ISSN: 1680-5593

© Medwell Journals, 2012

Prevalence of *Salmonella* sp. Infections in Layer Flocks in East Azerbaijan Province of Iran

¹Adel Feizi and ²Mehrdad Nazeri ¹Department of Clinical Science, ²Department of Veterinary Medicine, Tabriz Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tabriz, Iran

Abstract: Salmonella is an important cause of human disease with an estimated 80.3 million annual foodborne cases and significant economical losses in the poultry sector worldwide. The aim of this study was to evaluation prevalence of Salmonella infections in layer flocks in East Azerbaijan province of Iran. For isolation of agent after autopsy of losses, liver surface was cauterized and swap from this area was obtained and added into the tetrathionate culture media and incubated at 37°C for 15 h. Then agents obtained from previous stage were cultured in the macconkey agar culture media as five regional method and then incubated at 37°C. After several times, lightly yellow colonies appeared that suggestive non-fermentative lactose bacteria. For differentiation of Salmonella from other non-fermentative lactose bacteria, specific Medias such as TST, urea, MR-VP, SIM, simmon citrate, lysine and Medias contain sucrose, lactose, maltose, manoz and arabinose used. Finally, the amounts of losses since week 31 until 67 was 5030 hens that by adding losses before week 31 which was about 1450, the sum of losses was 6480 or 27% of flock. This study revealed that contamination rate in East Azerbaijan province of Iran is higher than standard levels and because of zoonotical issues between poultries and human must be take measures in this field.

Key words: Salmonella sp. infection, layer flocks, macconkey agar, simmon citrate, Iran

INTRODUCTION

Salmonella is an important cause of human disease (EFSA, 2009; Newell et al., 2010) with an estimated 80.3 million annual foodborne cases (Majowicz et al., 2010) and significant economical losses in the poultry sector worldwide. In Europe, Salmonella enteritidis is one of the most commonly isolated serotypes in human salmonellosis (EFSA, 2007; WHO, 2006) where contaminated eggs produced by infected layers remain one of the most important sources of this infection (Crespo et al., 2005; Dejong and Ekdehl, 2006; Delmas et al., 2006; Korsgaard et al., 2009; Stevens et al., 2009). Today, the goal of layer producers is to ensure consumers have access to safe and wholesome egg products which necessitates initiation of control of this pathogen at farm level. For this aim in the country for example, most of the layer companies inform us that they use Salmonella vaccines against the most prevalent or endemic serovars in their regions, complemented with their biosecurity programs. These programs which are designed by the veterinarians of the breeder companies may cause problems in operational completion of prerequisite programs and Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Point Plans for the prevention of Salmonella

contamination in flocks. Also, to evaluate the efficiency of these biosecurity actions including vaccinations these companies submit samples from their flocks to external diagnostic laboratories. Part of this control involves testing of samples collected by these companies for the presence of Salmonella with a traditional culture technique which requires 5-11 days for confirmation of the results. The most commonly applied and accepted gold standard culture method for the detection of Salmonella sp., in animal feces and in samples from the primary production stage is International Organization for Standardization (ISO) Method 6579:2002/ and 1:2007 in the countries of the European Union and this method also involves 4-6 days. To shorten this detection time in the interest of layer companies, realtime PCR (rPCR) can be applied to fecal samples before culture results (Eriksson and Aspan, 2007; Eyigor and Carli, 2003; Eyigor et al., 2002; Hadjinicolaou et al., 2009; Kurowski et al., 2002; Tomas et al., 2009). However as has been previously pointed out (Eyigor et al., 2002), the fact that rPCR and culture can complement each other and cannot replace one another should always be kept in mind.

In several countries, notably the UK, Spain and the US there has been a dramatic increase of Salmonella enteritidis infection in humans during the last 5-10 years.

In England and Wales isolations of S. enteritidis from humans increased from 1087 in 1981-15427 (56% of a total of 27478 isolates of Salmonella) in 1989 whereas infections due to all other serovars combined increased by about half (Cowden et al., 1989; Frost et al., 1989). Similarly in Scotland, S. enteritidis infections in humans increased from 11% of all isolates of Salmonella in 1982-52% for the 1st 11 months of 1988 (Sharp, 1988). In Spain, outbreaks of food-borne disease in humans due to S. enteritidis increased from 8% in 1977 to 40% in 1984 whereas food borne disease due to S. typhimurium remained stable at 8%. In the US, reported S. enteritidis infections in humans increased from about 6% of all human isolates of Salmonella before 1976 to >51% in 1987 (Hopper and Mawer, 1988). In Canada, S. enteritidis was the third commonest isolate of Salmonella (8-3% of a total of 10646 isolates of Salmonella) from people in 1987 and the fourth commonest isolate (9-2% of 9957 isolates of Salmonella) in 1988. In Europe most human S. enteritidis isolates belong to Phage Type (PT) 4 (Arnold et al., 2010; Carrique-Mas et al., 2009). Salmonella enteritidis strains isolated in Canada and the US belong primarily to PT 8: 64% of the human isolates of S. enteritidis in Canada, 48% of human and animal and 64% of animal isolates of S. enteritidis in the US were phage type 8.

Outbreaks of disease by S. enteritidis in humans have been associated with the consumption of eggs or foods that contain eggs (Cowden et al., 1989a, b; Steinert et al., 1990). It has been suggested that S. enteritidis may infect eggs by transovarian transmission (Snoeyenbos et al., 1969; St Louis et al., 1988). The prevalence of isolation of S. enteritidis from hens and ovaries and eggs of hens varied considerably among different studies (Hopper and Mawer, 1988; Humphrey et al., 1989). Hopper and Mawer (1988) isolated S. enteritidis from 13 of 50 dead hens taken from a commercial layer flock of 60000 hens that was epidemiologically identified as the source of raw shell eggs that caused an outbreak of human food poisoning by S. enteritidis PT 4. Salmonella enteritidis was cultured from the fecal contents of 13, the oviduct of 8 and the ovaries of 6 of the 50 hens (Hopper and Mawer, 1988).

Examination of the egg contents of 1119 eggs derived from two small flocks of 12 and 23 egg-laying hens each showed that 11 eggs were positive for *S. enteritidis* (Humphrey *et al.*, 1989). The production of infected eggs was clustered though intermittent. The positive eggs which were produced by 10 of the 35 hens all contained <10 Salmonellas (Humphrey *et al.*, 1989). Examination of 15000 eggs derived from >1300 layer

flocks in the US resulted in the detection of only one flock infected with *S. enteritidis*. Trace backs from infected people to three egg-producing flocks resulted in the isolation of *S. enteritidis* from yolk of eggs in one flock from a pooled ovarian sample of another flock and no isolations in the third flock. In orally infected and contact-exposed hens intestinal colonization persisted for as long as 18 weeks some strains of *S. enteritidis* caused significant decreases in egg production and *S. enteritidis* was found in a high percentage of the yolks and albumens of eggs laid during the 1st 2 weeks after inoculation. The aim of this study was to evaluation prevalence of Salmonella infections in layer flocks in East Azerbaijan province of Iran.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

In this study, diagnosis of Salmonella in hens was conducted as clinical signs and then for definitive diagnosis acting to culture of isolated genera from viscera and serologic examinations. For isolation of agent after autopsy of losses, liver surface was cauterized and swap from this area was obtained and added into the tetrathionate culture media and incubated at 37°C for 15 h. Then, agents obtained from previous stage were cultured in the macconkey agar culture media as five regional method and then incubated at 37°C. After several times, lightly yellow colonies appeared that suggestive non fermentative lactose bacteria. For differentiation of Salmonella from other non-fermentative lactose bacteria, specific Medias such as TST, urea, MR-VP, SIM, simmon citrate, lysine and Medias contain sucrose, lactose, maltose, manoz and arabinose used.

Finally, act to antibiogram by using of colonies obtained from tetrathionate culture media. These colonies were cultured in mueller hinton agar as uniform culture method and then specific antibiotics-dipped disks were located in plate. Plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 h. After these steps, *Salmonella enteritidis* approved.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Finally, the amounts of losses since week 31 until 67 was 5030 hens that by adding losses before week 31 which was about 1450, the sum of losses was 6480 or 27% of flock (Table 1 and 2). In this study, researchers observed a considerably high Salmonella incidence in layer flocks because more than half of the samples submitted to the laboratory were determined to harbor this pathogen. There are similar previous findings from layer flocks in the percentages as high as 55.6, 76.9 and 86.5% by Dorn and Schleiff (1997), Carli *et al.* (2001) and

Table 1: Antibiotic results

	Resistance						
Antibiotic	Sensitive	Moderate	Resistant	Choice			
Florfenicol	*	-	-	4+			
Enrofloxacin	*	-	-	4+			
Difloxacin	*	-	-	3+			
Ampicillin	-	-	***	-			
Erythromycin	-	-	site.	-			
Neomycin	**	-	-	2+			
Oxytetracy cline	-	-	site.	-			
Tetracyc line	-	**	-	-			
Trimethoprim	*	-	-	3+			
Colistin	-	-	***	-			
Tiamulin	-	-	s i t	-			
Danofloxacin	*	-	_	1+			

						onella by v		m . 1
Wee		Sat.	Sun.	Mon.	Tue.	Wed.	Thu.	Total
31	35	43	35	28	62	67	55	325
32	51	62	48	35*	25*	18*	9*	248
33	4	5	7	3	4	5	2	30
34	5	5	4	3	3	4	2	28
35	4	4	3	2	5	7	5	30
36	52	48	48	45	58	53	50	354
37	57	58*	47*	38*	27*	18	9	254
38	3	7	10	6	4	2	6	38
39	9	4	6	5	2	7	8	41
40	4	4	4	3	7	6	7	35
41	7	7	9	3	21	36	17	100
42	29	41	47	53	68	72	45	355
43	53*	27*	31*	19*	17	13	11	171
44	12	9	11	7	4	8	9	60
45	7	11	12	9	6	4	3	52
46	3	6	2	8	11	7	6	43
47	33	47	42	63	62	53	56	356
48	54*	49*	36*	18*	9	11	8	185
49	7	5	6	8	6	4	2	38
50	2	6	7	6	5	2	4	32
51	13	18	38	47	53	56	68	293
52	54*	41*	32*	17*	16	9	3	172
53	14	11	3	2	6	7	4	47
54	6	4	8	3	7	2	6	36
55	3	2	5	2	7	11	9	39
56	11	27	43	49	63	47	53	283
57	67	58	53	63	43	36	52	372
58	49	43	28	14	7	3	8	152
59	3	2	6	4	7	3	2	27
60	3	3	3	6	4	2	5	26
61	11	4	6	2	5	6	3	37
62	2	1	4	3	6	2	9	27
63	17	13	22	27	42	36	11	168
64	29	53	64	18	64	51	71	350
65	49*	38*	29*	16*	7	11	4	154
66	. 9	3	4	6	4	3	2	31
67	6	3	7	2	9	11	3	41

*Indicates days of antibiotic administration

Li et al. (2007), respectively. There are also, studies reporting Salmonella detection rates as low as 0.0-17.0% (Ata and Aydin, 2008; Eyigor and Carli, 2003; Eyigor et al., 2002, 2005; Kalender and Muz, 1999) from the country and 9.93-17.9% from European Union countries (Madden, 1989; Hoorebeke et al., 2010). Apart from the effects of Salmonella prevalence within a

flock (Arnold *et al.*, 2010) of the housing system (Carrique-Mas *et al.*, 2009; Huneau-Salaun *et al.*, 2009) and of the flock characteristics (Namata *et al.*, 2008), the variations in Salmonella detection rates have particularly been related to the sample type analyzed and the method used (Arnold *et al.*, 2010; Kinde *et al.*, 2005; Rybolt *et al.*, 2004).

Considering the fact that the environment of adult hens was examined, it was expected that the percentage would be lower because chickens rapidly become resistant to Salmonella infection with increase in age (Milner and Shaffer, 1952; Sadler et al., 1969). The finding that such a high percentage of the environmental samples were contaminated with Salmonellas may be explained by persistence of contamination in poultry houses for long periods of time and for consecutive generations of birds. Snoeyenbos et al. (1970) noted that residual house contamination was frequent following depopulation cleaning and disinfection. Higgins et al. (1982) found that dust was contaminated with Salmonellas in 6 of 9 houses after disinfection and suggested that defects in the cleaning and disinfection of air inlets and fans seemed to be an important factor for recontamination of the house.

The isolation rates of Salmonella serovars from faecal and eggbelt samples appear to correlate. This suggests that when faeces are contaminated with a serovar also, the environment such as dust, feathers, shells, fluff and other debris will be contaminated with the same serovar and conversely when the environment is contaminated with a certain serovar, the hens may become infected and shed the same serovar in the faeces.

In this study by Poppe *et al.* (1991) revealed that overall rates of seropositivity of 248-299 sera from the seven positive flocks against either variant or standard strains of *S. pullorum* ranged from 29-77%. Substantially more birds were seropositive in flocks in which infected birds were subsequently identified (Poppe *et al.*, 1992).

CONCLUSION

In this study, overall incidence of Salmonella in layer flocks by rPCR and culture was 61.0 and 55.6%, respectively where 70.1% of these Salmonella isolates were determined as *Salmonella enteritidis*. Incidences of *Salmonella enteritidis* in culture-positive samples were 65.3% in cloacal swabs, 50.0% in intestines, 73.9% in gizzard swabs and 87.5% in cecal swabs. The rPCR results were in 100% agreement (100% sensitivity and specificity) with culture results when cecal swabs were selected as the sample type (Temelli *et al.*, 2010). Poppe *et al.* (1991) showed that the most prevalent serovars were

infantis, heidelberg, S. S. schwarzengrund, they were isolated from samples of 59/295 (20%), 18/295 (6-1%), 17/295 (5-8%) and 15/295 (541%) flocks, respectively. Feed samples of 21/295 (7-2%) flocks were contaminated with Salmonellas. Salmonella enteritidis was isolated from environmental samples of 8/295 (2-7%)(Temelli et al., 2010). By comparison of above mentioned results from other researchers revealed that contamination rate in East Azerbaijan province of Iran is higher than standard levels and because of zoonotical issues between poultries and human must be take measures in this field.

REFERENCES

- Arnold, M.E., J.J. Carrique-Mas and R.H. Davies, 2010. Sensitivity of environmental sampling methods for detecting *Salmonella Enteritidis* in commercial laying flocks relative to the within-flock prevalence. Epidemiol. Infect., 138: 330-339.
- Ata, Z. and N. Aydin, 2008. Isolation of *Salmonella* spp. in Ankara region poultry plants. J. Ankara Univ. Vet. Fac., 55: 161-166.
- Carli, K.T., A. Eyigor and V. Caner, 2001. Prevalence of Salmonella serovars in chickens in Turkey. J. Food Prot., 64: 1832-1835.
- Carrique-Mas, J., M. Breslin, L. Snow, I.M. Laren, A.R. Sayers and R.H. Davies, 2009. Persistence and clearance of different *Salmonella* serovars in buildings housing laying hens. Epidemiol. Infect., 137: 837-846.
- Cowden, J.M., D. Chisholm and M. O'Mahony, D. Lynch and S.L. Mawer *et al.*, 1989. Two outbreaks of Salmonella enteritidis phage type 4 infection associated with the consumption of fresh shell-egg products. Epidemiol. Infect., 103: 47-52.
- Cowden, J.M., D. Lynch, C.A. Joseph, M.O. Mahony, S.L. Mawer, B. Rowe and C.L. Barrlett, 1989. Casecontrol study of infections with Salmonella enteritidis phage type 4 in England. Br. Med. J., 299: 771-773.
- Delmas, G., A. Gallay, E. Espie, S. Haeghebaert and N. Pihier *et al.*, 2006. Foodborne-diseases outbreaks in France between 1996 and 2005. Biol. Eng. H., 51-52: 418-422.
- Dorn, W. and G. Schleiff, 1997. Evaluation of methods for preparing chicken feces from the veterinary hygienic aspect. Zentralbl. Veterinarmed. B., 44: 105-118.
- Eriksson, E. and A. Aspan, 2007. Comparison of culture, ELISA and PCR techniques for salmonella detection in faecal samples for cattle, pig and poultry. Biol. Med. Chem. Vet. Res., Vol. 3, 10.1186/1746-6148-3-21.

- Eyigor, A. and K.T. Carli, 2003. Rapid detection of *Salmonella* from poultry by real-time polymerase chain reaction with fluorescent hybridization probes. Avian Dis., 47: 380-386.
- Eyigor, A., K.T. Carli and C.B. Unal, 2002. Implementation of real-time PCR to tetrathionate broth enrichment step of *Salmonella* detection in poultry. Lett. Appl. Microbiol., 34: 37-41.
- Eyigor, A., G. Goncagul, E. Gunaydin and K.T. Carli, 2005. Salmonella profile in chickens determined by real-time polymerase chain reaction and bacteriology from years 2000 to 2003 in Turkey. Avian Pathol., 34: 101-105.
- Frost, J.A., L.R. Ward and B. Rowe, 1989. Acquisition of a drug resistance plasmid converts *Salmonella* enteritidis phage type 4 to phage type 24. Epidemiol. Infect., 103: 243-248.
- Hadjinicolaou, A.V., V.L. Demetriou, M.A. Emmanuel, C.K. Kakoyiannis and L.G. Kostrikis, 2009. Molecular beacon-based real-time PCR detection of primary isolates of *Salmonella* typhimurium and *Salmonella* enteritidis in environmental and clinical samples. BMC Microbiol., Vol. 9. 10.1186/1471-2180-9-97.
- Higgins, R., R. Malo, E. Rene-Roberge and R. Gauthier, 1982. Studies on the dissemination of *Salmonella* in nine broiler-chicken flocks. Avian Dis., 26: 26-33.
- Hoorebeke, S.V., F.V. Immerseel, J. Schulz, J. Hartung and M. Harisberger *et al.*, 2010. Determination of the within and between flock prevalence and identification of risk factors for *Salmonella* infections in laying hen flocks housed in conventional and alternative systems. Prev. Vet. Med., 94: 94-100.
- Hopper, S.A. and S. Mawer, 1988. Salmonella enteritidis in a commercial layer flock. Vet. Rec., 123: 351-351.
- Humphrey, T.J., A. Baskerville, S. Mawer, B. Rowe and S. Hopper, 1989. *Salmonella* enteritidis phage type 4 from the contents of intact eggs: A study involving naturally infected hens. Epidemiol. Infect., 103: 415-423.
- Huneau-Salaun, A., C. Marianne, L.B. Sophie, L. Francoise and P. Isabelle et al., 2009. Risk factors for Salmonella enterica ssp. enterica contamination in 519 French laying hen flocks at the end of the laying period. Prev. Vet. Med., 89: 51-58.
- Kalender, H. and A. Muz, 1999. Typing of Salmonella species isolated from chickens in elazyg region. Turk. J. Vet. Anim. Sci., 23: 297-303.
- Kinde, H., D.M. Castellan, D. Kerr, J. Campbell, R. Breitmeyer and A. Ardans, 2005. Longitudinal monitoring of two commercial layer flocks and their environments for Salmonella enterica serovars enteritidis and other Salmonellae. Avian Dis., 49: 189-194.

- Korsgaard, H., M. Madsen, N.C. Feld, J. Mygind and T. Hald, 2009. The effects, costs and benefits of Salmonella control in the danish table-egg sector. Epidemiol. Infect., 137: 828-836.
- Kurowski, P.B., J.L. Traub-Dargatz, P.S. Morley and C.R. Gentry-Weeks, 2002. Detection of *Salmonella* spp. in fecal specimens by use of real-time polymerase chain reaction assay. Am. J. Vet. Res., 63: 1265-1268.
- Li, X., J.B. Payne, F.B. Santos, J.F. Levine, K.E. Anderson and B.W. Sheldon, 2007. Salmonella populations and prevalence in layer feces from commercial high-rise houses and characterization of the Salmonella isolates by serotyping, antibiotic resistance analysis and pulsed field gel electrophoresis. Poult. Sci., 86: 591-597.
- Madden, J.M., 1989. Increase in the numbers of cases of Salmonella enteritidis in the United States due to whole chicken eggs and the implications to food handlers. J. Food Prot., 52: 753-753.
- Majowicz, S.E., J. Musto, E. Scallan, F.J. Angulo and M. Kirk et al., 2010. The global burden of nontyphoidal salmonella gastroenteritis. Clin. Infect. Dis., 50: 882-889.
- Milner, K.C. and M.F. Shaffer, 1952. Bacteriological studies of experimental *Salmonella* infections in chicks. J. Infect. Dis., 90: 81-96.
- Namata, H.E., M.M. Aerts, C. Faes, J.C. Abrahantes, H. Imberechts and K. Mintiens, 2008. Salmonella in Belgian laying hens: An identification of risk factors. Prev. Vet. Med., 83: 323-336.
- Newell, D.G., M. Koopmans, L. Verhoef, E. Duizer and A. Aidara-Kane et al., 2010. Food-borne diseases the challenges of 20 years ago still persist while new ones continue to emerge. Int. J. Food Microbiol., 139: S3-S15.
- Poppe, C., R.J. Irwin, C.M. Forsberg, R.C. Clarke and J. Oggel, 1991. The prevalence of *Salmonella* enteritidis and other *Salmonella* spp. among Canadian registered commercial layer flocks. Epidemiol. Infect., 106: 259-270.
- Poppe, C., R.P. Johnson, C.M. Forsberg and R.J. Irwin, 1992. Salmonella enteritidis and other Salmonella in laying hens and eggs from flocks with Salmonella in their environment. Can. J. Vet. Res., 56: 226-232.

- Rybolt, M.E., R.W. Wills, J.A. Byrd, T.P. Doler and R.H. Bailey, 2004. Comparison of four *Salmonella* isolation techniques in four different inoculated matrices. Poult. Sci., 83: 1112-1116.
- Sadler, W.W., J.R. Brownell and M.J. Fanelli, 1969. Influence of age and inoculum level on shed pattern of *Salmonella* typhimurium in chickens. Avian Dis., 13: 793-803.
- Sharp, J.C., 1988. Salmonellosis and eggs. Biol. Med. J., 297: 1557-1558.
- Snoeyenbos, G.H., F.C. Smyser and H.V. Roekel, 1969.Salmonella infections of the ovary and peritoneum of chickens. Avian Dis., 13: 668-670.
- Snoeyenbos, G.H., B.A. McKie, C.F. Smyser and C.R. Weston, 1970. Progress in identifying and maintaining Salmonella-free commercial chicken breeding flocks. Avian Dis., 14: 638-696.
- St Louis, M.E., D.L. Morse, M.E. Potter, T.M. DeMelfi, J.J. Guzewich, R.V. Tauxe and P.A. Blake, 1988. The emergence of grade a eggs as a major source of *Salmonella* enteritidis infections. J. Am. Med. Assoc., 259: 2103-2107.
- Steinert, L., D. Virgil and E. Bellemore, 1990. Update: Salmonella enteritidis infections and grade a shell eggs-United States, 1989. Morb. Mort. Weekly Rep., 38: 877-880.
- Stevens, M.P., T.J. Humphrey and D.J. Maskell, 2009. Molecular insights into farm animal and zoonotic *Salmonella* infections. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B., 364: 2709-2723.
- Temelli, S., S. Kahya, A. Eyigor and K.T. Carli, 2010. Incidence of *Salmonella* enteritidis in chicken layer flocks in Turkey: Results by real-time polymerase chain reaction and international organization for standardization culture methods. Poult. Sci., 89: 1406-1410.
- Tomas, D., A. Rodrigo, M. Hernandez and M.A. Ferrus, 2009. Validation of real-time PCR and enzyme-linked fluorescent assaybased methods for detection of *Salmonella* spp. in chicken feces samples. Food Anal. Methods, 2: 180-189.
- WHO, 2006. Progress Report (2000–2005): Building capacity for laboratory-based foodborne disease surveillance and outbreak detection and response/WHO Global Salm-Surv. http://www.who.int/gfn/links/GSSProgressReport2005.pdf Accessed Feb. 2010.