ISSN: 1680-5593

© Medwell Journals, 2012

Role of Mutations in DNA Gyrase and Topoisomerase IV in Fluoroquinolones-Resistance of *Mycoplasma gallisepticum*Obtained *in vitro* and *in vivo*

¹Jian Li, ²Dian-Hong Lu, ¹Zhi-Jie Liu, ¹Xiao-Hua Zhang, ¹Fei-Long Wei,

¹Ya-Hong Liu and ¹Hong-Xia Jiang

¹College of Veterinary Medicine, Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Veterinary Pharmaceutics Development and Safety Evaluation,
South China Agricultural University, 510642 Guangzhou, People's Republic of China

²Laboratory of Clinical Microbiology, Guangdong Academy of Agriculture Sciences,
Institute of Veterinary Medicine, 510640 Guangzhou, People's Republic of China

Abstract: The role of mutations in genes for GyrA, GyrB, ParC and ParE in fluoroquinolone-resistance in *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* obtained *in vitro* and *in vivo* was studied. *M. gallisepticum* mutants were generated by stepwise selection in either 1/2×MIC or escalating concentration of enrofloxacin or ciprofloxacin. Seven fluoroquinolone-resistant clinical isolates of *M. gallisepticum* recovered from commercial poultry flocks with suspected chronic respiratory disease were recruited for the mutation detection of four target genes. The evolution of resistance in *M. gallisepticum* was more readily and more quickly with enrofloxacin selection than with ciprofloxacin selection. Selection with enrofloxacin gave mutations at GyrA83 (Ser→Ile) firstly and subsequent additional mutation at GyrA82 or GyrA103, combining substitution at ParC80 in association with high-level resistance to FQs. Selection with ciprofloxacin gave primary change at Gyr87 and subsequent additional alteration at ParC80, elevating the MICs for FQs significantly. The presence of alterations of both the GyrA and ParC in 7 clinical isolates of *M. gallisepticum* is in good agreement with the results from mutants selected *in vitro*. No mutations in GyrB and ParE were found in mutants selected both *in vitro* and *in vivo*.

Key words: Mycoplasma gallisepticum, enrofloxacin, ciprofloxacin, quinolone resistance-determining regions, poultry flocks

INTRODUCTION

Mycoplasm gallisepticum, characterized as cell wall less prokaryote (Razin, 1992; Razin et al., 1980) is an important pathogen in Chronic Respiratory Disease (CRD) and resulting in reduced weight gain and egg production in infected birds (Ley, 2003). Infected birds, despite of remain asymptomatic become life-long carriers with the means for horizontal and vertical transmission (Ley, 2003). Control of M. gallisepticum infection in China by vaccination is limited because of lack of effective vaccine, chemotherapeutically control is necessary and a long-term strategy.

Fluoroquinolones (FQs) are broad-spectrum antibiotics used extensively in controlling CRD and minimizing its transmission in case of an outbreak (Hannan *et al.*, 1997). Among these antimicrobial agents, enrofloxacin, ciprofloxacin, danofloxacin and sarafloxacin

are approved for use in poultry farms in China however, their resistance presents ascending trend due to extensive application.

Chromosomal mechanisms involved in FQs resistance has been well reviewed (Hernandez et al., 2011; Jacoby, 2005) and well studied in human mollicutes (Bebear et al., 1998, 1999; Kenny et al., 1999). Emergence of resistance to FQs is mainly due to chromosomal mutations in genes encoding the subunits of the drug's target enzymes, DNA gyrase and topoisomerase IV which are essential for DNA replication (Gellert et al., 1976; Khodursky et al., 1995). DNA gyrase (GyrA and GyrB subunits) is thought to be the primary target in gram-negative bacteria such as Escherichia coli, Neisseria gonorrhoeae, Klebsiella pneumoniae and Haemophilus influenzae whilst topoisomerase IV in gram-positive bacteria such as Staphylococcus aureus and Streptococcus pneumoniae (Jacoby, 2005). Several studies on quinolone-resistant

Corresponding Author: Hong-Xia Jiang, College of Veterinary Medicine,

Mycoplasma hominis, S. aureus and S. pneumoniae obtained in vitro showed selective targeting of GyrA or ParC for different quinolone drugs (Bebear et al., 1998a; Jacoby, 2005). To date, several studies focused on the acquisition and the mechanisms of resistance in M. gallisepticum obtained in vitro (Gautier-Bouchardon et al., 2002; Gerchman et al., 2008; Reinhardt et al., 2002a, b), however only one reported that in clinical isolates (Lysnyansky et al., 2008). In this study, researchers determined the selective target for ENR and CIP in M. gallisepticum obtained by stepwise selection and compared the in vitro and in vivo emergence of resistance and mechanism to FQs.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Becterial and growth: *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* reference strain S6 used for selection of fluoroquinolone resistant mutants was purchased from the Institute of Animal Drug Control of China (Beijing, China). Seven fluoroquinolone-resistant clinical isolates of *M. gallisepticum* from chickens with suspected CRD infection described before (Jiang *et al.*, 2009) were recruited in this study for the detection of mutation in the QRDR of topoisomerase genes. As previously described (Jiang *et al.*, 2009), *M. gallisepticum* strains were grown at 37°C in FM-4 medium supplemented with 15% heat-inactivated swine serum.

Antimicrobial agents and determination of MICs: MICs of different FQs and other antibiotics were determined by a serial broth dilution method in FM-4 medium (Wang et al., 2001). The MIC was determined as the lowest concentration of antimicrobial agent that prevent a color change in the medium at the time when the drug free growth control first showed a color change after about 5 days of incubation at 37°C. Antibiotics including Enrofloxacin (ENR), Ciprofloxacin (CIP), Danofloxacin Sarafloxacin (SARA), Ofloxacin (OFL), Erythromycin (ERY), Tylosin (TYL) and Gentamycin (GEN) were purchased from the Institute of Animal Drug Control of China (Beijing, China). A strain was considered susceptible to enrofloxacin when the MIC was \leq 0.5 mg L⁻¹ and resistant when the MIC was \geq 2 mg L⁻¹ according to the breakpoints given for these antibiotics (Hannan, 2000).

Selection of fluoroquinolone-resistant mutants: According to previous study described with slight modification, two selection methods with stepwise selection of mutants in FM-4 broth containing either duple increasing concentration or 1/2×MIC concentration

of ENR or CIP were used for *M. gallisepticum* S6. For method with duple increasing drug concentration, the concentrations used for during the first passage were 1/2×MIC of ENR or CIP for the wild-type organism. Once the MICs for mutants reached 128 µg mL⁻¹ after a serial transfer, passages were completed. For the other method, 1/2×MIC of ENR or CIP was always used for the wild-type organism for 10 sequential passages. Mutants from passages 5 (Se5, Se5) and 10 (Se10, Sc10) were studied. The stability of the acquired resistance for all selected mutants was tested by subculture of the organisms to drug-free FM-4 broth for 5 consecutives then determining the MICs for the selected resistant strains and confirming that the susceptibilities were not restored.

PCR amplification and DNA sequence analysis: Chromosomal DNA of each resistant mutant was used as a template in a PCR to amplify the Quinolone Resistance Determining Regions (QRDRs) of the gyrA, gyrB, parC and parE genes. The primers selected for gyrA, gyrB, parC and parE were 5'-TATGGTGCTTACACTTCAG -3' and 5'-CTACGGCAATACCACTTG-3', 5'-TGACGGTAA GATTAGCAAAG-3' and 5'-ACATCAGCATCG GTCA TGA-3', 5'-AAGAATAGATGGATAAGAAA-3' and 5'-TCTCTTTGTTAATATTCTCA-3', 5'-GGTATCAAAT TACAACGAAAAAC-3' and 5'-CCACCATCTTG GTA GATCGA-3', respectively which yielded a respective 370, 580, 415 and 440 bp fragment. PCR was carried out with 1 µM each primer and 5 µL of template DNA for the QRDR amplification of the four topoisomerase genes for M. gallisepticum. PCR products were directly sequenced on both strands (Invitrogen, Shanghai, China). The DNASIS analysis programs were used for DNA and amino acid sequence alignments.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Development of resistance in *M. gallisepticum* with ENR or CIP selection: A serial of resistant mutants of which with greatest MIC of ≥128 μg mL⁻¹ for all tested FQs (Table 1) were selected after sequential passages in 1/2×MIC or esclating concentrations of ENR or CIP in this study. Whatever the method used for selection, the evolution of resistance in *M. gallisepticum* was more readily and more quickly with ENR selection than with CIP selection. The emergence of resistance (MIC>2 μg mL⁻¹) selected with increased ENR concentratrions occured at passages 2 whilst occured at passages 6 with CIP selection. MICs of 5 FQs for mutants (Se8M) from increased ENR selection at passages 5 ranged 16-64 μg mL⁻¹, significantly higher than those (Sc8M)

Table 1: MICs and mutations observed in fluoroquinolone-resistant mutants of Mycoplasma gallisepticum selected in vitro and in vivo

	MIC ^b					Amino acid (codon) change in QRDR of (GyrA)				ParC
Strains ^a	ENR	CIP	SARA	DAN	OFL	82	83	87	103	80
S6	0.125	0.125	0.125	0.06	0.25	Asp	Ser	Glu	Ile	Ser
Se5	16.000	32.000	8.000	16.00	32.00	-	Ile	-	-	Leu
Se10	>128.000	128.000	>128.000	>128.00	64.00	-	Ile	-	Val	Leu
Sc5	4.000	2.000	2.000	4.00	8.00	-	-	Gln	-	-
Sc10	128.000	128.000	64.000	64.00	64.00	-	-	Gln	-	Leu
Se8M	32.000	32.000	16.000	64.00	64.00	-	Ile	-	-	Leu
Se32M	>128.000	128.000	64.000	128.00	>128.00	Gly	Ile	-	-	Leu
Sc8M	1.000	2.000	4.000	2.00	1.00	-	-	Gln	-	-
Sc16M	64.000	16.000	4.000	32.00	32.00	-	Ile	Gln	-	Leu
RC1	4.000	16.000	8.000	16.00	32.00	-	Ile	-	-	Leu
YL4	4.000	16.000	8.000	8.00	16.00	-	Ile	-	-	Leu
JC6	2.000	8.000	4.000	8.00	16.00	-	Ile	-	-	Leu
CG5	2.000	8.000	4.000	8.00	8.00	-	Ile	-	-	Leu
FL	8.000	8.000	4.000	2.00	8.00	-	-	-	-	-
HS1	4.000	4.000	2.000	1.00	4.00	-	-	Gly	-	-
HS2	16.000	8.000	8.000	16.00	8.00	-	Ile	Gly	-	_

*Se5 and Se10 correspond to mutants selected from S6 with 1/2×MIC enrofloxacin for 5 and 10 consecutive passages, respectively; similarily, Sc5 and Sc10 mutants obtained with 1/2×MIC ciprofloxaxin for the same passages. Se8M, Se32M, Sc8M and Sc16M corresponds to mutants selected from S6 with 8×MIC, 16×MIC and 32×MIC enrofloxacin; or ciprofloxaxin, respectively. ENR: Enrofloxacin; CIP: Ciprofloxacin; Sara: Sarafloxacin; Dano: Danofloxacin; Oflo: Ofloxacin; No change in the amino acid sequences

from increased CIP selection at the same passages, ranging 1-4 μg mL⁻¹. When drug concentration used for selection esclated to 32×MIC, mutants only selected (Se32M) with ENR selection and were able to grow in high concentration (128 μg mL⁻¹ or great) of FQs. Mutants could not be able to grow in broth containing 32×MIC concentration of CIP. After 5 consecutive passaging in 1/2×MIC concentration, MICs of 5 FQs for mutants (Se5) induced by ENR ranged from 8~32 μg mL⁻¹ whilst 2~8 μg mL⁻¹ for mutants (Sc5) by CIP. While MICs reached 128 μg mL⁻¹ or great with either ENR or CIP selection after 10 consecutive passaging.

Results from the above showed that the induction of antibiotic resistance was influenced by the nature of the antibiotics used in the selection assay. Resistance to FQs in M. gallisepticum induced by ENR whatever the drug concentration used for selection, developed readily and quickly in vitro within 2-3 passages. The results are in accordance with those of Zanella et al. (1998) and Gautier-Bouchardon et al. (2002) who botained a gradual increase in the concentration of enrofloxacin used for the selection of M. gallisepticum resistant mutants. It is of noteworth that M. gallisepticum can acquire high level fluoroquinolone resistance when exposured to even 1/2MIC of ENR or CIP for 10 consecutive passages, suggesting administration of ENR or CIP under the rapeutic dosing (for prophylastic purpose) in poultry farms promoting the development of resistance to FQs in M. gallisepticum. Despite the slower development of resistance in M. gallisepticum exposuring to CIP, high level resistance to Fqs could be obtained after mutiple passages. Whatever the drug used for selection, all mutants presented cross-resistance to 5

tested Fqs but still susceptibility to erythromycin, tylosin and gentamycin which same as results from Gautier-Bouchardon *et al.* (2002). MIC values for FQs of 7 clinical isolates of *M. gallisepticum* ranged from 2-32 µg mL⁻¹ which were marked higher than the MICs of those isolated from meat type turkeys reported by Gerchman *et al.* (2008). Resistance level to CIP were much higher than to ENR in most clinical isolates in this study, this phenotype could be arised from higher lipid solubility for CIP than ENR leading to more drug access into the cell (Riviere and Papich, 2009). Clinical isolates were cross-resistant to all tested FQs and other antibiotics except for gentamicin.

Mutations of the gyrase and topoisomerase IV genes in M. galliseptucim selected in vitro: The QRDR of GyrA, GyrB, ParC and ParE in all selected mutants in vitro and in vivo were characterized. As shown in Table 1, common mutant site in GyrA were localized at position 83 with Ser→Ile substitution and at position 87 with Glu→Gly/Gln alteration. Besides two changes, Asp82→Gly and Ile103→Val substitution were found respectively in two mutants selected in vitro. For most mutants, a Ser80→Leu change in the ParC QRDR was detected, combined with mutations in GyrA. No mutations were found in GyrB and ParE QRDR.

The study showed that the preferential target of ENR and CIP in *M. gallisepticum* is DNA gyrase which in accordance with Reinhardt *et al.* (2002a) results unlike mycoplasma hominis in which the primary target of CIP is topoisomerase IV (Bebear *et al.*, 1998b). Differently the first and major mutation occurred at position 83 and 87 in GyrA for ENR and CIP, respectively. In the study, just one

mutation pattern (Ser83-Ile) in GyrA occurred in the selected mutants, unlike observation of Reinhardt et al. (2002b)which 3 various alterations in (Ser83→Ile/Asn/Arg) existing in *M. gallisepticum* mutants. Ser-Arg mutation led to greater increases in resistance than the other two substitution (Ser→Ile and Ser→Asn) due to structural differences between these three amino acids (Kim et al., 1998). In the study, substitution Ser83-Ile in GyrA associated with great increases in resistance and the linkage effect of subsequent mutation at position 80 in ParC. These two substitutions in GyrA and Parc led to 256 fold increase in the MIC of ENR and CIP for mutants Se5 and Se8M, compared with the parent strain. Additional mutation at position 82 (Asp¬Gly) and 103 (Ile-Val) were responsible for high-level resistants (>1024 fold increase) for mutant Se32M and Se10, respectively. Truong et al. (1997) reported a novel mutation Asp82-Gly contributed high resistant to nalidixic acid and FQs in addition to Gly81-Asp in the QRDR of GyrA in E. coli. To the knowledge, there is no literatures on Ile103-Val mutation which involving in high level resistance to FQs in M. gallisepticum.

Mutation at position 87 in GyrA just found in mutants from CIP selection which contributed comparatively small increases in resistance (16 fold) unlike the mutation Ser→Ile at position 83 and its linkage effect. Agree with Reinhardt *et al.* (2002b), mutation of Ser80→Leu in the ParC QRDR plays a role in ENR resistance. In present study, substitution Glu87→Gln in GyrA combining Ser80→Leu in the ParC resulted in 64 fold increase in the MIC of ENR and CIP for mutant Sc10. A additional mutation at site 83 (Ser→Ile) in GyrA seems to play a slight influence on resistance for mutant Sc16M.

Unlike plasmid-mediated bacterial resistance in which resistance may disappear after selective antibiotic pressure is removed, chromosomal (mutational) resistance exhibited by fluoroquinolone-resistant bacteria can be maintained in bacteria after drug administration is discontinued (Strahilevitz *et al.*, 2009). From this study, use of ENR or CIP in poultry farms either for theraputic or prophylatic purpose could readily and quickly selected fluoroquinolone-resistant *M. gallisepticum* and contribute to the resistance persistence in flocks.

Mutations of the gyrase and topoisomerase IV genes in *M. galliseptucim* selected *in vivo*: In 7 clinical isolates of *M. gallisepticum*, two mutations in GyrA and one mutation in ParC were detected which gave high-level resistance to FQs. Only Ser→Ile change at position 83 in GyrA was found which was in agreement with the mutants selected *in vitro* (this study) and *in vivo* described

recently (Lysnyansky et al., 2008). In contrast, the Glu87-Gly substitution selected in two clinical isolates was found in none of the in vitro selected mutants in this study. Same as the alteration in ParC in mutants selected in vitro, only Ser-Leu alteration was detected at position 80 which combining mutation at GyrA83 resulted in the high-level resistance to Fqs in clinical isolates of M. gallisepticum. As with the in vitro mutants of M. gallisepticum this study, none of the 7 clinical isolates was found to carry an alteration in the GyrB and ParE ORDR, unlike Lysnyansky et al. (2008) report in which two substitutions existing in GyrB. This clearly confirms the prevalence of mutation in gyrA and parC over those in gyrB and parE. The highest resistance was associated with three mutations in GyrA and ParC in one clinical isolates of M. gallisepticum in accordance with that in mutant Sc16M selected in vitro. Previous studies focused mainly on mutants selected in vitro. It is worthy to note that two isolates exhibited no mutations in any target genes or just one mutation in ParC but had high MIC profiles of the FQs tested. Additional mechanisms, like mutations elsewhere in the topoisomerase genes or modifications in drug efflux system only described in M. hominis (Raherison et al., 2002) may contribute to the resistant phenotype of these mutants.

CONCLUSION

This study shows the results generally characterized the alteration in DNA gyrase and Topoisomerase IV in fluoroquinolone-resistant mutants of *M. gallisepticum* obtained *in vitro* and *in vivo*. The presence of alterations of both the GyrA and ParC in clinical isolates of *M. gallisepticum* is in good agreement with the results from mutants selected *in vitro*, high-level resistance to FQs was associated with modification in both enzymes targets.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was supported by the The National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 30871890), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. U1031004). Jian Li and Dian-Hong Lu contributed equally to this research.

REFERENCES

Bebear, C.M., A. Charron, J.M. Bove, C. Bebear and J. Renaudin, 1998a. Cloning and nucleotide sequences of the topoisomerase IV *parC* and *parE* genes of *Mycoplasma hominis*. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother., 42: 2024-2031.

- Bebear, C.M., H. Renaudin, A. Charron, J.M. Bove, C. Bebear and J. Renaudin, 1998b. Alterations in topoisomerase IV and DNA gyrase in quinolone-resistant mutants of *Mycoplasma hominis* obtained *In vitro*. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother., 42: 2304-2311.
- Bebear, C.M., J. Renaudin, A. Charron, H. Renaudin, B. de Barbeyrac, T. Schaeverbeke and C. Bebear, 1999. Mutations in the gyrA, parC and parE genes associated with fluoroquinolone resistance in clinical isolates of Mycoplasma hominis. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother., 43: 954-956.
- Gautier-Bouchardon, A.V., A.K. Reinhardt, M. Kobisch and I. Kempf, 2002. *In vitro* development of resistance to enrofloxacin, erythromycin, tylosin, tiamulin and oxytetracycline in *Mycoplasma gallisepticum*, *Mycoplasma iowae* and *Mycoplasma synoviae*. Vet. Microbiol., 88: 47-58.
- Gellert, M., K. Mizuuchi, M.H. O'Dea and H.A. Nash, 1976. DNA gyrase: An enzyme that introduces superhelical turns into DNA. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA., 73: 3872-3876.
- Gerchman, I., I. Lysnyansky, S. Perk and S. Levisohn, 2008. In vitro susceptibilities to fluoroquinolones in current and archived Mycoplasma gallisepticum and Mycoplasma synoviae isolates from meat-type turkeys. Vet. Microbiol., 131: 266-276.
- Hannan, P.C., 2000. Guidelines and recommendations for antimicrobial Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) testing against veterinary mycoplasma species. International research programme on comparative mycoplasmology. Vet. Res., 31: 373-395.
- Hannan, P.C., G.D. Windsor, A. de Jong, N. Schmeer and M. Stegemann, 1997. Comparative susceptibilities of various animal-pathogenic mycoplasmas to fluoroquinolones. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother., 41: 2037-2040.
- Hernandez, A., M.B. Sanchez and J.L. Martinez, 2011. Quinolone resistance: Much more than predicted. Front Microbiol. Vol. 2. 10.3389/fmicb.2011.00022
- Jacoby, G.A., 2005. Mechanisms of resistance to quinolones. Clin. Infect. Dis., 2: S120-S126.
- Jiang, H.X., J.R. Chen, H.L. Yan, X.N. Li, Z.L. Chen and Z.L. Zeng, 2009. Molecular Variability of DR-1 and DR-2 within the *PVPA* gene in *Mycoplasma* gallisepticum isolates. Avian Dis., 53: 124-128.
- Kenny, G.E., P.A.Young, F.D. Cartwright, K.E. Sjostrom and W.M. Huang, 1999. Sparfloxacin selects gyrase mutations in first-step *Mycoplasma hominis* mutants, whereas ofloxacin selects topoisomerase IV mutations. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother., 43: 2493-2496.

- Khodursky, A.B., E.L. Zechiedrich and N.R. Cozzarelli, 1995. Topoisoerase IV is a target of quinolones in *Escherichia coli*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA., 92: 11801-11805.
- Kim, J.H., E.H. Cho, K.S. Kim, H.Y. Kim and Y.M. Kim, 1998. Cloning and nucleotide sequence of the DNA gyrase gyrA gene from Serratia marcescens and characterization of mutations in gyrA of quinoloneresistant clinical isolates. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother., 42: 190-193.
- Ley, D., 2003. Mycoplasma gallisepticum Infection. In: Diseases of Poultry, Saif, Y.M., H.J. Barnes, A.M. Fadly, J.R. Glisson, L.R. McDougald and D.E. Swayne (Eds.), Iowa State University Press, Ames, IA USA., pp: 122-143.
- Lysnyansky, I., I. Gerchman, S. Perk and S. Levisohn, 2008. Molecular characterization and typing of enrofloxacin-resistant clinical isolates of *Mycoplasma gallisepticum*. Avain Dis., 52: 685-689.
- Raherison, S., P. Gonzalez, H. Renaudin, A. Charron, C. Bebear and C.M. Bebear, 2002. Evidence of active efflux in resistance to ciprofloxacin and to ethidium bromide by *Mycoplasma hominis*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother, 46: 672-679.
- Razin, S., 1992. Peculiar properties of mycoplasmas: The smallest self-replicating prokaryotes. FEMS Microbiol Lett., 15: 423-431.
- Razin, S., M. Banai, H. Gamliel, A. Polliack, W. Bredt and I. Kahane, 1980. Scanning electron microscopy of mycoplasmas adhering to erythrocytes. Infect Immun., 30: 538-546.
- Reinhardt, A.K., C.M. Bebear, M. Kobisch, I. Kempf and A.V. Gautier-Bouchardon, 2002a. Characterization of mutations in DNA gyrase and topoisomerase IV involved in quinolone resistance of *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* mutants obtained *In vitro*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother, 46: 590-593.
- Reinhardt, A.K., I. Kempf, M. Kobisch and A.V. Gautier-Bouchardon, 2002b. Fluoroquinolone resistance in Mycoplasma gallisepticum: DNA gyrase as primary target of enrofloxacin and impact of mutations in topoisomerases on resistance level. J. Antimicrob Chemother, 50: 589-592.
- Riviere, J.E. and M.G. Papich, 2009. Veterinary Pharmacology and Therapeutics. In: Fluoroquinolone Antimicrobial Drugs, Papich M.G. and J.E. Riviere (Eds). Iowa State University Press, Ames, IA USA., pp: 983-1011.

- Strahilevitz, J., G.A. Jacoby, D.C. Hooper and A. Robicsek, 2009. Plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance: A multifaceted threat. Clin. Microbiol. Rev., 22: 664-689.
- Truong, Q.C., J.C. Nguyen Van, D. Shlaes, L. Gutmann and N.J. Moreau, 1997. A novel, double mutation in DNA gyrase A of *Escherichia coli* conferring resistance to quinolone antibiotics. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother., 41: 85-90.
- Wang, C., M. Ewing and S.Y. Aarabi, 2001. *In vitro* susceptibility of avian mycoplasmas to enrofloxacin, sarafloxacin, tylosin and oxytetracycline. Avian Dis., 45: 456-460.
- Zanella, A., P. Martino, A. Pratell and M. Stonfer, 1998.
 Development of antibiotic resistance in Mycoplasma gallisepticum In vitro. Avian Pathol., 27: 591-596.