

On Some Properties of Alfa Sets

Belal K. Nairat

Applied Sciences Private University, Amman, Jordan

Abstract: In this study, we introduce and study the concepts of α -open set, α -continuous functions then, we also study the concepts of α -compact subsets and study some new characterizations of α -connectedness. Then we discuss the relations between the α -continuous functions and these concepts.

Key words: α -open set, α -compact, α -open cover, α -closed sets, α -continuous, concepts

INTRODUCTION

Generalized open sets play a very important role in general topology and they are now the research topics of many topologists worldwide. In this study, we discuss the properties of α -sets and α -continuous functions. All through this study (X, τ) and (Y, σ) stand for topological spaces with no separation assumed, unless otherwise stated. The closure of A and the interior of A will be denoted by $Cl(A)$ and $Int(A)$, respectively.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Preliminaries; Definition 3.1: A subset A of a space X is said to be (Andrijevic, 1996; Mustafa, 2005; Calads and Jafari, 2003; Crossley and Hildebrand, 1971; Dugundji, 1996; El-Deeb *et al.*, 1983; Levine, 1963; Maheshwari and Prasad, 1972):

- Semi-open if $A \subseteq Cl(Int(A))$
- Pre open if $A \subseteq Int(Cl(A))$
- α -open if $A \subseteq Int(Cl(Int(A)))$

Definition 3.2: A function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is called (Al-Obiadi, 2005; Mashhour *et al.*, 1982):

- Semi continuous if $f^{-1}(V)$ is semi open in X for each open set V of Y
- Pre continuous if $f^{-1}(V)$ is pre open in X for each open set V of Y
- α -continuous if $f^{-1}(V)$ is α -open in X for each open set V of Y

Definition 3.3; Mustafa (2005): A space X is a α - T_2 space iff for each $x, y \in X$ such that $x \neq y$ there are α -open sets $U, V \subset X$, so that, $x \in U, y \in V$ and $U \cap V = \emptyset$.

α -connectedness

Definition: A space which is a union of two disjoint non-empty α -open sets is called α -disconnected.

Equivalently: A space X is α -connected if the only subsets of X which are both α -open and closed are \emptyset and X.

Proof of equivalence: If $X = A \cup B$ with A and B α -open and disjoint then $X - A = B$ and so, B is the complement of an α -open set and hence, is α -closed. Similarly, B is closed.

Conversely, if A is a non-empty, proper open subset then A and $X - A$ α -disconnect X. A subset of a topological space is called α -connected if it is connected in the subspace topology.

Theorem: The α -continuous image of a α -connected space is α -connected.

Proof: If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is α -continuous and $f(X) \subset Y$ is α -disconnected by α -open sets U, V in the subspace topology on $f(X)$ then the α -open sets $f^{-1}(U)$ and $f^{-1}(V)$ would α -disconnect X.

Corollary: α -connectedness is preserved by homeomorphism.

Theorem: If A and B are α -connected and $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$ then $A \cup B$ is α -connected.

Proof: Suppose α -open sets U and V α -disconnect $A \cup B$. Then $A \cap U$ and $A \cap B$ would α -disconnect A and so, one of them is \emptyset . So, suppose $A \subset U$. Similarly we have either $B \subset V$ or $B \subset U$. Since, B meets A the first of these is impossible and so, we have $A \cup B \subset U$ and $V = \emptyset$.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Covering properties

Definition 5.1: Let $\{G_\alpha: \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be a family of α -open sets of the space X. The family $\{G_\alpha: \alpha \in \Delta\}$ covers X if $x \in \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} G_\alpha$.

Definition 5.2: A space X is called a α -compact space if each α -open cover of X has a finite subcover for X .

Theorem 5.3: Let A be a α -compact subset of the α - T_2 space X and $x \notin A$. Then there exist two disjoint α -open sets U and V containing x and respectively.

Proof: Let $y \in A$, since, X is α - T_2 space there exist two α -open sets $U_x, V_y \in X$ such that $x \in U_x, y \in V_y, U_x \cap V_y = \emptyset$ the family is open cover of A has a finite subcover, thus:

Theorem 5.4: If X is α - T_2 space and A is a α -open subset, if A is α -compact then A is a α -closed.

Proof: Let $x \in X-A$, by the theorem 4.3 there exist two α -open sets U and V such that $x \in U, A \subseteq V, U \cap V = \emptyset$, thus, $x \in U \subseteq X-V \subseteq X-A$ which implies $X-A$ is α -open, so that, A is α -closed.

Theorem 5.5: Let A and B be two α -compact subsets of the α - T_2 space X then there exist disjoint α -open sets U and V containing A and receptively.

Proof: Let $b \in B$, since, A is a α -compact subset and α -open in X there exist two α -open sets U_b, V_b such that $U_b \cap V_b = \emptyset, b \in V_b, A \subseteq U_b$, so, $\beta = \{B \cap V_b; b \in B\}$ is a α -open cover of B , since, B is α -compact subset there exist finite subcover $\{B \cap V_{b_i}; 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ from β . Let:

$$U = \bigcap_{i=1}^n U_{b_i}, V = \bigcup_{i=1}^n V_{b_i}$$

Thus:

$$A \subseteq U, B \subseteq V, U \cap V = \emptyset$$

Theorem 5.6: Let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \rho)$ be a α -continuous surjection open function, if X is a α -compact then Y is a α -compact.

Proof: Let $\beta = \{V_{\alpha_i}; \alpha_i \in \Delta\}$ be a α -open cover of Y , then $L = \{f^{-1}(V_{\alpha_i}); \alpha_i \in \Delta\}$ is a α -open cover of X . Since, X is a α -compact space there exist a finite subcover from L to the space X . Such that:

$$X \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n f^{-1}(V_{\alpha_i})$$

Thus:

$$Y = f(X) \subseteq f\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n f^{-1}(V_{\alpha_i})\right) = f\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n \left(f^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n V_{\alpha_i}\right)\right)\right) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n V_{\alpha_i}$$

Hence:

$$Y \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n (V_{\alpha_i})$$

This shows Y is a α -compact.

Corollary 5.7: α -compactness is a topological property

Proof: The proof from Theorem 4.5.

Definition 5.8: A family of sets β has “finite intersection property” if every finite subfamily of β has a nonempty intersection.

Theorem 5.9: A topological space is α -compact if and only if any collection of its α -closed sets having the finite intersection property has non-empty intersection.

Proof: Suppose X is α -compact, i.e., any collection of α -open subsets that cover X has a finite collection that also cover X . Further, suppose $\{G_{\alpha_i}; \alpha_i \in \Delta\}$ is an arbitrary collection of α -closed subsets with the finite intersection property. We claim that:

$$\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta} G_{\alpha} \neq \emptyset$$

Is non-empty. Suppose otherwise, i.e., suppose:

$$\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta} G_{\alpha} = \emptyset$$

Then:

$$\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} (X - G_{\alpha}) = X - \left(\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta} G_{\alpha} \right) = X - \emptyset = X$$

Since, each G_{α} is α -closed, the collection $\{X - G_{\alpha_i}; \alpha_i \in \Delta\}$ is an α -open cover for X . By compactness there is a finite subcover L such that:

$$X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n (X - G_{\alpha_i})$$

But then:

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^n G_{\alpha_i} = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \left(X - (X - G_{\alpha_i}) \right) = X - \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n (X - G_{\alpha_i}) \right) = X - X = \emptyset$$

which contradicts the finite intersection property of $\{G_{\alpha_i}; \alpha_i \in \Delta\}$. Conversely, take the hypothesis that every family of a α -closed sets in X having the finite intersection

property has a nonempty intersection. We are to show X is α -compact. Let $\{G_\alpha: \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be any α -open cover of X . Then $\{X - G_\alpha: \alpha \in \Delta\}$ is a family of α -closed sets such that:

$$\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta} (X - G_\alpha) = X - \left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} G_\alpha \right) = X - X = \emptyset$$

Consequently, our hypothesis implies the family $\{X - G_\alpha: \alpha \in \Delta\}$ does not have the finite intersection property. Therefore, there is some finite subcollection $\{X - G_{\alpha_i}: i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$ such that:

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^n (X - G_{\alpha_i}) = \emptyset$$

And hence:

$$X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n G_{\alpha_i} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \left(X - (X - G_{\alpha_i}) \right) = X - \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n (X - G_{\alpha_i}) \right) = X - \emptyset = X$$

Thus:

$$X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n G_{\alpha_i}$$

Implying X is α -compact.

CONCLUSION

In this study, the relations between the α -continuous functions and their concepts are discussed clearly.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The researcher acknowledges Applied Science Private University, Amman, Jordan, for the fully financial support granted of this research.

REFERENCES

- Al-Obiadi, A.K., 2005. On totally b -continuous functions and strongly B -continuous functions. *Mutah Lil Buhuth Wad Dirasat*, 20: 63-71.
- Andrijevic, D., 1996. On B -open sets. *Mat. Vesnik*, 48: 59-64.
- Calads, G.D.N and S. Jafari, 2003. Characterizations of low separation axioms via-open sets and closure operation. *Bol. Soc. Paran. Mat.*, 21: 1-14.
- Crossley, S.G. and S.K. Hildebrand, 1971. Semi-closure. *Texas J. Sci.*, 22: 99-112.
- Dugundji, J., 1966. *Topology*. Allyn & Bacon, Boston, Massachusetts, USA.
- El-Deeb, N., I.A. Hasanein, A.S. Mashhour and T. Noiri, 1983. On P -regular spaces. *Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Republique Socialiste Roumanie*, 27: 311-315.
- Levine, N., 1963. Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 70: 36-41.
- Maheshwari, S.N. and R. Prasad, 1972. Some new separation axioms. *Ann. Soc. Sci. Bruxelles*, 89: 395-402.
- Mashhour, A.S., M.A. El-Monsef and S.N. El-Deeb, 1982. On precontinuous and weak precontinuous mappings. *Proc. Math. Phys. Soc. Egypt*, 53: 47-53.
- Mustafa, J.M., 2005. Some separation axioms by B -open sets. *Mutah Lil Buhuth Wad Dirasat*, 20: 57-64.