \theta_v, K)$  be a  $\check{C}F$ -sc subspace of  $(X, \theta, K)$ . Then  $(V, (\theta_v)_h)$  is a  $\check{C}$ -fc subspace of a  $\check{C}$ -fcs  $(X, \theta_h)$  for each  $h \in K$ .

**Proof:** For each  $h \in K$ . Define  $(\theta_v)_h: I^V \rightarrow I^V$  such that  $(\mu)$  for each  $\mu \in I^V$

$$(\theta_v)_h(\mu) = \bar{1}_v \wedge \theta_h(\mu)$$

To show  $(\theta_v)_h$  satisfies (C1-C3) of the definition of  $\check{C}F$ -co, (C1):

$$(\theta_v)_h(0) = \bar{1}_v \wedge \theta_h(0) = \bar{1}_v \wedge \bar{0} = \bar{0}$$

(C2) Let  $\mu \in I^V$ . Then  $\mu \leq \bar{1}_v$  and  $\mu \leq \theta_h(\mu)$ . This implies  $\mu \leq \bar{1}_v \wedge \theta_h(\mu) = (\theta_v)_h(\mu)$ . Hence,  $\mu \leq (\theta_v)_h(\mu)$ . (C3) Let  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in I^V$ , then:

$$\begin{aligned} (\theta_v)_h(\mu_1 V \mu_2) &= \bar{1}_v \wedge \theta_h(\mu_1 V \mu_2) = \\ \bar{1}_v \wedge (\theta_h(\mu_1) V \theta_h(\mu_2)) &= \\ (\bar{1}_v \wedge \theta_h(\mu_1)) V (\bar{1}_v \wedge \theta_h(\mu_2)) &= \\ (\theta_v)_h(\mu_1) V (\theta_v)_h(\mu_2) \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $(\theta_v)_h$  is a  $\check{C}F$ -co operator on  $V$  and  $(V, (\theta_v)_h)$  is a  $\check{C}$ -fc subspace of a  $\check{C}F$ -cs  $(X, \theta_h)$  for each  $h \in K$ .

Now, some properties of fuzzy soft neighborhood of a fuzzy soft point are given in the next theorem and propositions.

**Theorem 3.10:** Let  $(X, \theta, K)$  be a  $\check{C}F$ -scs  $\lambda_A \in F_{ss}(X, K)$  and  $x_t^h$  be a fuzzy soft point over  $X$ . Then:

- Each  $x_t^h \in F_{ss}(X, K)$  has a fuzzy soft neighborhood
- If  $\lambda_A$  and  $\mu_B$  are fuzzy soft neighborhood of  $x_t^h$ , then  $\lambda_A \cap \mu_B$  is also, a fuzzy soft neighborhood of  $x_t^h$
- If  $\lambda_A$  is fuzzy soft neighborhood of  $x_t^h$  and  $\lambda_A \subseteq \mu_B$ , then  $\mu_B$  is also, a fuzzy soft neighborhood of  $x_t^h$

**Proof:** For any  $x_t^h \in F_{ss}(X, K)$ ,  $x_t^h \in \bar{1}_K$  and by proposition 2.4 (1), we have  $x_t^h \in \text{Int}(\bar{1}_K)$ . Thus,  $\bar{1}_K$  is fuzzy soft neighborhood for any  $x_t^h$ . Let  $\lambda_A$  and  $\mu_B$  are fuzzy soft neighborhoods of  $x_t^h$  then  $x_t^h \in \text{Int}(\lambda_A)$  and  $x_t^h \in \text{Int}(\mu_B)$ . This implies  $x_t^h \in \text{Int}(\lambda_A)$ . By proposition 2.4 (3) we get  $x_t^h \in \text{Int}(\lambda_A \cap \mu_B)$ . This implies  $\lambda_A \cap \mu_B$  is a fuzzy soft neighborhood of  $x_t^h$ . Let  $\lambda_A$  be a fuzzy soft neighborhood of  $x_t^h$  and  $\lambda_A \subseteq \mu_B$ . Then  $x_t^h \in \text{Int}(\lambda_A)$ . Since,  $\lambda_A \subseteq \mu_B$  then by Proposition 2.4(4),  $\text{Int}(\lambda_A) \subseteq \text{Int}(\mu_B)$ . Thus,  $x_t^h \in \text{Int}(\mu_B)$ . Hence,  $\mu_B$  is a fuzzy soft neighborhood of  $x_t^h$ .

**Proposition 3.11:** Let  $(X, \theta, K)$  be a ČF-sec.-scs. For any open-fss  $\lambda_A$  in  $(X, \theta, K)$ ,  $\lambda_A$  is a fuzzy soft neighborhood of each  $x_t^h \in \lambda_A$ .

**Proof:** Let  $\lambda_A$  be an open-fss. Then  $\text{Int}(\lambda_A)$ , this yield for each  $x_t^h \in \lambda_A$  we have  $x_t^h \in \text{Int}(\lambda_A)$ . Therefore,  $\lambda_A$  is a fuzzy soft neighborhood for each  $x_t^h \in \lambda_A$ .

**Proposition 3.12:** Let  $(X, \theta, K)$  be a ČF-sec,  $\lambda_A \in F_{ss}(X, K)$  and  $x_t^h$  be a fuzzy soft point over  $X$ . If  $x_t^h$  a fuzzy soft interior point of  $\lambda_A$  then  $x_t$  is a fuzzy interior point of  $(\lambda_A)$  (h) in  $(X, \theta_h)$  for each  $h \in K$ .

**Proof:** For each  $h \in K$ ,  $(\lambda_A)(h) \in I^X$ . If  $x_t^h$  is a fuzzy soft interior point of  $\lambda_A$ , then there exists an open-fss  $\mu_B$  in  $(X, \theta, K)$  such that  $x_t^h \in \mu_B \subseteq \lambda_A$ . This means that  $x_t \in (\mu_B)(h) \subseteq (\lambda_A)(h)$ . Since,  $\mu_B$  is an open-fss in  $(X, \theta, K)$ . Then by theorem 3.8  $(\mu_B)(h)$  is an open fuzzy set in  $(X, \theta_h)$  and  $x_t \in (\mu_B)(h)$ . This implies  $x_t$  is a fuzzy interior point of  $(\lambda_A)(h)$  in  $(X, \theta_h)$ .

**Fuzzy soft exterior and fuzzy soft boundary of fuzzy soft sets in fuzzy soft closure spaces:** This section is devoted to define and investigate the notion of fuzzy soft exterior (respectively, boundary) of fuzzy soft sets in ČF-sc's and give the relationships between them and the ČF ech fuzzy soft closure  $\theta$ , (respectively, interior  $\text{Int}$ ) of fuzzy soft sets, this study supported by several examples.

**Definition 4.1:** Let  $(X, \theta, K)$  be a ČF-css and  $\lambda_A \in F_{ss}(X, K)$ . The fuzzy soft exterior of  $\lambda_A$ , denoted by  $\text{ext} \lambda_A$  is defined as:

$$\text{ext}(\lambda_A) = \text{Int}(\bar{\lambda}_K - \lambda_A) \quad (3)$$

**Definition 4.2:** Let  $(X, \theta, K)$  be a ČF-sc's and  $\lambda_A \in F_{ss}(X, K)$ . A fuzzy soft point  $x_t^h$  is called a fuzzy soft exterior point of  $\lambda_A$ , if there exists  $\mu_B \in F_{ss}(X, K)$  such that  $x_t^h \in \text{Int}(\mu_B) \subseteq \bar{\lambda}_K - \lambda_A$ . Some basic properties of fuzzy soft exterior are given in the next proposition.

**Proposition 4.3:** Let  $(X, \theta, K)$  be a ČF-sc's and  $\lambda_A, \mu_B \in F_{ss}(X, K)$ . Then:

- If  $\lambda_A \subseteq \mu_B$ , then  $\text{ext}(\mu_B) \subseteq \text{ext}(\lambda_A)$
- $\text{ext}(\lambda_A \cup \mu_B) = \text{ext}(\lambda_A) \cap \text{ext}(\mu_B)$
- $\text{ext}(\lambda_A) \cup \text{ext}(\mu_B) \subseteq \text{ext}(\lambda_A \cap \mu_B)$
- $\lambda_A$  is a closed-fss if and only if  $(\lambda_A) = \bar{\lambda}_K - \lambda_A$

**Proof:** Let  $\lambda_A, \mu_B \in F_{ss}(X, K)$  such that  $\lambda_A \subseteq \mu_B$ . Then  $\bar{\lambda}_K - \mu_B \subseteq \bar{\lambda}_K - \lambda_A$  by Proposition 2.4(4), we have  $\text{Int}(\bar{\lambda}_K - \mu_B) \subseteq \text{Int}(\bar{\lambda}_K - \lambda_A)$  which is mean  $\text{ext}(\mu_B) \subseteq \text{ext}(\lambda_A)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ext}(\lambda_A \cup \mu_B) &= \text{Int}(\bar{\lambda}_K - (\lambda_A \cup \mu_B)) = \\ \text{Int}(\bar{\lambda}_K - \lambda_A \cap \bar{\lambda}_K - \mu_B) &= \text{Int}(\bar{\lambda}_K - \lambda_A) \cap \text{Int}(\bar{\lambda}_K - \mu_B) = \\ \text{Int}(\bar{\lambda}_K - \lambda_A) \cap (\bar{\lambda}_K - \mu_B) &= \text{ext}(\lambda_A) \cap \text{ext}(\mu_B) \\ \text{ext}(\lambda_A) \cup \text{ext}(\mu_B) &= \text{Int}(\bar{\lambda}_K - \lambda_A) \cup \text{Int}(\bar{\lambda}_K - \mu_B) \subseteq \\ \text{Int}(\bar{\lambda}_K - \lambda_A \cup \bar{\lambda}_K - \mu_B) &= \text{Int}(\bar{\lambda}_K - (\lambda_A \cap \mu_B)) = \\ \text{ext}(\lambda_A \cap \mu_B) \end{aligned}$$

Suppose  $\lambda_A$  is a closed-fss, then  $\bar{\lambda}_K - \lambda_A$  is an open-fss. Now,  $\text{ext}(\lambda_A) = \text{Int}(\bar{\lambda}_K - \lambda_A) = \bar{\lambda}_K - \lambda_A$ . Conversely, suppose  $\text{ext}(\lambda_A) = \bar{\lambda}_K - \lambda_A$ . To prove  $(\lambda_A)$  is a closed-fss. From (Eq. 4.1) and hypothesis, we get  $\text{ext}(\lambda_A) = \text{Int}(\bar{\lambda}_K - \lambda_A) = \bar{\lambda}_K - \lambda_A$ . That is mean  $\bar{\lambda}_K - \lambda_A$  an open-fss which implies  $\lambda_A$  is a closed-fss.

**Remark 4.4:** Let  $(X, \theta, K)$  be a ČF-sec and  $\lambda_A \in F_{ss}(X, K)$  then:

- $\text{ext}(\lambda_A) \cap \text{Int}(\lambda_A) \neq \bar{0}_K$
- The equality of proposition Eq. (4.3) and (3) is not true in general

The following example explain remark Eq. (4.4) (1).

**Example 4.5:** Let  $X = \{a, b\}$ ,  $K = \{h_1, h_2\}$ . Define  $(\lambda_A)_1$ ,  $(\lambda_A)_2$ ,  $(\lambda_A)_3$  and  $(\lambda_A)_4 \in F_{ss}(X, K)$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda_A)_1 &= \{(h_1, a_{0.5} \vee b_{0.2}), (h_2, a_{0.5} \vee b_{0.7})\} \\ (\lambda_A)_2 &= \{(h_1, a_{0.5} \vee b_{0.8}), (h_2, a_{0.5} \vee b_{0.3})\} \\ (\lambda_A)_3 &= \{(h_1, a_{0.5} \vee b_{0.2}), (h_2, a_{0.5} \vee b_{0.3})\} \\ (\lambda_A)_4 &= \{(h_1, a_{0.5} \vee b_{0.8}), (h_2, a_{0.5} \vee b_{0.7})\} \end{aligned}$$

Define Č-fsco  $\theta: F_{ss}(X, K) \rightarrow F_{ss}(X, K)$  as follows:

$$\theta(\lambda_A) = \begin{cases} \bar{0}_K & \text{if } \lambda_A = \bar{0}_K \\ (\lambda_A)_1 & \text{if } (\lambda_A)_3 \subseteq \lambda_A \subseteq (\lambda_A)_1, \\ (\lambda_A)_2 & \text{if } (\lambda_A)_3 \subseteq \lambda_A \subseteq (\lambda_A)_2, \\ \lambda_A & \text{if } \lambda_A \subseteq (\lambda_A)_3, \\ (\lambda_A)_4 & \text{if } \lambda_A = \lambda_A \subseteq (\lambda_A)_1, (\lambda_A)_2, (\lambda_A)_4, \\ \bar{1}_K & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Consider  $(\lambda_A)_1$ , then  $\text{Int}((\lambda_A)_1) = \{(h_1, a_{0.5} \vee b_{0.2}), (h_2, a_{0.5} \vee b_{0.3})\}$  and  $\text{ext}((\lambda_A)_1) = \{(h_1, a_{0.5} \vee b_{0.2}), (h_2, a_{0.5} \vee b_{0.3})\}$ . Thus its clear that  $\text{Int}(\lambda_A) \cap \text{ext}(\lambda_A) \neq \bar{0}_K$ . The next example explain remark Eq. (4.4) (2).

**Example 4.6:** Let  $X = \{a, b\}$ ,  $K = \{h_1, h_2\}$ . Define Č-fsco  $\theta: F_{ss}(X, K) \rightarrow F_{ss}(X, K)$  as follows:

$$(\lambda_A) = \begin{cases} \bar{0}_K & \text{if } \lambda_A = \bar{0}_K, \\ \{(h_1, a_1 Vb_1)\} & \text{if } \lambda_A = \{(h_1, a_1) : t_1 \in I_0\}, \\ \{(h_1, a_1 Vb_2)\} & \text{if } \lambda_A = \{(h_1, b_{12}) : t_2 \in I_0\}, \\ \{(h_1, a_1 Vb_1)\} & \text{if } \lambda_A = \{(h_1, a_1 Vb_{12}) : t_1, t_2 \in I_0\}, \\ \{(h_2, a_1 Vb_1)\} & \text{if } \lambda_A = \{(h_2, a_1) : t_3 \in I_0\}, \\ \{(h_2, a_1 Vb_4)\} & \text{if } \lambda_A = \{(h_2, a_1) : t_3 \in I_0\}, \\ \{(h_1, a_1 Vb_1)\} & \text{if } \lambda_A = \{(h_2, a_1 Vb_4) : t_3, t_4 \in I_0\}, \\ \theta(h_1, \lambda_A(h_1)) \cup \theta(h_1, \lambda_A(h_1)) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then,  $(x, \theta, k)$  is a ČF-scs. Let,  $\lambda_A = \{(h_1, a_{0.6})\}$  and  $\mu_B = \{(h_1, a_{0.6})\}$ . Then,  $\text{ext}(\lambda_A \cap \mu_B) = \bar{1}_K$ . On the other hand  $\text{ext}(\lambda_A) = \{(h_1, a_{0.4}), (h_2, a_1 Vb_{14})\}$  and  $\text{ext}(\mu_B) = \{(h_1, a_{0.4}), (h_2, a_1 Vb_1)\}$ . Thus, It is clear that  $\text{ext}(\lambda_A \cap \mu_B) = \bar{1}_K \not\subseteq \{(h_1, a_{0.4} Vb_{0.4}), (h_2, a_1 Vb_1)\} = \text{ext}(\lambda_A) \cup \text{ext}(\mu_B)$ .

**Definition 4.7:** Let  $(x, \theta, k)$  be a ČF-scs and  $\lambda_A \in F_{ss}(X, K)$ . The fuzzy soft boundary of  $\lambda_A$  denoted by  $\lambda_A$  is defined as:

$$\text{Bd}(\lambda_A) = \theta(\lambda_A) \cap \theta(\bar{1}_K - \lambda_A) \quad (4)$$

The next remark includes several deviations for some results in exterior and boundary that are hold in both ordinary and soft topological spaces but not in ČF-scs's.

**Remark 4.8:** Let  $(x, \theta, k)$  be a ČF-scs and  $\lambda_A \in F_{ss}(X, K)$ . Then:

- $\text{Bd}(\lambda_A) \cap \text{ext}(\lambda_A) \neq \bar{0}_K$
- $\text{Bd}(\lambda_A) \cap \text{Int}(\lambda_A) \neq \bar{0}_K$
- $\theta(\lambda_A) \neq \text{Bd}(\lambda_A) \cup \text{Int}(\lambda_A)$
- $\theta(\text{Bd}(\lambda_A)) \neq \text{Bd}(\lambda_A)$

Next, we introduce several examples to explain the above remark. First we give an example to explain part 1 and 2 in remark 4.8.

**Example 4.9:** In Example 4.5. Consider the fuzzy soft set  $(\lambda_A)_1$ . Then  $\text{Bd}(\lambda_A)_1 = \{(h_1, a_{0.5} Vb_{0.8}), (h_2, a_{0.5} Vb_{0.7})\}$  and  $\text{ext}((\lambda_A)_1) = \{(h_1, a_{0.5} Vb_{0.8}), (h_2, a_{0.5} Vb_{0.3})\}$ . Then it follows  $\text{Bd}((\lambda_A)_1) \cap \text{Int}((\lambda_A)_1) \neq \bar{0}_K$ . Also,  $\text{Int}((\lambda_A)_1) = \{(h_1, a_{0.5} Vb_{0.2}), (h_2, a_{0.5} Vb_{0.3})\}$ . Thus, it is clear that  $\text{Bd}((\lambda_A)_1) \cap \text{Int}((\lambda_A)_1) \neq \bar{0}_K$ . The next example explain part (3) in remark 4.8.

**Example 4. 0:** In example 4.6. Let  $\lambda_A = \{(h_1, a_{0.5} Vb_1), (h_2, a_1 Vb_1)\} \in F_{ss}(X, K)$ . Then  $\theta(\lambda_A) = \bar{1}_K$ ,  $\text{Bd}(\lambda_A) = \{(h_1, a_{0.5} Vb_1)\}$  and  $\text{Int}(\lambda_A) = \{(h_1, a_{0.5}), (h_2, a_1 Vb_1)\}$ . This implies

$\text{Bd}(\lambda_A) \cup \text{Int}(\lambda_A) = \{(h_1, a_{0.5} Vb_1), (h_2, a_1 Vb_1)\}$  which is not equal to  $\theta(\lambda_A) = \bar{1}_K$ . Finally, we introduce an example to explain part (4) in remark 4.8.

**Example 4.11:** Let  $X = \{a, b\}$ ,  $K = \{h_1, h_2\}$ . Define ČF-sco $\theta: F_{ss}(X, K) \rightarrow F_{ss}(X, K)$  as follows:

$$\theta(\lambda_A) = \begin{cases} \bar{0}_K & \text{if } \lambda_A = \bar{0}_K, \\ \{(h_1, a_{0.4})\} & \text{if } \lambda_A \subseteq \{(h_1, a_{0.3})\} \\ \bar{1}_K & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then,  $(X, \theta, K)$  be ČF-scs. Let  $\lambda_A = \{(h_1, a_{0.7} Vb_1), (h_2, a_1 Vb_1)\}$ . Then  $\text{Bd}(\lambda_A) = \{(h_1, a_{0.4})\}$ . And  $\theta(\text{Bd}(\lambda_A)) = \bar{1}_K \neq \text{Bd}(\lambda_A)$ . Some properties of fuzzy soft boundary in ČF-scs's are introduced in the next.

**Proposition 4.12:**  $(X, \theta, K)$  be a ČF-scs and  $\lambda_A \in F_{ss}(X, K)$ . Then:

- $\bar{1}_K - \text{Bd}(\lambda_A) = \text{Int}(\bar{1}_K - \lambda_A) \cup \text{Int}(\lambda_A)$
- $\text{Bd}(\lambda_A \cup \mu_B) \subseteq \text{Bd}(\lambda_A) \cup \text{Bd}(\mu_B)$
- $\text{Bd}(\lambda_A \cap \mu_B) \subseteq \{\text{Bd}(\lambda_A)\} \cap \{\text{Bd}(\mu_B) \cap \theta(\lambda_A)\}$

**Proof:**

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{1}_K - \text{Bd}(\lambda_A) &= \bar{1}_K - (\theta(\lambda_A) \cap \theta(\bar{1}_K - \lambda_A)) = \\ &= (\bar{1}_K - \theta(\lambda_A)) \cup (\bar{1}_K - \theta(\bar{1}_K - \lambda_A)) = \bar{1}_K - \\ &= (\bar{1}_K - (\text{Int}(\bar{1}_K - \lambda_A))) \cup \text{Int}(\lambda_A) = \\ &= \text{Int}(\bar{1}_K - \lambda_A) \cup \text{Int}(\lambda_A) \end{aligned}$$

By using the definition of the fuzzy soft boundary, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Bd}(\lambda_A \cup \mu_B) &= \theta(\lambda_A \cup \mu_B) \cap \theta((\bar{1}_K - \lambda_A) \cap (\bar{1}_K - \mu_B)) = \\ &= \{\theta(\lambda_A) \cup \theta(\mu_B)\} \cap \theta((\bar{1}_K - \lambda_A) \cap (\bar{1}_K - \mu_B)) = \\ &\subseteq \{\theta(\lambda_A) \cup \theta(\mu_B)\} \cap \{\theta(\bar{1}_K - \lambda_A) \cap \theta(\bar{1}_K - \mu_B)\} = \\ &= \{\theta(\lambda_A) \cap \theta(\bar{1}_K - \lambda_A) \cap \theta(\bar{1}_K - \mu_B)\} \cup \\ &= \{\theta(\mu_B) \cap \theta(\bar{1}_K - \lambda_A) \cap \theta(\bar{1}_K - \mu_B)\} \\ &= \{\text{Bd}(\lambda_A) \cap \theta(\bar{1}_K - \lambda_A)\} \cup \{\text{Bd}(\mu_B) \cap \theta(\bar{1}_K - \lambda_A)\} \\ &\subseteq \text{Bd}(\lambda_A) \cup \text{Bd}(\mu_B) \end{aligned}$$

Similar of part (2).

**Theorem 4.13:** Let  $(X, \theta, K)$  be a ČF-scs and  $(\lambda_A) \in F_{ss}(X, K)$ . If  $\lambda_A \cap \text{Bd}(\lambda_A) = \bar{0}_K$  then  $\lambda_A$  is an open-fss.

**Proof:** Let  $\lambda_A \in F_{ss}(X, K)$ , we must prove  $\theta(\bar{\tau}_K - \lambda_A) = \bar{\tau}_K - \lambda_A$ . Since,  $\lambda_A \cap \text{Bd}(\lambda_A) = \bar{0}_K$ , then  $\lambda_A \cap (\lambda_A) \cap \theta(\bar{\tau}_K - \lambda_A) = \bar{0}_K$ . This implies  $\lambda_A \cap \theta(\bar{\tau}_K - \lambda_A) = \bar{0}_K$  which means  $\theta(\bar{\tau}_K - \lambda_A) \subseteq \bar{\tau}_K - \lambda_A$ . On the other hand  $\bar{\tau}_K - \lambda_A \subseteq \theta(\bar{\tau}_K - \lambda_A)$ . It follows,  $\theta(\bar{\tau}_K - \lambda_A) = \bar{\tau}_K - \lambda_A$  and hence we get  $\lambda_A$  is an open-fss. The converse of Theorem 4.13 is not true in general as the following example show.

**Example 4.14:** In Example 4.5. Consider the fuzzy soft set  $(\lambda_A)_3 = \{(h_1, a_{0.5}Vb_{0.2}), (h_2, a_{0.5}Vb_{0.3})\}$ . Then  $(\lambda_A)_3$  is an open-fss because  $\text{Int}(\lambda_A)_3 = (\lambda_A)_3$ . But  $(\lambda_A)_3 \cap \text{Bd}((\lambda_A)_3) = \lambda_A)_3 \neq \bar{0}_K$ .

**Theorem 4.15:** Let  $(X, \theta, K)$  be a ČF-scs and  $\lambda_A \in F_{ss}(X, K)$ . If  $\lambda_A$  is a cosed-fss, then  $\text{Bd}(\lambda_A) \subseteq \lambda_A$ .

**Proof:** Let  $\lambda_A$  be a closed-fss, then  $\theta(\lambda_A) = \lambda_A$ . Now,  $\text{Bd}(\lambda_A) = \cap \theta(\bar{\tau}_K - \lambda_A) \subseteq \theta(\lambda_A) = \lambda_A$ . That is  $\text{Bd}(\lambda_A) \subseteq \lambda_A$ . The converse of the above theorem is not hold as we show in the next example.

**Example 4.16:** In example 4.5. Let  $\lambda_A = \{(h_1, a_{0.9}Vb_{0.9}), (h_2, a_{0.9}Vb_{0.9})\} \in F_{ss}(X, K)$ . Then  $\text{Bd} \lambda_A = \{(h_1, a_{0.1}Vb_{0.1}), (h_2, a_{0.1}Vb_{0.1})\} \subset \lambda_A$ . But  $\lambda_A$  is not a closed-fss in  $X$  because  $\theta(\lambda_A) = \bar{\tau}_K \neq \lambda_A$ .

**Theorem 4.17:** Let  $(X, \theta, K)$  be a ČF-scs and  $\lambda_A \in F_{ss}(X, K)$ . If  $\text{Bd}(\lambda_A)$ , then  $\lambda_A$  is a closed-fss in  $X$ .

**Proof:** Let  $\lambda_A \in F_{ss}(X, K)$ . First we prove that  $\lambda_A$  is a closed-fss. Since,  $\text{Bd}(\lambda_A) = \bar{0}_K$  implies  $\theta(\lambda_A) \cap \theta(\bar{\tau}_K - \lambda_A) = \bar{0}_K$ . It follows  $\theta(\lambda_A) \subseteq \bar{\tau}_K - \theta(\bar{\tau}_K - \lambda_A) = \text{Int}(\lambda_A) \subseteq \lambda_A$ . Thus we get  $\theta(\lambda_A) \subseteq \lambda_A$  and from (C2) of Definition 2.1,  $\lambda_A \subseteq \theta(\lambda_A)$ . Hence,  $\theta(\lambda_A) = \lambda_A$  and this mean  $\lambda_A$  is a closed-fss.

Now, we prove  $\lambda_A$  is an open-fss. Since,  $\text{Bd}(\lambda_A) = \bar{0}_K$ , then  $\theta(\lambda_A) \cap \theta(\bar{\tau}_K - \lambda_A) = \bar{0}_K$ , implies  $\theta(\lambda_A) \cap \theta \bar{\tau}_K - \text{Int}(\lambda_A) = \bar{0}_K$  (by applying  $\theta(\bar{\tau}_K - \lambda_A) = \bar{\tau}_K - \text{Int}(\lambda_A)$ ). From the prove of the first part of theorem we have  $\lambda_A$  is a closed-fss, this implies  $\lambda_A \cap \bar{\tau}_K - \text{Int}(\lambda_A) = \text{Int} \bar{0}_K$  which implies  $\lambda_A \cap \bar{\tau}_K - \text{Int}(\lambda_A) = \bar{0}_K$ . Hence,  $\lambda_A = \text{Int}(\lambda_A)$ . So,  $\lambda_A$  is an open-fss in  $X$ . Thus,  $\lambda_A$  is a open-fss in  $X$ . The converse of above Theorem is not hold. The next example explain that.

**Example 4.17:** In example 4.5. Consider the fuzzy soft set  $(\lambda_A)_3 = \{(h_1, a_{0.5}Vb_{0.2}), (h_2, a_{0.5}Vb_{0.3})\}$ . It is clear that  $(\lambda_A)_3$  is a closed-fss in  $X$ . But  $\text{Bd}(\lambda_A)_3 = (\lambda_A)_3 \neq \bar{0}_K$ .

## CONCLUSION

In addition, some properties of fuzzy soft neighborhood of a fuzzy soft point are introduced. In this study, the notion of fuzzy soft exterior (respectively, boundary) of a fuzzy soft sets in ČF-scs is defined and give the properties of its. Also, we find several deviations for some results in exterior and boundary that are hold in both ordinary and soft topological spaces but not in ČF-scs's. These deviations are clarified by giving several examples.

## REFERENCES

- Czech, E., 1966. Topological Spaces. John Wiley and Sons, Hoboken, New Jersey, USA.,.
- Gowri, R. and G. Jegadeesan, 2014. On soft CZech closure spaces. Intl. J. Math. Trends Technol., 9: 122-127.
- Krishnaveni, J. and C. Sekar, 2014. CZech soft closure spaces. Intl. J. Math. Trends Technol., 6: 123-135.
- Majeed, R.N., 2018. CZech fuzzy soft closure spaces. Intl. J. Fuzzy Syst. Appl., 7: 62-74.
- Maji, P.K., R. Biswas and A.R. Roy, 2001. Fuzzy soft sets. J. Fuzzy Math., 3: 589-602.
- Mashhour, A.S. and M.H. Ghanim, 1985. Fuzzy closure spaces. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 106: 154-170.
- Molodtsov, D., 1999. Soft set theory-first results. Comput. Math. Appl., 37: 19-31.
- Roy, S. and T.K. Samanta, 2012. A note on fuzzy soft topological spaces. Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inf., 3: 305-311.
- Tanay, B. and M.B. Kandemir, 2011. Topological structure of fuzzy soft sets. Comput. Math. Appl., 61: 2952-2957.
- Varol, B.P. and H. Aygun, 2012. Fuzzy soft topology. Hacettepe J. Math. Stat., 41: 407-419.
- Zadeh, L.A., 1965. Information and control. Fuzzy Sets, 8: 338-353.