Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences 12 (8): 2129-2137, 2017

ISSN: 1816-949X

© Medwell Journals, 2017

Experimental Research the Human Body Impedance in the Chest Area Depending the Frequency of the Injected Current

G.K. Aleksanyan, I.D. Shcherbakov, A.I. Kucher and V.V. Demyanov Department of Information and Measurement Systems and Technologies, Platov South Russia State Polytechnic University (NPI), Novocherkassk, Russia

Abstract: Present study is devoted to research of living human body impedance in chest area at different frequencies and amplitudes of injection current to define critical conditions for use of device for 2 and 3-dimensional multi-frequency electrical impedance tomography based on natural-model approach. This study describes a method of data obtaining, analyzes the measurement results. Based on this analysis, critical conditions for the use of devices for 2 and 3-dimensional multi-frequency electrical impedance tomography based on natural-model approach are identified. To assess the reproducibility of the measurement results, analysis of measuring data array was performed by calculating the coefficient of variation for each measured value. Based on this analysis, critical requirements for the amplitude of the injection current to a device for the implementation of 2 and 3-dimensional multi-frequency electrical impedance tomography based on natural-model approach were formed. Practical implementation of the approach makes it possible to change the frequency of injection current in the measurement process according to the algorithm which let explore the internal structures of biological object in different ranges of impedance and more accurately identify their type.

Key words: Multi-frequency, electrical impedance tomography, natural-model approach, coefficient of variation calculation, human body chest impedance measuing

INTRODUCTION

Important role is played by frequency and current supplied to the Biological Object (BO) in 2 and 3-dimensional Multi-frequency Electrical Impedance Tomography (MEIT). Due to the fact that the electrical properties of BO tissues are injected current frequency dependent, MEIT use in clinical practice is an important tool of diagnostic information (Aleksanyan *et al.*, 2014).

The aim of this study is to study the influence of the frequency of the injected current on the measurement result, i.e., impedance. As MEIT devices work with a limited range of load impedances which in most cases is the impedance of BO then results of this work can be applied to determine the critical conditions for the use of this type of device as well as for the implementation of natural-model approach to problems of 2 and 3-dimensional MEIT generally (Aleksanyan *et al.*, 2016).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

To estimate the impedance at the chest surface of the test person (male, 23) is placed an electrode belt,

consisting of 16 electrodes of an alloy of cupronickel. (Aleksanyan et al., 2015a-c). Electrodes were pressed to the human skin surface by the pulled over rubber belt. To reduce the "skin-electrode" contact resistance gel used for ECG, EEG, defibrillation was applied. The belt is located in infrasternal area. The electrode E1 is placed on the spine, number direction is clockwise. The diagram of electrodes arrangement and a photo of electrode belt placed on test person and connected to the measuring unit shown in Fig. 1. For the measurement conducting the bread board model of hardware and software system of electrical impedance tomography was used (Aleksanyan et al., 2015). The model allow to connect the current source to any of 16 electrodes and measure the potential relative to a common point on any of the 16 electrodes (Aleksanyan et al., 2014). The structural diagram of the device shown in Fig. 2.

The max output voltage of the device is $U_{out} = 10.5 \text{ V}$, the maximum amplitude of the injection current IM = 5 mA, these options are safe for human's body and allow to avoid the undesirable occurrence of biological effects in the tissues of the test BO (Pecker *et al.*, 2004). Measurements were made between the electrodes $E1 \rightarrow E9$; $E9 \rightarrow E1$; $E5 \rightarrow E13$; $E13 \rightarrow E5$. To measure the




Fig. 1: a) Arrangement of the electrodes for example thorax section and b) the actual mounting on the body




Fig. 2: Block diagram of the breadboard model of EIT device

impedance of the current source connected to these electrodes. $\Delta \phi$ was measured by the difference in potential between the 2 frequencies f_I = 1;5..20 kHz with a step of 5 kHz and amplitudes I_M = 0,1;1..5 mA with a step of 1 mA (Aleksanyan *et al.*, 2015a-c). Z was calculated by Eq. 1:

$$Z = \frac{\Delta \varphi}{I_{\rm M}} \tag{1}$$

Where:

Z = Impedance value

 $\Delta \varphi$ = Measured potential difference

 I_{M} = The amplitude of the injected current

The measurements were performed at full inhalation and exhalation. A³ replicates for each value f_I and I_M. As a result of measurement, the average of three repeated experiments was made. To assess the repeatability of the experiment results, the variation coefficient applied V, defined by the formula (Stiglitz and Rothschild, 1970):

$$V = \frac{\sigma}{x} \tag{2}$$

where, σ is standard deviation, calculated according to the Eq. 2:

$$\sigma = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \overline{x})^2}$$
 (3)

Where:

N = Number of measurements

x = Measured value

 $^{\overline{x}}$ = The arithmetic mean, calculated according to the Eq. 3

$$\overline{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{x}_{i} \tag{4}$$


RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 3 shows the results of measurement of the impedance Z between the electrode E1 and the electrode E9 depending on frequency f_l and amplitude I_M of injection current during inhalation. Figure shows the graphs of the following:

- Fig. 3a plot of Z on f_I and I_M
- Fig. 3b a plot of Z on I_M
- Fig. 3c plot of Z on f₁

Figure 4 shows measurement results of the Z between the electrode E9 and electrode E1 dependence from $f_{\rm I}$ and $I_{\rm M}$ during inhalation. The analysis of the measured data presented in Fig. 3 and 4 shows that with decreasing $f_{\rm b}$ Z increases, thus increasing the steepness of the rise in the transition from $f_{\rm I}$ = 5000-1000 Hz. It is also worth noting that with $f_{\rm P}$ Z 5000 Hz frequency practically does not change with the growth of $I_{\rm M}$.

Figure 5 shows measurement results of the Z between the electrode E5 and electrode E13 dependence from $f_{\rm I}$ and $I_{\rm M}$ during inhalation. Figure 6 shows measurement results of the Z between the electrode E13 and electrode E15 dependence from $f_{\rm I}$ and $I_{\rm M}$ during inhalation (Grayr *et al.*, 2014).



 $Fig.~3: a)~Measurement~results~of~Z~between~the~electrodes~E1~and~E9~for~different~values~f_{\scriptscriptstyle I}~and~I_{\scriptscriptstyle M}~during~inhalation:~plot~of~Z~on~f_{\scriptscriptstyle I}~and~I_{\scriptscriptstyle M},~b)~a~plot~of~Z~on~IM;~e)~plot~of~Z~on~f_{\scriptscriptstyle I}$




Fig. 4: Continue

J. Eng. Applied Sci., 12 (8): 2129-2137, 2017




Fig. 4: Measurement results of Z between the electrodes E9 and E1 for different values f_I and I_M during inhalation: a) plot of Z on f_I and I_M ; b) a plot of Z on I_M ; c) plot of Z on f_I




Fig. 5: a) Measurement results of Z between the electrodes E5 and E13 for different values f_I and I_M during inhalation: plot of Z on f_I and I_M ; b) a plot of Z on I_M ; c) plot of Z on f_I




Fig. 6: a) Measurement results of Z between the electrodes E13 and E5 for different values f_I and I_M during inhalation: plot of Z on f_I and I_M ; b) a plot of Z on I_M ; c) plot of Z on f_I

It should be noted that given on Fig. 3-6 drop of Z with $I_{\text{M}} > 3$ mA at a frequency $f_{\text{I}} = 1000$ Hz is due to the measurement unit restrictions of the max output voltage $U_{\text{out}} = 10.5$ V: at the maximum amplitude of the injected current $I_{\text{M}} = 5$ mA measurement Z possible only in the range:

$$Z = 0..Z_{\text{max}} = \frac{U_{\text{out}}}{I_{\text{M}}}$$

which in this case will be:

$$Z_{\text{max}} = \frac{10.5 \text{ V}}{0.005 \text{ A}} = 2100 \ \Omega$$

Figure 7 shows the results of measurement of the impedance Z between the electrode E1 and the electrode E9 depending on frequency $f_{\rm I}$ and amplitude $I_{\rm M}$ of injection current during exhalation. Taking into account comments of the test person being feel uncomfortable in places of electrodes adjoining at $f_{\rm I}$ = 1 kHz, it was decided to limit the amplitude of the current at mentioned frequency to $I_{\rm M}$ = 2 mA.

Figure 8 shows the results of measurement of the impedance Z between the electrode E9 and the electrode E1 depending on frequency f_I and amplitude I_M of injection current during exhalation. Analysis conducted of the resulting measurement data presented in Fig. 6 and 8 shows that the nature of the change the Z impedance, measured at a "forward" direction of the injection current, similar to the data measured with a "reverse" the direction of the injection current electrodes and a common point.

Figure 9 shows the results of measurement of the impedance Z between the electrode E5 and the electrode E13 depending on frequency $f_{\rm I}$ and amplitude $I_{\rm M}$ of injection current during exhalation. Figure 10 shows the results of measurement of the impedance Z between the electrode E13 and the electrode E5 depending on frequency $f_{\rm I}$ and amplitude $I_{\rm M}$ of injection current during exhalation.

The results of impedance measurements on human chest surface during inhalation shown in Table 1. The measurement results of Z during exhalation shown in Table 2. Calculation of the coefficient of Variation (V) has been performed for evaluation of measurement results

J. Eng. Applied Sci., 12 (8): 2129-2137, 2017




Fig. 7: Measurement results of Z between the electrodes E1 and E9 for different values f_i and I_M during exhalation: a) plot of Z on f_i and I_M ; b) a plot of Z on I_M c); plot of Z on f_i




Fig. 8: a) Measurement results of Z between the electrodes E9 and E1 for different values f_I and I_M during exhalation: plot of Z on f_I and I_M ; b) a plot of Z on I_M ; c) plot of Z on f_I

J. Eng. Applied Sci., 12 (8): 2129-2137, 2017




Fig. 9: a) Measurement results of Z between the electrodes E5 and E13 for different values f_i and I_M during exhalation: plot of Z on f_i and I_M ; b) a plot of Z on I_M ; c) plot of Z on f_i




Fig. 10: a) Measurement results of Z between the electrodes E13 and E95 for different values $f_{\scriptscriptstyle I}$ and $I_{\scriptscriptstyle M}$ during inhalation: plot of Z on $f_{\scriptscriptstyle I}$ and $I_{\scriptscriptstyle M}$; b) a plot of Z on $I_{\scriptscriptstyle M}$; c) plot of Z on $f_{\scriptscriptstyle I}$

Table 1: Measured values Z during inhalation at different values f ₁ and I _M							
Fl (Hz)	I_{M} (mA)	Z _{1.9} (Ohm)	Z _{9.1} (Ohm)	Z ₅₋₁₃ (Ohm)	Z ₁₃₋₅ (Ohm)		
20000	5.0	490.661133	506.859	508.251	460.5854		
15000	5.0	618.683533	651.965067	564.6906	561.484667		
10000	5.0	714.647533	715.406867	696.256267	695.581333		
5000	5.0	1164.6874	1154.0576	1116.93733	1133.68367		
1000	5.0	-	-	-	-		
20000	4.0	591.075333	631.201	456.725667	445.020167		
15000	4.0	657.19575	637.370083	557.751583	527.538667		
10000	4.0	754.267083	757.588917	695.001333	688.621333		
5000	4.0	1211.4145	1206.88	1131.53242	1134.69608		
1000	4.0	-	-	-	-		
20000	3.0	555.326222	500.067778	480.101556	463.369333		
15000	3.0	615.365222	629.707	575.573556	550.264222		
10000	3.0	746.832444	763.635	684.051556	701.275889		
5000	3.0	1186.50956	1194.10233	1125.27533	1128.79044		
1000	3.0	-	-	-	-		
20000	2.0	490.260333	512.406	488.8895	464.318333		
15000	2.0	635.788333	627.562833	535.711667	557.857		
10000	2.0	751.999667	754.636	714.141333	709.817833		
5000	2.0	1205.351	1190.9035	1139.336	1137.33233		
1000	2.0	3492.03767	3490.1395	3418.85183	3420.96083		
20000	1.0	552.162667	516.097	471.384	460.627333		
15000	1.0	642.524667	695.058	571.052	548.858667		
10000	1.0	784.523	781.062	698.309	704.759667		
5000	1.0	1254.86967	1262.15867	1131.47533	1139.28933		
1000	1.0	3683.54367	3690.92567	3485.07767	3489.92833		
20000	0.1	1036.36333	1036.47667	491.34	491.9		
15000	0.1	967.07	947.316667	578.976667	587.03		
10000	0.1	795.45	811.49	734.203333	718.36		
5000	0.1	1245.80333	1234.58667	1177.15333	1162.81		
1000	0.1	3864.48667	3870.75	3506.07	3503.06667		

FI (Hz) I _M (mA) Z _{1,2} (Ohm) Z _{2,1} (Ohm) Z _{5,13} (Ohm) Z _{13,5} (Ohm) 20000 5.0 477.500333 516.603 445.610667 452.1068 15000 5.0 631.380333 639.141733 536.976933 542.4606 10000 5.0 727.428733 738.353867 686.934 690.814733 5000 5.0 167.76673 1181.93987 1099.34747 1095.21367 1000 5.0 - - - -
15000 5.0 631.380333 639.141733 536.976933 542.4606 10000 5.0 727.428733 738.353867 686.934 690.814733 5000 5.0 1167.76673 1181.93987 1099.34747 1095.21367
10000 5.0 727.428733 738.353867 686.934 690.814733 5000 5.0 1167.76673 1181.93987 1099.34747 1095.21367
5000 5.0 1167.76673 1181.93987 1099.34747 1095.21367
1000 5.0
1000 2.0
20000 4.0 591.39175 617.491917 440.64375 450.451083
15000 4.0 631.253667 627.352 535.86975 538.822417
10000 4.0 755.7435 768.45075 681.344917 675.123
5000 4.0 1209.2 1216.10733 1116.13592 1102.05775
1000 4.0
20000 3.0 508.574444 528.329667 437.638333 454.440889
15000 3.0 622.114333 585.626889 526.150333 518.417
10000 3.0 754.776778 762.088222 677.724333 674.701333
5000 3.0 1199.79689 1183.97867 1110.01967 1111.77722
1000 3.0
20000 2.0 533.075333 554.377 461.7875 482.984
15000 2.0 657.301167 647.1775 545.940667 542.038833
10000 2.0 772.879833 759.065333 689.148667 683.032
5000 2.0 1195.86 1200.3945 1103.2705 1112.44483
1000 2.0 3488.97933 3488.5575 3358.95333 3359.4805
20000 1.0 544.781 517.151333 461.260333 467.798333
15000 1.0 634.855333 726.68 545.232667 564.112
10000 1.0 870.789333 885.001 697.837667 686.562333
5000 1.0 1280.04133 1286.02 1109.18767 1113.54033
1000 1.0 3705.68933 3706.11133 3406.83 3407.88433
20000 0.1 1277.22333 1254.21 489.53 478.513333
15000 0.1 649.713333 678.82 568.186667 556.39
10000 0.1 815.856667 794.41 709.396667 701.803333
5000 0.1 1243.1 1232.24333 1139.63667 1132.13667
<u>1000</u> 0.1 3897.52 3963.58667 3418.06667 3408.40333

Table 3: Values of V during inhalation depending on f ₁ and I _M					
Fl (Hz)	I_{M} (mA)	V ₁₋₉ (%)	V ₉₋₁ (%)	V ₅₋₁₃ (%)	V ₁₃₋₅ (%)
20000	5.0	1.20	1.23	0.49	1.16
15000	5.0	1.34	0.87	0.59	1.29
10000	5.0	1.38	1.21	1.78	0.66
5000	5.0	0.99	1.17	0.71	1.51

Table 3: C	Continue				
Fl (Hz)	I_{M} (mA)	V ₁₋₉ (%)	V ₉₋₁ (%)	V ₅₋₁₃ (%)	V ₁₃₋₅ (%)
1000	5.0	-	-	-	-
20000	4.0	0.93	1.12	0.59	0.83
15000	4.0	0.54	1.35	1.40	0.64
10000	4.0	0.10	0.99	0.88	0.56
5000	4.0	1.05	0.65	1.51	1.07
1000	4.0	-	-	-	-
20000	3.0	1.41	1.37	0.86	1.49
15000	3.0	1.34	0.97	0.94	1.43
10000	3.0	1.50	0.41	0.30	0.27
5000	3.0	1.38	1.69	1.09	1.09
1000	3.0	-	-	-	-
20000	2.0	0.31	0.25	0.14	0.14
15000	2.0	0.83	1.59	1.46	0.95
10000	2.0	1.83	0.90	0.21	0.12
5000	2.0	0.91	1.00	0.38	0.50
1000	2.0	0.35	0.19	1.05	1.02
20000	1.0	1.83	0.64	1.28	1.03
15000	1.0	0.61	1.51	0.28	1.04
10000	1.0	0.82	1.19	0.68	0.90
5000	1.0	1.13	1.25	0.72	1.02
1000	1.0	0.68	0.80	1.49	1.58
20000	0.1	4.11	4.29	4.27	3.34
15000	0.1	4.46	3.91	4.31	4.03
10000	0.1	4.43	4.13	4.24	3.69
5000	0.1	3.83	3.98	3.97	4.20
1000	0.1	3.43	4.32	3.88	4.00

Table 4: V	alues of V d	uring exhalati	ion depending	g on $f_{\!\scriptscriptstyle \rm I}$ and $I_{\!\scriptscriptstyle \rm M}$	
Fl (Hz)	I_{M} (mA)	V ₁₋₉ (%)	V ₉₋₁ (%)	V ₅₋₁₃ (%)	V ₁₃₋₅ (%)
20000	5.0	1.15	0.09	0.30	1.07
15000	5.0	0.12	0.64	0.42	0.47
10000	5.0	0.36	0.68	0.36	0.07
5000	5.0	1.38	0.53	0.74	0.65
1000	5.0	-	-	-	-
20000	4.0	0.66	0.43	0.94	1.17
15000	4.0	1.13	1.05	0.04	0.29
10000	4.0	0.42	0.02	0.74	1.33
5000	4.0	0.76	0.36	0.36	0.96
1000	4.0	-	-	-	-
20000	3.0	0.51	1.08	0.73	1.38
15000	3.0	1.07	1.51	0.80	0.94
10000	3.0	0.21	0.42	0.56	0.57
5000	3.0	0.45	0.82	0.71	0.72
1000	3.0	-	-	-	-
20000	2.0	0.39	0.81	0.72	0.70
15000	2.0	1.03	0.15	0.32	0.43
10000	2.0	1.13	0.06	0.51	0.68
5000	2.0	1.14	0.76	0.45	0.94
1000	2.0	0.50	0.48	0.30	0.32
20000	1.0	1.23	0.34	1.36	0.55
15000	1.0	0.39	1.39	1.07	0.78
10000	1.0	1.16	1.10	1.81	1.45
5000	1.0	0.90	0.84	0.63	1.05
1000	1.0	0.35	0.33	1.35	1.39
20000	0.1	3.97	3.22	3.56	3.20
15000	0.1	3.01	2.31	2.54	2.64
10000	0.1	2.36	2.95	3.26	3.21
5000	0.1	3.63	3.00	2.89	2.57
1000	0.1	3.12	2.59	2.77	2.41

repeatability for each of the measured value Z. V calculation results during inhalation are presented in Table 3. The results of calculation of V during exhalation are presented in Table 4. Analysis of the coefficient of

variation V presented in Table 3 and 4 shows that with $I_{\text{M}} = 0.1$ mA V increases which indicates a low repeatability of measurements at this value injected of current.

CONCLUSION

As the result of research on Z dependence from f_I and I_M using the breadboard model of hardware and S system of electrical impedance tomography, measurement data Z on the surface of the chest of a living human, measured on the inhale and exhale at several points ranging $f_I = 1;5..20 \ \mathrm{kHz}$ in steps of 5 kHz and $I_M = 0,1;1..5$ mA with 1 mA increment were obtained.

Dependences of impedance Z on frequency f_I amplitude I_M were obtained from the measured data. Analysis of the dependencies suggests that thoracic impedance Z of living human chest increases with decreasing frequency f_I of injecting current. The dependences obtained demonstrate the efficacy of the 2 and 3-dimensional multi-frequency electrical impedance tomography as an imaging tool for applications of non-invasive study of the internal structures of BO.

To assess the reproducibility of the measurement results were analyzed measurement data array by calculating the coefficient of variation Values (V) measured for each Z. The analysis revealed a lower reproducibility of measurements for $I_{\rm M}=0.1$ mA, based on that the critical requirements for the injection amplitude current to a device for the implementation of 2 and 3-dimensional MEIT based natural-model approach were formed.

Also, worth noting is that during the measurements revealed a significant increase in the impedance Z on $f_{\rm I} < 5$ kHz as the result of the amplitude of $I_{\rm M}$ reducing as a result due to restrictions of the maximum output voltage of the device which makes a significant error in the result of the measurement process. Taking this into account as well as the discomfort feeling of the test person during measurement at $f_{\rm I} < 5$ kHz, the critical requirements for the frequency of the injection current to a device were formed for the implementation of 2 and 3-dimensional MEIT based on natural-model approach. These requirements are consistent with the results obtained, for example (Pecker *et al.*, 2004).

The practical realization of natural-model approach to the problems of 2 and 3-dimensional MEIT allows to change the frequency of the injection current in the measurement process according to the algorithm which will explore the internal structure of BO in different ranges of impedance and more accurately identify their type.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research, grant No. 16-38-60173 "Technology mining electrical impedance tomography for 3-dimensional reconstruction and visualization of the conductivities of the internal structures of biological objects".

REFERENCES

- Aleksanyan, G.K., A.I. Kucher, A.D. Tarasov, N.M. Cuong and C.N. Phong, 2015a. Design of software and experimental setup for reconstruction and visualization of internal structures of conductive bodies. Intl. J. Soft Comput., 10: 462-467.
- Aleksanyan, G.K., N.I. Gorbatenko and A.D. Tarasov, 2014. Modern trends in development of electrical impedance tomography in medicine. Biosci. Biotechnol. Res. Asia, 11: 85-91.
- Aleksanyan, G.K., N.I. Gorbatenko and A.I. Kucher, 2015b. Development and production of multi-layered electrode system for electrical impedance tomography devices. Int. J. Appl. Eng. Res., 19: 40580-40584.
- Aleksanyan, G.K., N.I. Gorbatenko, A.I. Kucher, K.M. Shirokov and C.N. Phong, 2015c. Developing principles and functioning algorithms of the hardware-software complex for electrical impedance tomography of biological objects. Biosci. Biotechnol. Res. Asia, 12: 709-718.
- Aleksanyan, G.K., N.I. Gorbatenko, V.V. Grechikhin, T.N. Phong and T.D. Lam, 2016. Application of natural and model experiment methodology in two-dimensional electrical impedance tomography. ARPN. J. Eng. Appl. Sci., 11: 5871-5875.
- Grayr, K.A., G. Nikolay and D.T. Alexander, 2014. Development of hardware-software complex for electrical impedance tomography of biological objects. Res. J. Applied Sci., 9: 1030-1033.
- Pecker, Y.S., K.S. Brazovskiy, V.Y. Usov, M.P. Plotnikov, O.S. Umanskiy, 2004. Electrical Impedance Tomography. NTL Publishers, Tomsk, Pages: 192, (In Russian).
- Stiglitz, J.E. and M. Rothschild, 1970. Increasing risk. I. A definition. J. Econ. Theory, 2: 225-243.