

## Decomposition of Bipolar Fuzzy Finite State Machines and Transformation Semigroups

Khamirrudin Md Derus, J. Kavikumar and Nor Shamsidah Amir Hamzah  
 Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia,  
 86400 Prai Raja, Batu Pahat, Johor, Malaysia

**Abstract:** Bipolar fuzzy sets are the generalization of fuzzy sets. In many domains dealing with bipolar information is an essential. In this study, we deal with bipolar information and introduce the concept of decomposition of fuzzy finite state machines and transformation semigroups and investigated some of their algebraic properties.

**Key words:** Bipolar fuzzy sets, finite state machines, decomposition, transformation semigroups, algebraic properties

### INTRODUCTION

Fuzzy set theory introduced by Zadeh (1965) is an alternative to the probability theory. It has many applications in the field of science and technology. In 1967 Wee (1967) introduced the mathematical formulation of fuzzy automata. Since, then many researchers proposed and studied the various types of fuzzy automata in a different context. Bipolar fuzzy sets introduced by Zhang are the generalization of the concept of fuzzy sets (Zadeh, 1965) which is an extension of fuzzy sets whose membership degree range is  $(-1, 1)$ . The bipolar fuzzy set is now growing and expanding in its applications including graph theory, automata theory and pattern recognition.

Malik *et al.* (1994) introduced the notions of submachine of a fuzzy finite state machine. They also initiated a decomposition theorem for fuzzy finite state machines considering primary submachines (Mordeson and Malik, 2002). Further, they have introduced and studied fuzzy transformation semigroups in Mordeson and Malik (2002). Inspired by the notion of bipolar fuzzy valued sets, Jun and Kavikumar (2011) introduced the algebraic properties of bipolar fuzzy finite state machines and investigated some related results.

Kavikumar introduced the notion of bipolar fuzzy finite switchboard state machines and investigated several properties. In this study, we generalize the concept of decomposition and transformation semigroups of fuzzy finite state machine into the bipolar fuzzy finite state machine environment and investigate some of its algebraic properties.

**Definition (Jun and Kavikumar, 2011):** A bipolar fuzzy finite state machine (bffsm, for short) is a triple  $M = (Q, X, \phi)$  where  $Q$  and  $X$  are finite non-empty sets called the set of states and the set of input symbols, respectively and  $\phi = \langle \phi^-, \phi^+ \rangle$  is a bipolar fuzzy set in  $Q \times X \times Q$ . Here, the set of all words of element of  $X$  of finite length is denoted by  $X^*$ .  $\lambda$  and  $|x|$  denoted by empty word and length of  $x \forall x \in X^*$ .

**Definition 2 (Jun and Kavikumar, 2011):** Let  $M = (Q, X, \phi)$  be a bffsm. Define a bipolar fuzzy set  $\phi_* = \langle \phi_*^-, \phi_*^+ \rangle$  in  $Q \times X^* \times Q$  by:

$$\phi_*^-(q, \lambda, p) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } q=p \\ 0 & \text{if } q \neq p \end{cases} \quad \phi_*^+(q, \lambda, p) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } q=p \\ 0 & \text{if } q \neq p \end{cases}$$

$$\phi_*^-(q, xa, p) = \inf_{r \in Q} [\phi_*^-(q, x, r) \vee \phi_*^-(r, a, p)]$$

$$\phi_*^+(q, xa, p) = \sup_{r \in Q} [\phi_*^+(q, x, r) \vee \phi_*^+(r, a, p)]$$

$\forall p, q \in Q$  and  $x, y \in X^*$ .

**Definition 3 (Jun and Kavikumar, 2011):** Let  $M = (Q, X, \phi)$  be a bffsm. Let  $(\forall T \subseteq Q)$ . Let  $\phi_Q = \langle \phi_Q^-, \phi_Q^+ \rangle$  be a bipolar fuzzy set in  $T \times X \times T$  and  $N = (T, X, \phi_Q)$  be a bffsm. Then  $N$  is called a bipolar submachine of  $M$ , if  $1. \phi|_{T \times X \times T} = \phi_Q$  and  $2. S(T) \subseteq T$ .

Let  $X^*$  is a semigroup with identity  $\lambda$  with respect to the binary operation concatenation of two words. Let  $x, y \in X^*$ . Define a relation  $\sim$  on  $X^*$  by  $x \sim y$  if and only if  $\phi_*^-(q, x, p) = \phi_*^-(q, y, p)$  and  $\phi_*^+(q, x, p) = \phi_*^+(q, y, p)$  for

all  $q, p \in Q$ . Then  $\sim$  is a congruence relation on  $X^*$  (Kavikumar *et al.*, 2012). For any  $x \in X^*$  we denote  $X[x] = \{y \in X^* \mid x \sim y\}$  and  $S(M) = \{[x] \mid x \in X^*\}$ .

**Theorem 3:** Let  $M = (Q, X, \phi)$  be a bffsm. Define a binary operation  $\odot$  on  $S(M)$  by  $[x] \odot [y] = [xy]$  for all  $[x], [y] \in S(M)$ . Then  $(S(M), \odot)$  is a finite semigroup with identity.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Decomposition of bipolar fuzzy finite state machine:

Every fuzzy finite state machine can be decomposed to primary submachines (Malik *et al.*, 1994). This decomposition property can be extended to bipolar submachines as follows.

**Definition 4:** Let  $N = (T, X, \phi_Q)$  and  $P = (P, X, \phi_Q)$  be bipolar submachine of  $M = (Q, X, \phi)$ . Then  $P$  is called a primary bipolar submachine of  $M$  if  $(\exists q \in Q)$  such that  $T = P = \langle q \rangle$ ;  $\forall s \in Q$  if  $P \subseteq \langle s \rangle$  then  $P = \langle s \rangle$ .

**Theorem 1:** (Decomposition theorem) let  $N = (T, X, \phi_Q)$  be a bipolar submachine of  $M = (Q, X, \phi)$ . Let  $P = \{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n\}$  be the set of all distinct primary bipolar submachines of  $M$ . Then:

$$N = \bigcup_{i=1}^n P_i$$

$$N \neq \bigcup_{i=1, i \neq j}^n P_i \text{ for any } j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

**Proof 1:** Let  $q_0 \in Q$  if  $\forall q \in Q$  then either  $\langle q \rangle \in P$  or  $\exists q_{i+1} \in Q \setminus S(q_i)$  such that  $\langle q_i \rangle \subset \langle q_{i+1} \rangle = P$  for some  $i$  which implies that  $\langle q_i \rangle \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n P_i$ . Now, we have either  $\langle q_0 \rangle \in P$  or there exists a positive integer  $k$  such that  $\langle q_0 \rangle \subset \langle q_k \rangle \in P$  since  $Q$  is finite. Thus,  $Q = \bigcup_{i=1}^n S(p_i)$  where  $P_i = \langle p_i \rangle$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Hence,  $N = \bigcup_{i=1}^n P_i$ . Let  $N = \bigcup_{i=1, i \neq j}^n P_i$  and  $P_i = \langle p_i \rangle$ . It suffices to show that  $P_i \neq P_j$ . If we assume that  $P_i = P_j$  for some  $i$ , then:

$$P_j = \begin{cases} P_i & \text{if } p_j \in \bigcup_{i=1, i \neq j}^n S(p_i) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

If  $P_j = 0$  then  $P_j \neq P_i$  since  $P_i \neq 0$  which proved the theorem. Suppose if  $p_j \in \bigcup_{i=1, i \neq j}^n S(p_i)$  then  $p_j \in S(p_i)$  for some  $i \neq j$ . Hence,  $P_j = \langle p_j \rangle \subset P_i$ . Since,  $P_j = P_i$ , this contradicts that the maximality of  $P_j$ . Thus,  $p_j \notin \bigcup_{i=1, i \neq j}^n S(p_i)$ . Hence,  $M \neq N$ .

**Corollary 1:** Let  $M = (Q, X, \phi)$  be a bffsm. Then every singly generated bipolar submachines of  $M \neq \emptyset$  is a bipolar submachines of a primary bipolar submachine of  $M$ .

**Corollary 2:** Let  $M = (Q, X, \phi)$  be a bffsm. Then  $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n$  in theorem 1 are unique.

**Bipolar transformation semigroups:** In this study, we introduce the notion of transformation semigroups of a bffsm in order to consider state membership as bipolar fuzzy sets.

**Definition 5:** A bipolar fuzzy transformation semigroup (bfts, for short) is a triple  $T = (Q, S, \rho)$  where  $Q$  is a finite nonempty set,  $S$  is a finite semigroup and  $\rho$  is a bipolar fuzzy subset of  $Q \times S \times Q$  such that for all  $u, v \in S$  and  $p, q \in Q$  we have:

$$\rho^-(q, uv, p) = \inf_{r \in Q} \{\rho^-(q, u, r) \vee \rho^-(r, v, p)\}$$

$$\rho^+(q, uv, p) = \sup_{r \in Q} \{\rho^+(q, u, r) \wedge \rho^+(r, v, p)\}$$

If  $S$  contains the identity  $e$  then:

$$\rho^-(q, e, p) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } q = p \\ 0 & \text{if } q \neq p \end{cases}$$

$$\rho^+(q, e, p) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } q = p \\ 0 & \text{if } q \neq p \end{cases}$$

For all  $p, q \in Q$ . If in addition for all  $u, v \in S$  and  $p, q \in Q$  satisfies the following property then we can say that  $T = (Q, S, \rho)$  is a faithful bipolar fuzzy transformation semigroup (faithful bfts for short):

$$\begin{pmatrix} \rho^-(q, u, p) = \rho^-(q, v, p) \\ \rho^+(q, u, p) = \rho^+(q, v, p) \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow u = v$$

**Theorem 2:** Let  $T = (Q, S, \rho)$  be a bfts. If we define an equivalence relation “ $\approx$ ” on  $S$  by:

$$u \approx v \Leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \rho^-(q, u, p) = \rho^-(q, v, p) \\ \rho^+(q, u, p) = \rho^+(q, v, p) \end{pmatrix}$$

For every  $p, q \in Q$  and let  $[[u]]$  denote the equivalence class  $\approx$  of each  $u \in Q$  then the equivalence relation  $\approx$  is a congruence relation on  $S$  and hence the quotient set  $[[S]] = \{[[u]] \mid u \in S\}$  is a finite semigroup. The triple  $T_* = (Q, [[S]], \bar{\rho})$  is a faithful bfts where  $\bar{\rho} = (\bar{\rho}^-, \bar{\rho}^+)$  be a bipolar fuzzy set in  $Q \times [[S]] \times Q$  is defined by for all  $p, q \in Q$  and  $[[x]] \in [[S]]$ :

$$\bar{\rho}^-(q, [[x]], p) = \rho^-(q, x, p)$$

$$\bar{\rho}^+(q, [[x]], p) = \rho^+(q, x, p)$$

**Proof i:** Let we can assume that  $u, v \in S$  are such that  $u \approx v$ . Suppose if  $w \in S$ , then:

$$\begin{aligned}\rho^-(q, uw, p) &= \inf_{r \in Q} \{ \rho^-(q, u, r) \vee \rho^-(r, w, p) \} \\ \inf_{r \in Q} \{ \rho^-(q, v, r) \vee \rho^-(r, w, p) \} &= \rho^-(q, vw, p) \\ \rho^+(q, uw, p) &= \sup_{r \in Q} \{ \rho^+(q, u, r) \vee \rho^+(r, w, p) \} \\ &= \sup_{r \in Q} \{ \rho^+(q, v, r) \vee \rho^+(r, w, p) \} = \rho^+(q, vw, p)\end{aligned}$$

For all  $p, q \in Q$ . So,  $uv \approx vw$ . Similarly,  $v \approx w \Rightarrow uv \approx uw$  for all  $u \in S$ . Hence, the quotient set  $[[S]]$  is a finite semigroup. Let  $T_* = (Q, [[S]], \bar{\rho})$  be a bfts. Let  $e$  is the identity element of the semigroup  $S$  and also  $\bar{e}$  is the identity element of the semigroup  $[[S]]$ . Since:

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\rho}^-(q, \bar{e}, p) &= \rho^-(q, e, p) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } p = q \\ 0 & \text{if } p \neq q \end{cases} \\ \bar{\rho}^+(q, \bar{e}, p) &= \rho^+(q, e, p) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p = q \\ 0 & \text{if } p \neq q \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

For all  $p, q \in Q$  and we conclude that the Definition 5 holds. For all  $u, w \in S$  and  $Q$ . Now:

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\rho}^-(q, [[v]][[w]], p) &= \bar{\rho}^-(q, [[vw]], p) = \rho^-(q, vw, p) \\ &= \inf_{r \in Q} \{ \rho^-(q, v, r) \vee \rho^-(r, w, p) \} \\ &= \inf_{r \in Q} \{ \bar{\rho}^-(q, [[v]], r) \vee \bar{\rho}^-(r, [[w]], p) \} \\ \bar{\rho}^+(q, [[v]][[w]], p) &= \bar{\rho}^+(q, [[vw]], p) = \rho^+(q, vw, p) \\ &= \sup_{r \in Q} \{ \rho^+(q, v, r) \wedge \rho^+(r, w, p) \} \\ &= \sup_{r \in Q} \{ \bar{\rho}^+(q, [[v]], r) \wedge \bar{\rho}^+(r, [[w]], p) \}\end{aligned}$$

The condition from Definition 5 also holds. Hence, it is clear that bipolar fuzzy transformation  $T_* = (Q, [[S]], \bar{\rho})$  is faithful.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**Theorem 4:** The triple  $(Q, S(M), \rho)$  is a faithful bfts Where:

$$\begin{aligned}\rho^-(q, [x], p) &= \varphi^-(q, x, p) \\ \rho^+(q, [x], p) &= \varphi^+(q, x, p) \quad (\forall p, q \in Q, x \in X^*)\end{aligned}$$

For any bffsm  $M = (Q, X, \varphi)$ .

**Proof:** By Theorem 3  $(S(M), \odot)$  is a finite semigroup with identity  $[\lambda]$ . Clearly  $\rho^-$  and  $\rho^+$  are single valued. Let  $p, q \in Q$  and  $[x], [y]$ . Then:

$$\begin{aligned}\rho^-(q, [x] \odot [y], p) &= \rho^-(q, [xy], p) = \varphi^-(q, xy, p) \\ &= \inf_{r \in Q} \{ \varphi^-(q, x, r) \vee \varphi^-(r, y, p) \} \\ &= \inf_{r \in Q} \{ \rho^-(q, [x], r) \vee \rho^-(r, [y], p) \} \\ \rho^+(q, [x] \odot [y], p) &= \rho^+(q, [xy], p) = \varphi^+(q, xy, p) \\ &= \sup_{r \in Q} \{ \varphi^+(q, x, r) \vee \varphi^+(r, y, p) \} \\ &= \sup_{r \in Q} \{ \rho^+(q, [x], r) \vee \rho^+(r, [y], p) \}\end{aligned}$$

Now we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\rho^-(q, [\lambda], p) &= \varphi^-(q, \lambda, p) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } q = p \\ 0 & \text{if } q \neq p \end{cases} \\ \rho^+(q, [\lambda], p) &= \varphi^+(q, \lambda, p) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } q = p \\ 0 & \text{if } q \neq p \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

So,  $\varphi_*$  satisfies the definition 5. Suppose:

$$\begin{aligned}\rho^-(q, [x], p) &= \rho^-(q, [y], p), \rho^+(q, [x], p) \\ &= \rho^+(q, [y], p) \sum \forall q, p \in Q\end{aligned}$$

Then:

$$\varphi^-(q, x, p) = \varphi^-(q, y, p), \varphi^+(q, x, p) = \varphi^+(q, y, p) \quad \forall p, q \in Q$$

Thus,  $x \sim y$  or  $[x] = [y]$ . Hence  $(Q, S(M), \rho)$  is a faithful bfts.

**Definition 6:** Let  $T_1 = (Q_1, S_1, \rho_1)$  and  $T_2 = (Q_2, S_2, \rho_2)$  be a bffts. A bfts homomorphism from  $T_1$  to  $T_2$  is a pair  $(f, g)$  such that:

- $f: Q_1 \rightarrow Q_2$  is a map
- $g: S_1 \rightarrow S_2$  is a semi homomorphism
- If  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are both semigroups with identity elements  $e_1 \in S_1$  and  $e_2 \in S_2$ , then  $g(e_1) = e_2$
- $\rho_1^-(q, u, p) \geq \rho_2^-(f(q), g(u), f(p)) \rho_1^+(q, u, p) \leq \rho_2^+(f(q), g(u), f(p)) \quad (\forall p, q \in Q_1) \text{ and } (u \in S_1)$

In addition for all  $q, p \in Q_1$  and  $u \in S_1$ , the following properties:

$$\rho_2^-(f(q), g(u), f(p)) = \inf_{r \in Q_1} \{ \rho_1^-(q, u, r) \mid f(r) = f(p) \}$$

$$\rho_2^+(f(q), g(u), f(p)) = \sup_{r \in Q_1} \{\rho_1^+(q, u, t) \mid f(r) = f(p)\}$$

and satisfies both (f, g) is said to be a strong homomorphism. A bipolar (strong) homomorphism (f, g):  $T_1 \rightarrow T_1$  is called a bipolar (strong) isomorphism if f and g are both bijective. Let  $T = (Q, S, \delta)$  be a faithful bfts where S is a semigroup with identity. Define the bffsm  $M = (Q, X, \varphi)$  by taking  $Q = \delta$ . Consider BFTS  $(M) = (Q, S(M), \rho)$  where  $S(M) = S^*/\sim$  and  $\rho^-(q, [u], p) = \varphi^-(q, u, p)$  and  $\rho^+(q, [u], p) = \varphi^+(q, u, p)$ . Let e be the identity element of S and  $\lambda$  the empty word in  $S^*$ . Then:

$$\rho^-(q, [e], p) = \varphi^-(q, e, p) = \delta^-(q, e, p) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } q = p \\ 0 & \text{if } q \neq p \end{cases}$$

$$\rho^+(q, [e], p) = \varphi^+(q, e, p) = \delta^+(q, e, p) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } q = p \\ 0 & \text{if } q \neq p \end{cases}$$

Hence,  $\rho^-(q, [e], p) = \rho^-(q, [\lambda], p)$ ,  $\rho^+(q, [e], p) = \rho^+(q, [\lambda], p)$  for all  $[e] = [\lambda]$ .

**Theorem 5:** Let  $M_1 = (Q_1, X_1, \varphi_1)$  and  $M_2 = (Q_2, X_2, \varphi_2)$  be bffsms. If for each bffsm strong homomorphism (f, g):  $M_1 \rightarrow M_2$  with f bijective we define  $g^*: X_1^* \rightarrow X_2^*$  by means of:

$$g^*(\lambda) = \lambda$$

$$g^*(ux) = g^*(u)g^*(x)$$

whenever  $u \in X_1^*$  and  $x \in X$  and the map  $\bar{g}: S(M_1) \rightarrow S(M_2)$  by means of  $\bar{g}: ([u]) = g^*[u]$  for any  $[u] \in S(M_1)$  then the pair  $(f, \bar{g}): \text{BFTS}(M_1) \rightarrow \text{BFTS}(M_2)$  is a strong bfts homomorphism.

**Proof:** Consider the faithful bftss BFTS  $(M_1)$  and BFTS  $(M_2)$ . We have to show that  $g^*: X_1^* \rightarrow X_2^*$  is a semigroup homomorphism that maps the identity element of  $X_1^*$  to that of  $X_2^*$ . Now we have to prove that  $g^*(uv) = g^*(u)g^*(v)$  ( $\forall u, v \in X_1^*$ ) since. We can approach the prove by induction method on the length of v: If  $|v| = 0$ , then  $v = \lambda$  and  $g^*(uv) = g^*(u\lambda) = g^*(u) = g^*(u)\lambda = g^*(u)g^*(\lambda)$ . Let  $|v| = n > 0$  and write  $v = wx$  with  $w \in X_1^*$  and  $x \in X_1$ . Then  $h^*(uv) = h^*(uwx) = h^*(uw)h(x) = h^*(u)h^*(w)h(x) = h^*(u)h^*(v)$  by using the induction hypothesis in the last but one equality. By using induction on  $|v|$  we show that for any  $q, p \in Q_1$  and  $v \in X_1^*$ :

$$\varphi_2^-(f(q), g^*(u), f(p)) = \varphi_1^-(q, v, p)$$

$$\varphi_2^+(f(q), g^*(v), f(p)) = \varphi_1^+(q, v, p)$$

If  $|v| = 0$ , then  $v = \lambda$  and we have:

$$\varphi_2^-(f(q), g^*(\lambda), f(p)) = \varphi_2^-(f(q), \lambda, f(p)) = \varphi_1^-(q, \lambda, p)$$

$$\varphi_2^+(f(q), g^*(\lambda), f(p)) = \varphi_2^+(f(q), \lambda, f(p)) = \varphi_1^+(q, \lambda, p)$$

If  $|v| = 1$ , then  $v \in X_1^*$  and  $g^*(v) = g(v)$ . So:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_2^-(f(q), g^*(v), f(p)) &= \varphi_2^-(f(q), g(v), f(p)) \\ &= \varphi_1^-(q, v, p) = \varphi_1^-(q, v, p) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_2^+(f(q), g^*(v), f(p)) &= \varphi_2^+(f(q), g(v), f(p)) \\ &= \varphi_1^+(q, v, p) = \varphi_1^+(q, v, p) \end{aligned}$$

Let  $|v| = n > 1$  and take  $v = wx$  with  $w \in X_1^*$  and  $x \in X$ . Then:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_2^-(f(q), g^*(v), f(p)) &= \varphi_2^-(f(q), g^*(w)g(x), f(p)) \\ &= \inf_{s \in Q_2} \{\varphi_2^-(f(q), g^*(w), s) \vee \varphi_2^-(s, g(x), f(p))\} \\ &= \inf_{r \in Q_2} \{\varphi_2^-(f(q), g^*(w), f(r)) \vee \varphi_2^-(f(r), g(x), f(p))\} \\ &= \inf_{r \in Q_2} \{\varphi_1^-(q, w, r) \vee \varphi_1^-(r, x, p)\} = \varphi_1^-(q, wx, p) = \varphi_1^-(q, v, p) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_2^+(f(q), g^*(v), f(p)) &= \varphi_2^+(f(q), g^*(w)g(x), f(p)) \\ &= \sup_{s \in Q_1} \{\varphi_2^-(f(q), g^*(w), s) \wedge \varphi_2^-(s, g(x), f(p))\} \\ &= \sup_{r \in Q_1} \{\varphi_2^-(f(q), g^*(w), f(r)) \wedge \varphi_2^-(f(r), g(x), f(p))\} \\ &= \sup_{r \in Q_2} \{\varphi_1^-(q, w, r) \wedge \varphi_1^-(r, x, p)\} = \varphi_1^+(q, wx, p) = \varphi_1^+(q, v, p) \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we have to show that  $\bar{g}: S(M_1) \rightarrow S(M_2)$  is well defined. Let  $[x], [y] \in S(M_1)$  and  $[x] = [y]$ . Then  $\varphi_1^-(q, x, p) = \varphi_1^-(q, y, p)$ ,  $\varphi_1^+(q, x, p) = \varphi_1^+(q, y, p)$  for all  $q, p \in Q_1$ . Now:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_2^-(f(q), g^*(x), f(p)) &= \varphi_1^-(q, x, p) \\ &= \varphi_1^-(q, y, p) = \varphi_2^-(f(q), g^*(y), f(p)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_2^+(f(q), g^*(x), f(p)) &= \varphi_1^+(q, x, p) \\ &= \varphi_1^+(q, y, p) = \varphi_2^+(f(q), g^*(y), f(p)) \end{aligned}$$

For all  $q, p \in Q_1$ . Thus, since f is onto  $[g^*(x)] = [g^*(y)]$ . Hence  $\bar{g}$  is well defined. We conclude that  $\bar{g}$  is a semigroup homomorphism from BFTS  $(M_1)$  to BFTS  $(M_2)$  which maps  $\bar{\lambda} \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}$ .

**Theorem 6:** Let  $M_1, M_2$  and  $M_3$  be bffsms. If  $(f_1, g_1): M_1 \rightarrow M_2$  and  $(f_2, g_2): M_2 \rightarrow M_3$  are bffsm strong homomorphisms with  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  bijective, then the pair  $(f_2 \circ f_1, g_2 \circ g_1)$  is also a bffsm strong homomorphism with  $f_2 \circ f_1$  bijective and  $\overline{(g_2 \circ g_1)} = \overline{g_2} \circ \overline{g_1}$ . BFTS  $f_2 \circ f_1, g_2 \circ g_1 = \text{BFTS } (f_2, g_2) \circ \text{BFTS } (f_1, g_1)$ .

**Proof:** It is straightforward.

**Theorem 7:** Let  $S$  be a semigroup with identity. Then BFTS  $(M)$  is isomorphic to  $T = (Q, S, \delta)$ .

**Proof:** Define  $f: Q \rightarrow Q$  by  $f(q) = q \forall q \in Q$  and  $g: S \rightarrow S(M)$  by  $g(x) = [x] \forall x \in S$  and so  $\forall q, p \in Q, \varphi^-(q, x, p) = \varphi^-(q, y, p), \varphi^+(q, x, p) = \varphi^+(q, y, p)$ . Hence,  $\varphi^-(q, x, p) = \varphi^-(q, y, p), \varphi^+(q, x, p) = \varphi^+(q, y, p) (\forall q, p \in Q) \Rightarrow \delta^-(q, x, p) = \delta^-(q, y, p), \delta^+(q, x, p) = \delta^+(q, y, p) (\forall q, p \in Q)$ . Since,  $T = (Q, S, \delta)$  is faithful, it follows that  $x = y$ . Thus,  $g$  is one-one. Let  $\cdot$  denote the binary operation of the semigroup  $S$ . Let  $a, b \in S$ , then  $a \cdot b \in S$  and  $a, b \in S^*$ . For any  $q, p \in Q$  we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^-(q, a \cdot b, p) &= \varphi^-(q, a \cdot b, p) = \delta^-(q, a \cdot b, p) \\ &= \inf_{r \in Q} \{ \delta^-(q, a, r) \vee \delta^-(r, b, p) \} \\ &= \inf_{r \in Q} \{ \varphi^-(q, a, r) \vee \varphi^-(r, b, p) \} = \varphi^-(q, ab, p) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^+(q, a \cdot b, p) &= \varphi^+(q, a \cdot b, p) = \delta^+(q, a \cdot b, p) \\ &= \sup_{r \in Q} \{ \delta^+(q, a, r) \vee \delta^+(r, b, p) \} \\ &= \sup_{r \in Q} \{ \varphi^+(q, a, r) \vee \varphi^+(r, b, p) \} = \varphi^+(q, ab, p) \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $g(a \cdot b) = [a \cdot b] = [ab] = [a][b] = g(a)g(b)$ . Using induction, it can be shown that if  $y_i \in S, 1 \leq i \leq n$ , then  $[y_1 y_2 \dots y_n] = [y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n]$ . Let  $[u] = [\lambda]$  then  $[\lambda] = [e]$  and  $g(e) = [\lambda]$ . Suppose  $u = a_1 \cdot a_2 \dots a_n$  for  $a_i \in S$  and  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Then,  $g(a_1 \cdot a_2 \dots a_n) = [a_1 \cdot a_2 \dots a_n] = [a_1 a_2 \dots a_n] = [u]$  and thus  $g$  is onto. Finally:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^-(f(q), g(x), f(p)) &= \rho^-(q, [x], p) \\ &= \varphi^-(q, x, p) = \varphi^-(q, x, p) = \delta^-(q, x, p) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^+(f(q), g(x), f(p)) &= \rho^+(q, [x], p) \\ &= \varphi^+(q, x, p) = \varphi^+(q, x, p) = \delta^+(q, x, p) \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

## CONCLUSION

In this nutshell inspired from Horry (2016), the concepts of decomposition of a fuzzy finite state machines and fuzzy transformation semigroups have been generalized by substituting the interval  $[-1, 1]$  as the truth structure of the transition function in bipolar setting for the study of algebraic automata. It will be of interest to study with other types of products in the bipolar fuzzy finite state machines (Jun and Kavikumar, 2011) which is for future research.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This research was partly supported by the Centre for Graduate Studies, UTHM.

## REFERENCES

- Horry, M., 2016. Bipolar general fuzzy automata. J. Linear Topological Algebra, 5: 83-91.
- Jun, Y.B. and J. Kavikumar, 2011. Bipolar fuzzy finite state machines. Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc., 34: 181-188.
- Malik, D.S., J.N. Mordeson and M.K. Sen, 1994. Submachines of fuzzy finite state machines. J. Fuzzy Math, 2: 781-792.
- Mordeson, J.N. and D.S. Malik, 2002. Fuzzy Automata and Languages: Theory and Applications. CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida, ISBN: 1-58488-225-5, Pages: 556.
- Wee, W.G., 1967. On generalizations of adaptive algorithms and application of the fuzzy sets concept to pattern classification. Ph.D Thesis, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana. <http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=905166>
- Zadeh, L.A., 1965. Fuzzy sets. Inf. Control, 8: 338-353.