# Some Physical and Dynamic Properties of Akure Clayloam Soils in Southwestern Nigeria

S.I. Manuwa
Department of Agricultural Engineering, Federal University of Technology, P.M.B. 704, Nigeria

**Abstract:** Experimental investigations were carried out in the laboratory and in the field to determine and evaluate some physical and dynamic properties of clay loam soil in Akure, southwestern Nigeria. The properties include cohesion and cone index. The soil studied has sand, silt and clay fractions of 40, 23 and 37% respectively. Bulk density ranged from 1360 mg m$^{-3}$ to 1529 Mg m$^{-3}$ in the field within the range of 9.9 to 30.6% moisture content (db) and down to depth of 300 mm. The cone index of the soil ranged from 475 kPa to 2626 kPa within the same moisture range. The cohesion of the soil ranged from 20.2 kPa to 114 kPa. There was good positive correlation between the cone index cohesion relationship within the range of moisture content studied. Linear regression models were used to fit the data points and to predict.

**Key words:** Soil, properties, cohesion, cone index, regression models, akure, Nigeria

## INTRODUCTION

Soil tillage has been conducted for many thousands of years and over the years many advances have been made to quantify soil condition. The purpose of tillage operations has been to manipulate the soil so that a suitable soil condition (good tilth) can be provided for the germination of the seed and development of the root system. The physical, mechanical properties of soil include texture, soil structure, particle density, bulk density, porosity and permeability, soil consistency, soil colour, cohesion, adhesion, friction and cone index.

If these properties are well studied then the soil condition required for a particular seed or plant environment can be predetermined and achieved with appropriate tillage implements.

Availability of data relating to properties of agricultural soils is an important factor in selecting tillage requirement for a particular farm situation. Soil mechanical strength is an important soil parameter that affects root growth and water movement and controls nutrient and contaminant transport below the rooting zone. The most common way to assess soil strength is by using a soil penetrometer, which characterizes the force needed to drive a cone of specific size into the soil (Bradford, 1986). The measured penetration resistance or Cone Index (CI) depends on soil such properties as bulk density, water content and potential, texture, aggregation, cementation and mineralogy.

A lot of research has been done to study the physical and mechanical properties of agricultural soils of countries of Europe, United States of America, Asia and Latin America but not much has been done for agricultural soils of Africa and Nigeria in particular (Chessness et al., 1972; Wells and Teesawen, 1977; Tollner and Verma, 1984;

Perumpral, 1987; Ayers and Bowen, 1987; Ohu and Folorunoso, 1989; Ohu et al., 1991; Ayers and Perumpral, 1992; Guruswamy and Verma, 1995; Fielke, 1996). The large population of Nigeria requires that tillage operations be mechanized and optimized to be able to feed the teeming population adequately. To be able to achieve this, dynamic properties of agricultural soils need to be studied.

Clay loam soil is one of the textural classes of agricultural soils that is found in many farmlands across Ondo State especially in Akure South Local Government Area. The soil is good for agricultural production and also exist, in many other parts of Nigeria.

The objectives of this study were:

- To study dynamic properties (cone index, cohesion) of clay loam soil both in
  the laboratory and in the field.
- To determine the relationship between these dynamic properties and with other physical properties of the soil.
- To develop models that can be used for prediction of these properties and test the adequacy of the models.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Laboratory experiment:** Soil texture from a farmland in Akure was collected for this laboratory experiment. The soil represents one of the textural classes commonly found in agricultural land in Ondo State. It was collected from the top 0 to 30 cm depth of the soil profile. For the mechanical analysis of the soil, about 2.0 kg were air-dried and passed through the 2.0 mm sieve for the analysis. Particle size analysis of the soil was performed using the

hydrometer method (Lambe, 1951). The organic matter content of the soil was also determined using the method (Walkley and Black, 1934).

For further laboratory studies more air-dried soil was passed through a 4.75 mm sieve and the moisture content of the air-dried soil determined as the starting point moisture content for property studies.

The dynamic properties that were the main focus for this study are cohesion and cone index or penetration resistance as they vary with moisture content and density. Since moisture content has great influence on those properties, the samples were studied at six moisture levels from 5 to 30% (dry basis). At each moisture level, the samples were subjected to 5, 10, 15, 20 and 25 blows of standard proctor compaction hammer in cylindrical mould of 150 mm diameter and 200 mm height similar to the proctor compaction procedure (Lambe, 1951).

**Cone index and cohesion:** Cone index of experiment soil was determined using a 30 degrees cone tip penetrometer with a base area of 1.3 $cm^2$ following the fabrication, description and method reported (Manuwa, 1998). Cohesion of the soil was determined using a hand-held shear vane tester complete with 19mm vane range 0 to 120 kPa and 33 mm vane range 0 to 28 kPa. Measurements of those properties in the laboratory were taken at depths of 50, 100 and 150 mm and were replicated at least three times, before the average was taken.

**Field measurement:** The field experiment covers an area of three plots referred to here as sites each of size 30 m x 20 m. The last time the sites were cultivated was in April 1997 when they were hand-hoed, ridged and vegetable planted. They were left fallow after harvest that year until July 1998, when the penetration resistance of the soil at site 1 was determined and other properties at that site. At site 1 the cohesion of the soil was also determined. Site 2 therefore was a plot that had lain fallow for two years before measurements of its properties were taken in August 1999 after the initial hand hoeing and ridging in 1997. Site 3 was a plot that was hand-hoed and ridged after it lay fallow for two years. Measurements of its prosperities were taken three months after the last tillage operations. On the ridges of site 3 were grown vegetables, namely tomatoes and pepper.

**Data analysis:** Statistical evaluation methods were used to test the models that fitted the data points. The methods include: coefficient of correlation (r), which gives the degree of association, coefficient of determination ($r^2$);which evaluates the degree of association between data points and predicted values and Absolute Mean Difference (AMD) between measured and predicted

values which evaluates the degree of coincidence, and Relative Error (RE) between the measured and the predicted values:

$$AMD = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left|Mi - Pi\right|$$

$$RE = \frac{100}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\frac{\left|Mi - Pi\right|}{Mi}1$$

Where,   N = number of data points
   Mi = ith measurement
   Pi  = ith prediction

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**Mechanical analysis of soil:** The result of the mechanical analysis of the clay loam soil under study is presented in Table 1. The Clay Ratio of this soil would be 66.6% according to the formula presented (Elbanna and Whitney, 1986) for calculating clay ratio. This high ratio is an indication of high strength for the soil (Guruswamy and Verma, 1995).

**Cone index:** For the experiments on the field, the variation of penetration resistance with depth at the sites are presented in Table 2. These values were determined in the soil moisture content range of 9.0% to 31.0% (dry basis). It is seen that cone index increases with depth from the surface till 300 mm depth. The result or trend was similar in all the sties except that the magnitude was higher at site 2 which had prolonged time of fallow.

**Cohesion:** The cohesion of the soil at the sites and their variation with depth are presented in Table 3. It is

Table 1: Soil mechanical analysis

| Soil type | | Particle size(μm) | Clay loam(%) |
|---|---|---|---|
| Soil particles | Sand | 20-2000 | 40 |
| | Silt | 2-20 | 33 |
| | Clay | <2.0 | 37 |
| Organic matter | | | 2.3 |

Table 2: Variation of cone index with depth at experimental sites

| | Cone index (kPa) | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Depth(mm) | Site 1 (kPa) | Site 2(kPa) | Set 3(kPa) |
| 50 | 325 | 777 | 475 |
| 100 | 702 | 1041 | 777 |
| 150 | 853 | 1380 | 1192 |
| 200 | 928 | 1985 | 1456 |
| 250 | 1680 | 2664 | 1946 |
| 300 | 2437 | 2966 | 2626 |
| Range | 290-2511 | 621-3145 | 403-2866 |
| Mean | 686 | 1802 | 1412 |
| ρ(Mg $m^{-3}$) | 1430 | 1511 | 1380 |
| MC(%db) | 20.0 | 30.0 | 11.5 |
| Date | 23/7/98 | 10/8/99 | 12/8/99 |

observed that cohesion increased with depth from the surface to a depth of about 100mm when it assumed maximum value and thereafter, cohesion decreased with depth. This result also shows that cohesion is dependent on moisture content of the soil. Average cohesion was highest at the moisture content of 11.5% and least at the moisture content of 30%.

**Laboratory experiment**

**Cone index-cohesion relationship:** The relationship between penetration resistance and cohesion and how they are affected by moisture and density were studied in the laboratory. The results are presented in Table 4. The figure in parenthesis is the cone index corresponding to the cohesion beside if for the same soil treatment. The density of the soil was varied by the proctor compaction blows imposed upon it. In the relationship, the cone index was made the dependent variable (ordinate) while cohesion was made the independent variable (abscisa). Linear regression models were made to fit the data after the expression:

$$CI = a + b\tau \qquad (1)$$

where,

$$CI = \text{cone index, kPa}$$

$\tau$ = cohesion, kPa a, b are regression constants. Regression analysis was carried out for each moisture content level as the density of the soil in the mould increases with the number of blows impinged on it. Thus six regression models were developed, the parameters of which are presented also in Table 4. These models are good for prediction of these dynamic properties in the laboratory and on the field since r > 0.5 as reported (Liu, *et al.*, 1997).

**Cone index-moisture content relationship:** Cone index was regressed on moisture content. The regression was after the linear fit expressed in the form:

$$CI = a + bMC \qquad (2)$$

Where a, b are regression constants. The regression analysis were carried out in two phases: the lower range of moisture phase (5 to 15%) and the upper range of moisture phase (20 to 30%) moisture content. It was observed that between these phases of moisture range, the soil changes from friable consistency to plastic consistency. The parameters of the regression models are presented in Table 5. At the lower moisture range the relationship is a good and positive one typical of high coefficient of correlation. At the higher moisture content range, the relationship is still a good one but negative in nature. This means that at higher moisture content of about 20%, cone index decreases as moisture content increases. The models were made at constant soil bulk density corresponding to the number of proctor's compaction blows. In the lower range of moisture content the correlation increases as the density decreases while in the higher moisture content range, the correlation coefficient increases as the density increases.

**Cone index-density relationship:** The laboratory experiment also shows that there is good correlation between cone index and dry bulk density. A linear model fits the relationship after the expression:

$$CI = j + kDD \qquad (3)$$

Table 3: Variation of cohesion with depth at experimental sites

| Depth (mm) | Cohesion (kPa) | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| | Site 1 | Site 2 | Site 3 |
| 30 | 35.2(0.92) | 20.2(1.02) | 57.4(0.96) |
| 60 | 46.1(0.82) | 31.8(0.93) | 83.6(0.89) |
| 90 | 60.5(0.65) | 31.0(1.10) | 96.1(1.01) |
| 120 | 50.6(0.91) | 30.1(0.99) | 95.2(0.95) |
| 150 | 38.8(0.79) | 29.3(0.82) | 93.0(1.02) |
| 180 | 37.2(1.01) | 25.2(1.12) | 92.6(1.10) |
| 210 | 29.4(0.82) | 22.3(1.01) | 91.3(0.80) |
| Range | 20.3-62.4 | 16.2-35.4 | 45.3-102.2 |
| Mean | 42.54 | 27.13 | 87.0 |
| $\rho$(Mg m$^{-3}$) | 1430 | 1511 | 1380 |
| MC(%db) | 20.0 | 30.0 | 11.5 |
| Date | 23/7/98 | 10/8/99 | 11/8/99 |

Standard deviation are shown in parenthesis ( )

Table 4: Effect of moisture content, density on cone index-cohesion relationship

| | Moisture content | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| | 5% | 10.0% | 15.0% | 20.0% | 25.0% | 30.0% |
| No of blows | Cohesion (Cone index), kPa | | | | | |
| 5 | 10(272) | 11.7(2888) | 13.7(266) | 11.3(230) | 6.7(200) | 9.7(180) |
| 10 | 15.3(388) | 19(510) | 22(596) | 20(512) | 18(480) | 15(380) |
| 15 | 25(591) | 26(678) | 28(936) | 29(691) | 26.7(545) | 21.3(468) |
| 20 | 26.7(742) | 28(789) | 30.3(1003) | 37.7(800) | 31(600) | 25.0(501) |
| 25 | 30.7(909) | 34(940) | 37(1048) | 43.7(982) | 42.8(645) | 29.3(523) |
| Regression coefficients | | | | | | |
| r | 0.9753 | 0.9971 | 0.9607 | 0.9883 | 0.9309 | 0.9380 |
| a- | 51.29 | -53.09 | -197.34 | 28.11 | 193.06 | 73.65 |
| b | 29.3 | 29.23 | 36.70 | 21.69 | 12.01 | 16.78 |

Table 5: Coefficients of regression models of cone index-moisture content relationship

| No of Blows | Moisture content (% db) | | | | | |
| | Lower moisture range (5-15%) | | | Higher moisture range (20-30%) | | |
| | r | a | b | r | a | b |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 5 | 0.9966 | 258.6 | 2.8 | -0.9386 | 310.8 | -1.1 |
| 10 | 0.9803 | 308.0 | 18.1 | -0.9851 | 931.1 | 21.5 |
| 15 | 0.9614 | 390.0 | 34.5 | -0.9817 | 1416.1 | -37.3 |
| 20 | 0.9380 | 583.6 | 26.1 | -0.9956 | 1752.6 | -63.7 |
| 25 | 0.9524 | 826.6 | 13.9 | 9-0.9994 | 2249.8 | -63.7 |

Table 6: Coefficients of regression models of cone index-dry density relationship

| Moisture content (% db) | Correlation coeff. (r) | Intercept (j) | Slope (k) |
|---|---|---|---|
| 5.0 | 0.9131 | -4648.1 | 4.66 |
| 10.0 | 0.9703 | -12489.0 | 10.89 |
| 15.0 | 0.9678 | -11016.6 | 10.10 |
| 20.0 | 0.9802 | -2917.7 | 3.11 |
| 25.0 | 0.9393 | -1224.9 | 1.40 |
| 30.0 | 0.9959 | -19.94 | 0.26 |

Table 7: Evaluation of predictive, model for cone index-cohesion relationship at 15% moisture content

| Cohesion measured (kPa) | Cone index | | Residual | |
| | Measured (kPa) | Predicted (kPa) | (kPa) | % |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 13.7 | 266 | 284 | -18 | 6.7 |
| 16.0 | 364 | 363 | 1 | 0.2 |
| 19.0 | 467 | 467 | 0 | 0 |
| 22.0 | 569 | 571 | -2 | 0.3 |
| 25.0 | 675 | 674 | 1 | 0.1 |
| 28.0 | 800 | 778 | 22 | 2.7 |
| 30.3 | 900 | 858 | 42 | 4.6 |
| 33.0 | 951 | 951 | 0 | 0 |
| 35.0 | 1020 | 1020 | 0 | 0 |
| 37.0 | 1048 | 1089 | -41 | 3.9 |

Correlation Coeff (r)= 0.9969, Model:CI= 188.9 + 34.55$\tau$, AMD= 12.7kPa, RE= 1.85%

Where, DD is dry bulk density, kg m$^{-3}$, j and k are model constants. The coefficients of the models are presented in Table 6. There is good relationship between these two variables as indicated by very high correlation coefficients.

**Model evaluation:** A linear model was developed to fit the relationship between cone index and cohesion at 15% (db) moisture content. The model is given by:

$$CI = -188.9 + 34.55\tau \qquad (4)$$

The 15% moisture content was selected because it is in the range of friable consistency for this soil (clay loam). The measured and predicted values and the residuals are presented in Table 7. The high (nearly 1.0) correlation coefficient of 0.9969 and the small relative error (1.85%) of prediction show that the model is very adequate, for prediction. The absolute mean difference which is the degree of coincidence of the measured and the predicted values of cone index is 12.7 kPa.

# CONCLUSION

## From this study, the following conclusions are made:

- Some dynamic properties of clay loam soil have been studied both in the laboratory and in the field.
- There is good relationship between these properties viz cone index and cohesion and some other physical properties like bulk density and moisture content.
- The relationships between there properties are linear and the models thereof are very good for prediction purposes.
- There is close range between the properties determined in the laboratory and those determined in the field. The difference may be due to the heterogeneity of the soil the field.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENT

# REFERENCES

Ayers, P.D. and H.D. Bowen, 1987. Predicting soil density using cone penetraction resistance and moisture profiles. Trans. ASAE, pp: 30.

Ayers, P.D. and J. Perumpral, 1992. Moisture and density effect on cone index. Trans. ASAE., 25:1169-1172

Al-Suhabani, S.A. and A. AL-Janobi, 1997. Draught requirement of tillage implements operating on sandy loam soil. Sour. Agric. Eng. Res., 66: 177-182.

Bradford, J.L., 1986. Penetrability. In: Methods of soil Analysis, Madison, ASA-SSSA Inc. Publisher, pp: 463- 477.

Chessness, J.L., E.L. Raiz and C. Cobb, 1972. Quantitative description of soil compaction in peach orchards utilizing a portable penetrometer. Trans. ASAE, pp: 15-217.

Elbanna, E. B. and B.D. Witney, 1986. Cone penetration resistance equation as afunction of the clay ratio, soil moisture content and specific weight. J. Terramechanics, 24: 41-46.

Fielke, J.M., 1996. Interactions of the cutting edge of tillage implements with soil. J. Agric. Eng. Res., 63: 61 -72.

Guruswamy, T. and S. R. Verma, 1995. Effect of moisture and density on cone index in alluvial soils; IE(1); J. Agric. Eng., 175: 49-52.

Liu, B.Y., M.A. Nearing, C .Baffaut and J.C. Ascough II, 1997. The WEPP Watershed Model III: Comparisons to measured data from small water sheds. Trans. ASAE., 40: 945-952.

Lambe, T.W., 1951. Soil Testing for Engineers; John Wiley, New York: 165LIn, B.Y. Nearing, M.A. Baffaut, and J.C. Ascough, II 1997. The WEPP Watershed Model: III. Comparisons to Measured Data from Small Watersheds. Trans. ASAE., 40: 945-952.

Manuwa, S.I., 1998. Moisture and Density Effects on Cone Index in Clay Soils Paper presented at the Annual Conference of the NSAE held at the Lagos Air-port, Hotel, Ikeja, September, 9-12-1998.

Ohu, J.O. and O.A. Folorunso, 1989. The effect of machinery traffic on the physical properties of a sandy loam soil and the yield of sorghum in North-Eastern Nigeria. Soil Tillage Res., 13: 399-495.

Ohu, J.O., U.I. Ahmed and E.I. Ekwue, 1991. The influence of Groundnut Haulms on the Compactibility and Hydraulic Properties of Some Agricultural Soils in Borno State, Nigeria. Paper presented at the NSAE Conference.

Perumpral, J.V., 1987. Cone Penetrometer applications-a review. Trans. ASAE, pp: 939-944.

Tollner, E.W. and B.P. Verma, 1984. Modified cone penetrometer for measuring soil Mechanical impedance. Trans. Asae, 27: 331-336.

Wells, L. G. and Treesawen, 1977. The response of various soil strength indicies to changing water content, ASAE pp: 77-1055.

Walkley, A . and I.A. Black, 1934. An examination of the different methods for determining soil organic matter and proposed modification of the chromic acid titration method. Soil Sci., 37: 29-38.