

Expression of Varroa Sensitive Hygiene (VSH) in Iranian Honey Bees (*Apis mellifera meda*)

¹Amir Naji Khoei, ²Cetin Firatli and ³Pedram Golamzade

¹Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Tabriz, Tabriz, Iran

²Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Ankara, Tabriz, Turkey

³Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Tehran, Tabriz, Iran

Abstract: In Iran honey bees cannot be kept without chemical treatments against *Varroa destructor*. The rich variety of native honeybee subspecies and ecotypes in Iran offers a good genetic resource for selection towards Varroa resistance. There are some examples of mite resistance that have developed because of natural selection in wild and managed Iranian populations. Researchers tested five commercial sources of honey bees, *Apis mellifera meda* in East Azarbaijan Province of Iran. Colonies from the five sources were measured for VSH and number of the fallen mites on the hive floor. The reduction of mite infestation in brood combs exposed to test colonies for 1 week differed significantly between groups. On average, colonies with natural low-level brood infestation reduced infestation by 26%. A positive correlation (Spearman coefficient) between Number of Fallen Mites per 1000 Bees (NFMB) with percentage of the mites that infested the brood (PMB) ($r = 0.442^{**}$; $p < 0.01$; $n = 50$) was found. Results of path analysis showed 53 and 14% of variation (standard deviation) in NFMB are because of percentage of the mites in phoretic phase (PMP) and PMB variables, respectively. This diversity offers rich potential genetic resources for selection on mite resistance.

Key words: Varroa resistance, Iranian honey bees, Varroa sensitive hygiene, chemical, hive floor

INTRODUCTION

Removal of infested brood is considered an important mechanism of resistance of the Asian honey bee *Apis cerana* Fabr. to the mite *Varroa jacobsoni* Oudemans (Peng *et al.*, 1987; Rath and Drescher, 1990). Suppressed Mite Reproduction (SMR) is a trait of honey bees (*Apis mellifera* L.) that provides resistance to *Varroa destructor*. The mechanism of resistance in SMR bees is the removal of infested pupae from capped brood so, a better name is VSH (Varroa Sensitive Hygiene) bees (Harris, 2007). Resistance to Varroa mites can help reduce or eliminate the use of other control methods by maintaining lower levels of *V. destructor* (Nasr and Kevan, 1999). The expression of honey bee genetic resistance against Varroa mites may vary in different regions (Rinderer, 1986). A number of honeybee stocks have been reported to exhibit resistance to Varroa mites such as bees imported from Yugoslavia (Rinderer *et al.*, 1993), the Primorsky Russian bee (Danka *et al.*, 1995; Rinderer *et al.*, 1999), suppression of mite reproduction lines (Harbo and Harris, 2000) and the Africanized bees (Camazine, 1986; Rosenkranz, 1999; Guzman-Novoa *et al.*, 1999).

VSH bees uncap and remove infested brood and freed adult female mites usually transfer onto the bees removing the brood (Aumeier and Rosenkranz, 2001) but may eventually be free on the combs and expose to attack by bees. Thakur *et al.* (1997) documented that honey bees can detect, grab and bite free-moving mites.

In honey bee colonies VSH behavior of mature bees controls Varroa population (Harbo and Harris, 2005). SMR bees are able to detect mites laying in to the capped brood cells and kill them so appearance of these behavior of worker bees is due to the mite reproduction. In addition worker bees are not able to detect and remove sterile mites. So, uncapping infested brood cells and removing mites is a selective behavior (Ibrahim and Spivak, 2006). By evaluation and selection of honey bees for their VSH behavior it will be possible to reach Varroa resistant colonies. Also, queens of these colonies will transfer Varroa resistance trait to her progeny by natural mating (Harbo and Harris, 2001).

The VSH behavior of honey bees by indirect influence on length of phoretic stage stimulates grooming behavior and it is a basic mechanism in expression of other Varroa resistant traits (Ibrahim *et al.*, 2007). It is crucial for a successful VSH bee breeding to use methods

that are more convenient and selecting resistant colonies with at least 2-6 months field trials. By direct evaluation of VSH behavior of adult bees and selecting resistant colonies, rapid genetic gains are more expectable. One other quick way is to transfer brood comb from infested colonies to experimental colonies and study decreased infestation during 40 h or 1 week (Harris, 2007). There is a negative correlation between Varroa population growth and decreased Varroa reproduction during 48 h and a week (Villa *et al.*, 2009).

Varroa Surviving Bee (VSB) colonies were more efficient in removing mite-infested pupae from the cells as reported in the MN Hygienic stock (Boecking and Spivak, 1999; Spivak and Reuter, 1998, 2001) and Varroa Sensitive Hygienic (VSH) bee strains from Louisiana (Harbo and Harris, 2005). The goal was to breed honey bees resistant to diseases and parasitic mites to reduce the amount of antibiotics and pesticides used in bee colonies in Iran.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted at the apiary of Agriculture Education and Research Station of University of Tabriz from April through November 2013. The study started by preparing 60 colonies of honeybees from five regions (Tabriz = A, Azarshahr = G, Ahar = K, Bostanabad = N, Shabestar = S) of East Azarbaijan Province. Of the 60 colonies, 10 were transferred to other location for breeding of Varroa mite. In this research, criteria of measuring hygienic behavior of bees against Varroa mites was the removal of mite-infested brood by bees, Number of Fallen Mites per 1000 Bees (NFMB) on the hives sticky bottom board and studying factors that put an effect on these variables. The Varroa Sensitive Hygienic (VSH) behavior of worker bees that removes infested pupae from capped brood was observed in 25 of 50 randomly selected colonies. In addition, number of fallen mites on the hives sticky bottom board was observed in 50 colonies. Before counting the NFMB, it is necessary to estimate Varroa population inside each hive. To do so, Percentage of the Mites in Phoretic phase (PMP), Percentage of the Mites that infest the Brood (PMB), Adult Bee Population (ABP) and Number of the Bee Brood (NBB) was estimated in experimental colonies.

Adult bee population estimates: The adult bee populations was estimated visually by counting number of combs where both sides was covered with bees. As 1500 worker bees are enough to cover one side of a comb, it is enough to count number of combs whose both sides are covered with bees and then to multiply them in 3000 to calculate adult bee population in each colony. These

figures calculated according to length and width of an adult bee's body and dimensions of a standard frame (Mondragon *et al.*, 2005). All categorical variables were transformed into continuous variables for statistical analysis.

Capped brood cell numbers estimates: Normally, each comb of honeybee has 7000 cells (3500 cells on each side of comb). In order for estimating number of capped brood cells, first the inner surface of one empty frame divided into ten equal parts by a thin metal wire. As each side of a comb include 3500 capped brood cells, each part of the wired empty frame includes 350 cells of waxy comb. Putting the wired frame on each comb of the experimental colony, number of inner parts of the wired frame filled with sealed brood was counted and multiplied in 350 to get number of capped brood cells.

Estimation of the mite percentage in phoretic phase: In each experimental colony to estimate the percentage of the mites in phoretic phase about 150-200 adult bees was collected from the central brood frames of each hive into a jar with chloroform. In the laboratory, after the bee samples and the mite stuck to their bodies anaesthetized with chloroform, the separated mites and bees finally were count. Then, percentage of the mites in their phoretic phase was calculated.

Number of the fallen mites on the hive floor: In order to determine number of the fallen mites on the hive floor, first of all floors of the hives were set up with a 3 mm wire mesh in a wooden frame with a tray under it acting as a removable drawer. Then, a white waxy study smeared with white grease was put under that. Trapped mites on the sticky studies were counted once a week for 5 weeks. This period corresponds to approximately two mite generations (12 days in worker cells and approximately 5 days on adult bees for each generation) (Fries *et al.*, 1994).

Removal of mite-infested brood by bees in experimental colonies: Hygienic responses of experimental colony bees towards mite infested pupae were investigated by transferring one comb of capped brood from a mite infested source colony (10 different sources) into each of 25 host colonies and monitoring hygienic responses that occurred during a week period. One comb of capped brood was removed from each colony before transferring the mite infested combs into the brood nest. The initial infestation rate (the sum of multiply and singly infested cells) of brood with Varroa mites in each comb was found by sampling 100 capped brood cells in straight line transects on each side (50 cells per comb side) of comb.

The initial infestation averaged $24.76 \pm 2.61\%$ (mean \pm SE) for all combs that were used in this test (range, 3-49%). The infestation rate after the test was estimated in the same way. The ratio of the number of infested pupae to the number of uninfested pupae was compared between the initial and final samples to calculate the percentage decrease of all mite infested pupae from each combs (Harris, 2007). Then, with regard to brood infestation rate, colonies of VSH group were divided to 3 subgroups as: low, medium and high. In these colony groups relation between VSH behavior of bees and brood infestation rate was investigated.

Statistical analyses: Because the data obtained were nonparametric and did not provide the principle of variance analysis technique to study multiple effect of the region factor, a multi comparison analysis was performed (Kruskal-Wallis test; Minitab V.16). Relation between variables of NFM, PMP, PMB, ABP and NBB estimated with Spearman correlation (SPSS V.18). In addition, path analysis was used to determine the effective factors on NFM. For standardization of the worker bee population in colonies, the variable of NFM was varied to the Number of Fallen Mites per 1000 Bees (NFMB) and used these data in analyses.

RESULTS

In a honey bee colony infested with Varroa, one of the main reasons of falling down the mites to the hive floor is hygienic behavior of bees. Hence, in this study, in all colonies the number of fallen mites was counted for 5 weeks. A positive correlation (Spearman coefficient) between NFMB with PMP ($r = 0.314^*$; $p < 0.05$; $n = 50$) and PMB ($r = 0.442^{**}$; $p < 0.01$; $n = 50$) was found. In addition, a positive correlation was found between PMP and PMB ($r = 0.703^*$; $p < 0.01$; $n = 50$).

In order to specify the impact of PMP and PMB variables on NFMB and their interactions on each other, path analysis was done and determination coefficients were calculated (Fig. 1). The path coefficients leading to NFMB were 0.14 from PMP and 0.53 from PMB and coefficient of determination that is the square of path coefficient, respectively was 0.019 and 0.281. The total effect of PMP and PMB on NFMB is 0.425. These results show that 53 and 14% of variation (standard deviation) in NFMB are because of PMP and PMB variables, respectively.

According to these results, colonies of different regions were compared considering of the percentage of Varroa mite in phoretic stage. Multiple comparisons of Kruskal-Wallis and all pairwise comparisons of p-values




Fig. 1: Path analysis diagram of relation between the percentage of the fallen mites on the bottom board (NFMB) with percentage of Varroa in phoretic phase (PMP) and percentage of Varroa in brood cells (PMB)

Table 1: Descriptive statistics and Kruskal-Wallis multiple comparisons of percentage of Varroa mite in phoretic stage between different apiculture regions

Regions	Mean \pm SE	Min.	Max.	Rank means
A	5 \pm 1.1	2.3	14.7	31.1 ^A
G	1 \pm 0.4	0.0	4.3	12.3 ^B
K	10 \pm 2.5	0.0	23.1	34.6 ^A
N	5 \pm 1.5	0.6	16.9	27.2 ^A
S	3 \pm 0.8	0.6	7.0	22.3 ^{AB}

Table 2: Descriptive statistics and Kruskal-Wallis multiple comparisons of worker brood infestation level between different apiculture regions

Regions	Mean \pm SE	Min.	Max.	Rank means
A	6 \pm 1.0	0	11	29.3 ^A
G	2 \pm 0.5	0	6	10.9 ^B
K	12 \pm 4.0	0	40	31.6 ^A
N	9 \pm 2.3	0	22	30.0 ^A
S	6 \pm 1.2	0	12	25.7 ^A

DF = 4; $p < 0.01$

showed significant differences ($p < 0.01$) in percentage of Varroa mite in phoretic stage between colonies of different apiculture regions (Table 1).

The other important factor that affects the number of mites falling onto the bottom board is the worker brood infestation level. In this study, relation between these two factor was investigated. Results based on Kruskal-Wallis test and pairwise comparisons of p-values showed significant ($p < 0.05, 0.01$) differences between colonies of different region in terms of worker brood infestation level (Table 2). In addition, the number of mites falling on to the bottom board is highly correlated ($r = 0.442^{**}$; $p < 0.01$; $n = 50$) with the presence of brood infestation. According to correlation coefficients estimated within regions, a high degree of correlation was found between percentage of brood infestation (PMB) and mites in phoretic phase (PMP) (Table 3).

Among the numerous variables that were measured to assess VSH of bees, reducing the amount of brood infestation level, showed the highest coefficient of

Table 3: Spearman correlation coefficients between PMB, PMP and NFMB within colonies of different regions

		Regions				
----Variables----		A	G	K	N	S
PMP	PMB	0.745*	-0.372	0.952**	0.954**	0.043
PMP	NFMB	0.427	0.176	0.539	0.491	-0.061
NFMB	PMB	0.279	-0.169	0.539	0.593*	0.202

A = Tabriz, G = Azarshahr, K = Ahar, N = Bostanabad, S = Shabestar; *p<0.05 ve **p<0.01

Table 4: Descriptive statistics and results of Kruskal-Wallis test on brood infestation level and removal of mite-infested brood in three subgroups of VSH examined colonies

		Percentage of broods infestation (%)			
Groups	Kolony No.	Mean±SE	Min.	Max.	Means of ranks
A	9	1±0.6	0.00	5.00	8.4 ^B
B	8	2±0.4	0.00	4.00	12.1 ^B
C	8	5±0.8	0.00	8.00	19.0 ^A
Reduction of Varroa infestation (%)					
A	9	26±4.5	0.01	0.46	18.6 ^A
B	8	7±4.2	0.00	0.34	11.1 ^B
C	8	5±4.2	0.00	0.34	8.7 ^B

SD = 2; p<0.05; SD = 2; p<0.05

variation. Coefficient of variation of reducing the amount of brood infestation level (113.90%) in comparison to coefficient variation of PMP (86.25%), PMB (79.35%) and NFMB (78.17%) showed the highest dispersion and so is the best variable to evaluate hygienic behavior of bees against *V. destructor*.

Removal of mite-infested brood by bees was studied in 25 colonies. Then, these colonies based on natural brood infestation level were divided into three low, medium and high subgroups (Table 4). Comparison of the brood infestation level with removal of mite-infested brood showed that in the colonies with high hygienic behavior against Varroa (0.26±0.045) there is low infestation level (0.01±0.006) and in both desired variables significant differences (p<0.05) were observed between groups.

DISCUSSION

In this study, VSH behavior of honey bees was measured according to the decreased Varroa infestation in capped brood. The results of this study showed that VSH behavior of adult bees in low infested colonies was extremely high. In addition, among the group of VSH colonies that based on the rate of infestation were divided to three subgroups, significant differences (p<0.05) was observed. The results indicated that colonies more sensitive to Varroa mites has less infested pupas as observed in previous studies (Spivak, 1996; Harbo and Harris, 2005; Ibrahim, 2005; Ibrahim *et al.*, 2007; Harris, 2007, 2008; Harris *et al.*, 2010).

If mites in some bee colonies are phoretic for longer periods they may have fewer chances of reproducing

during their life and an increased potential for being groomed (Ruttner and Hanel, 1992). Selection for increased phoresy would enhance mite resistance. However, phoresy may be influence by other resistance traits. VSH reduces the number of mites in brood and grooming reduces the number on adults. The mites released by VSH may either die or join the phoretic population but in either case, the proportion of phoretic mites increases (Rinderer *et al.*, 2010).

Results of the study confirmed previous ones and indicated that during uncapping and removing infested pupas, freed mites act as parasite for adult bees and lead to Varroa mites phoretic population growth (r = -0.348, p<0.05). Hence, the measurement of pupae infestation rate and Varroa mites phoretic population in different geographic regions colonies can be used as a criteria for evaluating bees resistance to *V. destructor*. The results were similar to that of reported by Spivak (1996).

CONCLUSION

The results of this study showed that in resistant honey bee colonies, brood infestation with Varroa mites is directly influenced by adult bees VSH behavior. Therefore, the estimation of brood infestation rate for a logical colony evaluation is suggested as an important criterion for mite resistance. Correspondingly, the possibility of breeding Varroa resistant lines in the Iranian honey bee (*Apis mellifera meda*) stocks was approved. Also mite-resisted honey bee breeding in Iran will retrieve beekeepers some financial problems caused by Varroa infestation.

REFERENCES

- Aumeier, P. and P. Rosenkranz, 2001. Scent or movement of Varroa destructor mites does not elicit hygienic behaviour by Africanized and Carniolan honey bees. *Apidologie*, 32: 253-264.
- Boecking, O. and M. Spivak, 1999. Behavioral defenses of honey bees against *Varroa jacobsoni* Oud. *Apidologie*, 30: 141-158.
- Camazine, S., 1986. Differential reproduction of the mite, *Varroa jacobsoni* (Mesostigmata: Varroidae), on Africanized and European honey bees (Hymenoptera: Apidae). *Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am.*, 79: 801-803.
- Danka, R.G., T.E. Rinderer, V.N. Kuznetsov and G.T. Delatte, 1995. A USDA-ARS project to evaluate resistance to *Varroa jacobsoni* by honey bees of Far-Eastern Russia. *Am. Bee J.*, 135: 746-748.
- Fries, I., S. Camazine and J. Sney, 1994. Population dynamics of *Varroa jacobsoni*: A model and a review. *Bee World*, 75: 5-28.

- Guzman-Novoa, E., R. Vandame and M.E. Arechavaleta-Velasco, 1999. Susceptibility of European and Africanized honey bees (*Apis mellifera* L.) to *Varroa jacobsoni* Oud. in Mexico. *Apidologie*, 30: 173-182.
- Harbo, J.R. and J.W. Harris, 2000. Changes in reproduction of *Varroa destructor* after honey bee queens were exchanged between resistant and susceptible colonies. *Apidologie*, 31: 689-699.
- Harbo, J.R. and J.W. Harris, 2001. Resistance to *Varroa destructor* (Mesostigmata: Varroidae) when mite-resistant queen honey bees (Hymenoptera: Apidae) were free-mated with unselected drones. *J. Econ. Entomol.*, 94: 1319-1323.
- Harbo, J.R. and J.W. Harris, 2005. Suppressed mite reproduction explained by the behaviour of adult bees. *J. Apicult. Res.*, 44: 21-23.
- Harris, J.W., 2007. Bees with Varroa Sensitive Hygiene preferentially remove mite infested pupae aged \leq five days post capping. *J. Apicultural Res.*, 46: 134-139.
- Harris, J.W., 2008. Effect of brood type on varroa-sensitive hygiene by worker honey bees (Hymenoptera: Apidae). *Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am.*, 101: 1137-1144.
- Harris, J.W., R.G. Danka and J.D. Villa, 2010. Honey bees (Hymenoptera: Apidae) with the trait of Varroa sensitive hygiene remove brood with all reproductive stages of Varroa mites (Mesostigmata: Varroidae). *Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am.*, 103: 146-152.
- Ibrahim, A. and M. Spivak, 2006. The relationship between hygienic behavior and suppression of mite reproduction as honey bee (*Apis mellifera*) mechanisms of resistance to *Varroa destructor*. *Apidologie*, 37: 31-40.
- Ibrahim, A., G.S. Reuter and M. Spivak, 2007. Field trial of honey bee colonies bred for mechanisms of resistance against *Varroa destructor*. *Apidologie*, 38: 67-76.
- Ibrahim, A.M., 2005. The effect of two honey bee mechanisms of resistance against the parasitic mite, *Varroa destructor*: Hygienic behavior and suppression of mite reproduction. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Minnesota, USA.
- Mondragon, L., M. Spivak and R. Vandame, 2005. A multifactorial study of the resistance of honeybees *Apis mellifera* to the mite *Varroa destructor* over one year in Mexico. *Apidologie*, 36: 345-358.
- Nasr, M.E. and P.G. Kevan, 1999. Diseases and parasites, eradication or management: What strategy for honey bee health? *Bee World*, 80: 53-54.
- Peng, Y.S., Y.Z. Fang, S.Y. Xu and L.H. Ge, 1987. The resistance mechanism of the Asian honey bee, *Apis cerana* Fabr., to an ectoparasitic mite, *Varroa jacobsoni* Oudemans. *J. Invertebr. Pathol.*, 49: 54-60.
- Rath, W. and W. Drescher, 1990. Response of *Apis cerana* Fabr. towards brood infested with *Varroa jacobsoni* Oud. and infestation rate of colonies in Thailand. *Apidologie*, 21: 311-321.
- Rinderer, T.E., 1986. Selection. In: *Bee Genetics and Breeding*, Rinderer, T.E. (Ed.). Academic Press, Orlando, ISBN: 9781904846291.
- Rinderer, T.E., G.T. Delatte, L.I. de Guzman, J. Williams, J.A. Stelzer and V.N. Kuznetsov, 1999. Evaluations of the *Varroa*-resistance of honey bees imported from far-eastern Russia. *Am. Bee J.*, 139: 287-290.
- Rinderer, T.E., J.M. Kulincevic, G.T. Delatte, L.D. Beaman and S.M. Bucu, 1993. The breeding, importing, testing and general characteristics of Yugoslavian honey bees bred for resistance to *Varroa jacobsoni*. *Am. Bee J.*, 133: 197-200.
- Rinderer, T.E., J.W. Harris, G.J. Hunt and L.I. de Guzman, 2010. Breeding for resistance to *Varroa destructor* in North America. *Apidologie*, 41: 409-424.
- Rosenkranz, P., 1999. Honeybee (*Apis mellifera* L.) tolerance to *Varroa jacobsoni* Oud. in south America. *Apidologie*, 30: 159-172.
- Ruttner, F. and H. Hanel, 1992. Active defence against *Varroa* mites in a Carniolan strain of honeybee (*Apis mellifera carnica* Pollmann). *Apidologie*, 23: 173-187.
- Spivak, M. and G.S. Reuter, 1998. Performance of hygienic honey bee colonies in a commercial apiary. *Apidologie*, 29: 291-302.
- Spivak, M. and G.S. Reuter, 2001. *Varroa destructor* infestation in untreated honey bee (Hymenoptera: Apidae) colonies selected for hygienic behavior. *J. Econ. Entomol.*, 94: 326-331.
- Spivak, M., 1996. Honey bee hygienic behavior and defense against *Varroa jacobsoni*. *Apidologie*, 27: 245-260.
- Thakur, R.K., K. Bienefeld and R. Keller, 1997. Varroa defense behavior in *A. mellifera carnica*. *Am. Bee J.*, 137: 143-148.
- Villa, J.D., R.G. Danka and J.W. Harris, 2009. Simplified methods of evaluating colonies for levels of Varroa Sensitive Hygiene (VSH). *J. Apicultural Res.*, 48: 162-167.