Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances 10 (24): 3212-3217, 2011
ISSN: 1680-5593

Energy Utilization by Chickens Fed Graded Levels of Balanced Mixture of Methionine

A.A. Saki, F. Mirzaaghatabar, P. Zamani and H. Aliarabi
Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Agriculture,
Bu-Ali Sina University, Hamedan, Iran

Abstract: The present study was conducted to investigate the effect of various levels of methionine and metabolisable energy on carcass characteristics and visceral organs of broiler chickens. A total of 400 broiler chickens (Lohman) were allocated to a factorial 2×2 in completely random design with 4 replicates (25 birds in each). Levels of methionine were 1.2 and 0.45% which adjusted to 2800 and 3200 kcal kg^{-1} Metabolisable Energy (ME) in starters and 0.9 and 0.33% to 2800 and 3200 kcal kg^{-1} ME in grower periods, respectively. On 21st and 42nd days of age two birds were selected and after slaughter carcass and visceral organs characteristics were measured. The mentioned parameters were differently affected by all treatments. Moreover, the highest carcass weights percentage was obtained at 42nd days of age in low levels of methionine ($p<0.05$). Significant increases of breast were observed in chickens fed by low levels of methionine at 21st days of age ($p<0.05$). There were no significant differences between neck and backside weights at 21st days of age ($p<0.05$). All treatments caused significant differences in wing weights at 21st days of age ($p<0.05$). Significant increases in proventriculus were observed in 21st days of age ($p>0.05$). Also, significant changes were found in liver and heart weights at 21st and 42nd days of age in at this case ($p>0.05$). The results of current study have shown that various metabolizable energy and methionine interaction reflected in external (Carcass trait) and internal (Visceral organs) trait.

Key words: Methionine, metabolizable energy, carcass, visceral organs, broiler chicken, slaughter

INTRODUCTION

Methionine is universally recognized as the first limited amino acid in broiler chickens diets based on corn and soybean meal. It is suggested that increased levels of methionine should be above the NRC (1994) recommendations (Gorman and Balnave, 1995; Schutte and Pack, 1995; Nadeem *et al*., 1999; Wallis, 1999). Sufficient intake of dietary methionine and cysteine is important for the synthesis of proteins (Grimble, 2006). It may be influence carcass characteristics and visceral organs. It is showed that methionine as lipotrophic agent is important diet protein regulation (Chen *et al*., 1993). Beside the generation of decarboxylated S-adenosyl methionine, methionine is a donor of the methyl groups that participates in the methylation of DNA and proteins, the synthesis of spermidine and spermine and regulation of gene expression (Wu and Meininger, 2002). Also, methionine plays a role beyond a protein constituent (Flynnl *et al*., 2002).

Energy is one of the major factors which play the vital role in the feed intake and feed formulation in poultry industries (Hunton, 1995). Formulation of ration without energy couldn't be possible since, nutrients intake can be influence by different levels of energy in diets. Therefore, deficiency of nutrients may be occurring in poultry by more increasing of energy contents in diets. In contrast feed intake as well as nutrient utilization is increased by low levels of energy in diets (Nesheim and Austic, 1990; Hunton, 1995). This phenomenon can affect carcass characteristics and breast weights (Schutte and Pack, 1995). The energy for adaptation comes from the three energy-yielding nutrients: carbohydrates, lipids and proteins. These nutrients are only available from feed and from nutrient reserves in the animal body. Lack of energy and amino acids hardly damages the performance and carcass characteristics (Chandra, 1991). The choose of the proper level of energy and protein (Amino acids) will optimize growth, carcass quality and feed efficiency. Although, the effects of energy and amino acids (Methionine) separately investigated in broiler diets in some cases but their interactions is depended. Unfortunately scientific researches are scarce in this regarding. So, it hypothesized that this interactions were determine final broiler response. Thus, to test this hypothesis the effects of different levels of methionine

Corresponding Author: F. Mirzaaghatabar, Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Bu-Ali Sina University, Hamedan, Iran

and metabolisable energy were evaluate on broiler internal parameters as carcass cuts (Breast, thighs, backside, neck and wing) weights, visceral organs and relative organs of GIT of broiler chickens.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Birds and diets: A total of 400 days old broiler chickens (Lohman) were used in this study. Birds were randomly allocated to 4 experimental diets, 4 replications and 25 chickens in each. This study was arranged in a factorial 2×2 in completely random design. Experimental rations were consist of 2 levels of energy (2800 and 3200 kg kcal^{-1}) and methionine 1.2 and 0.45% (at 1-21 days) and 0.9 and 0.33% (at 22-42 days) (Table 1) during the starter and grower period, respectively. The experimental periods were starter 1-21 days and grower 22-42 days of age.

Carcass and organelles traits: On 21st and 42nd days of age, final body weights were measured then, 4 birds from each pen were randomly selected and tagged then, birds were fasted without limitation of water access for 8 h. So, birds were weighted after removal of feather, head, legs and abdominal fat contents. Proventriculus, liver, heart and abdominal fat dissected and calculated as the percentage weights. The breast, thighs, backside, wings and neck were calculated as the percentage of fasted live body weights.

Statistical analysis: The all data were check for normal test by Kolmogrov Smirrnov test. Experimental data subjected to one way-ANOVA using the GLM procedure of SAS (1996). Significant differences were compared by Duncan's multiple range test ($p<0.05$).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Carcass characteristics: The effect of dietary treatments on the carcass characteristics of broiler chicks at 21st and 42nd days of age are shown in Table 2 and 3, respectively. No significant differences were observed by methionine levels between measured parameters (Carcass, thighs, backside, neck and wing weights) at 21st days of age ($p>0.05$). The low level of methionine caused the highest breast weights percentage at 21st days of age. No significant differences were observed by energy levels between measured parameters (Carcass, backside, neck and weights) at 21st days of age ($p>0.05$). Also, the low level of energy induced significant decreases in neck and wing weights ($p>0.05$). No significant differences were found in mentioned parameters (Carcass, backside and neck weights) but thighs and wings weights were differently affected. Except carcass weights, no significant differences were found between methionine levels in measured parameters (Thighs, backside, neck and wing weights) at 42nd days of age ($p>0.05$). The low level of methionine caused the highest carcass weights at 42nd days of age. No significant differences were found

Table 1: Ingredients and chemical composition of experimental diets

	Diets of starter				Diets of grower			
Ingredients (%)	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Corn	49.04	38.00	49.04	38.00	44.00	36.50	44.00	36.50
Wheat barn	-	8.00	-	8.00	-	15.00	-	15.00
Soybean meal	18.52	29.00	19.27	30.00	29.80	25.30	30.00	25.50
Wheat	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	15.00	10.00
Corn gluten	15.30	5.50	15.30	5.50	2.20	2.00	202.00	2.00
Oil	2.76	1.14	2.50	0.82	5.80	3.04	5.82	2.98
Limestone	1.40	0.88	1.42	0.88	1.41	0.95	1.41	0.95
Dicalcium phosphate	1.80	1.63	1.78	1.62	1.21	1.10	1.21	1.09
Salt	0.45	0.36	0.45	0.36	0.32	0.24	0.32	0.24
Vitamin mix[1]	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
Mineral mix[2]	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
Methionine	0.75	0.81	-	0.06	0.57	0.57	-	-
Lysine	0.30	-	0.27	-	-	-	-	-
Total	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
Calculated (ME kcal kg^{-1})	3200.00	2800.00	3200.00	2800.00	3200.00	2800.00	3200.00	2800.00
Crude protein	23.00	23.00	23.00	23.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00
Calcium	1.00	0.87	1.00	0.87	0.90	0.78	0.90	0.78
Available phosphorous	0.45	0.40	0.45	0.40	0.35	0.31	0.35	0.31
Methionine	1.20	1.20	0.45	0.45	0.90	0.90	0.33	0.33
Lysine	1.10	1.13	1.10	1.16	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Methionine+Cystein	0.82	0.81	0.83	0.83	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.75

[1]Vitamin mix provided the follwing (per kg of diet): Vitamin A: 7.2 g; Vitamin D: 7 g; Vitamin E: 14.4 g; Vitamin k_3: 1.6 g; Ribo flavine: 0.72 g; Pantothenic acid: 12 g; [2]Trace mineral mix provides the following (per kg of diet): Manganese ($MnSO_4.H_2O$), Zinc 64 g: (Zinc ZnO); 44 g; Iron ($FeSO_4.7H_2O$): 100 g; Copper ($CuSO_4.5H_2O$): 16 g; Iodine (Ethylene diamine dihydroiodide): 0.64 g; Cobalt: 0.2 g; Selenium (1%): 8 g

Table 2: Effect of different levels of methionine and energy on carcass characteristics of broiler chicken (21 days of age) (Percentage of *carcass weight)

		Traits					
Diets		Carcass	Breast	Thighs	Backside	Neck	Wing
Methionine							
1		75.3400[a]	17.7600[b]	19.8300[a]	15.7000[a]	3.8900[a]	7.1600[a]
2		74.0700[a]	18.2400[a]	19.7900[a]	15.2700[a]	4.0000[a]	7.2300[a]
p-value		0.3220	0.5023[a]	0.8435	0.5538	0.5815	0.7791
SEM[1]		0.8700	0.4900	0.1500	0.4200	0.1400	0.1400
Energy							
1		74.4800[a]	17.2700[a]	18.9400[b]	15.3800[a]	4.0600[a]	6.9700[b]
2		74.9500[a]	18. 720[a]	20.6800[a]	15.5700[a]	3.8300[a]	7.4300[a]
p-value		0.7051	0.0577[a]	0.0010	0.7226	0.2485	0.0456
SEM		0.8500	0.4900	0.1500	15.9600	0.1400	0.1400
P-interaction		0.1383	0.3398	0.0154	0.6201	0.0957	0.4679
Diets composition							
M	**E**						
1	1	76.0900[a]	17.3800[a]	19.2800[b]	15.3500[a]	3.8300[a]	7.0100[ab]
1	2	74.6100[a]	18.1400[a]	20.3900[a]	15.9100[a]	3.9500[a]	7.3000[ab]
2	1	72.8600[a]	17.1800[a]	18.6100[a]	15.3200[a]	4.2900[a]	6.9200[b]
2	2	75.2900[a]	19.3000[a]	20.9700[a]	15.2300[a]	3.7100[a]	7.5000[a]
p-value		0.3210	0.1744	0.0001	0.8468	0.2224	0.2026
SEM		1.2500	0.6900	0.2200	0.5600	0.1900	0.1800
CV%		4.8000	11.4000	5.8000	7.7000	8.2000	9.3000

Table 3: Effect of different levels of methionine and energy on carcass characteristics of broiler chicken (42 days of age) (Percentage of *carcass weight)

		Traits					
Diets		Carcass	Breast	Thighs	Backside	Neck	Wing
Methionine							
1		71.9900[b]	23.6400[a]	25.5000[a]	15.0000[a]	4.1000[a]	6.8900[a]
2		77.1400[a]	25.1700[a]	24.8400[a]	14.2100[a]	3.5800[a]	6.4800[a]
p-value		0.0376	0.3515	0.4374	0.1477	0.1548	0.1063
SEM[1]		1.5800	0.7200	0.9400	0.3800	0.2400	0.1700
Energy							
1		73.1200[a]	25.1500[a]	26.1000[a]	15.3100[a]	4.2300[a]	6.7800[a]
2		76.0100[a]	23.7500[a]	24.2800[a]	14.9300[a]	3.4500[b]	6.4900[a]
p-value		0.2149	0.0949	0.1256	0.3110	0.4380	0.1203
SEM		1.5600	0.7200	0.9400	0.5380	0.2400	0.1700
P-interaction		0.8738	0.0923	0.8610	0.8937	0.5399	0.7252
Diets composition							
M	**E**						
1	1	70.3800[a]	25.1500[a]	26.4400[a]	15.6200[a]	4.3800[a]	6.9500[a]
1	2	73.6200[a]	22.3200[a]	24.6900[a]	14.3700[a]	3.8200[a]	6.6600[a]
2	1	75.8800[a]	25.1600[a]	25.8100[a]	14.8700[a]	4.0700[a]	6.6400[a]
2	2	78.4100[a]	25.1700[a]	23.8800[a]	13.4700[a]	3.0800[a]	6.3300[a]
p-value		0.1187	0.1048	0.3978	0.0873	0.1010	0.1919
SEM		2.2000	1.000	1.3000	0.5300	0.3400	0.2400
CV%		5.7000	9.400	6.1000	7.7000	10.9000	9.4000

[a-c]Value in columns with no common superscript differ significantly ($p<0.05$). [1]Standard error of the mean. Higher level of each factor is represented with number 1 (Methionin and energy in starter and grower, respectively =1.2 and 0.9% and 3200 kcal kg^{-1}) and lower level is with number 2 (Energy in starter and grower, respectively = 0.45 and 0.33% and 2800 kcal kg^{-1})

between methionine levels in all measured parameters. Also, no significant differences were found between methionine x energy interactions in all measured parameters.

The results of carcass weights are in agreement with Kaur *et al.* (2008) who documented that addition of low levels of methionine induced carcass weights. Also, it is showed that the high levels of methionine increased carcass weights (Fancher and Jensen, 1989). This findings is opposite of current study. But Hickling *et al.* (1990) reported that addition of methionine had no effects on broiler carcass weights up to 3 weeks of age. Regarding this results it must be noted that levels of protein and other components of diets are important and can influence obtained results.

The results of breast weights are similar to Bunchasak *et al.* (1997) and Li and Li (1984) who show that various levels of methionine as well as energy had no effects on breast weights.

Moreover, Yalcin *et al.* (1999) concluded that methionine above NRC (1994) level had no effects on breast levels.

Table 4: Effect of different levels of methionine and energy on organelles traits of broiler chicken (21 days of age) (Percentage of *live weight)

		Traits				
Diets		Proventriculus	Pancreas	Liver	Heart	Abdominal fat
Methionine						
1		1.3100[a]	0.5500[a]	3.7300[a]	0.7600[a]	0.8400[a]
2		0.9400[a]	0.5400[a]	3.3700[b]	0.6900[b]	0.8300[a]
p-value		0.1952	0.7528	0.0090	0.0453	0.9444
SEM[1]		0.0400	0.0300	0.0500	0.0300	0.0700
Energy						
1		1.0300[a]	0.5600[a]	3.7000[a]	0.6800[b]	0.9600[a]
2		0.9300[a]	0.5300[a]	3.3900[b]	0.7700[a]	0.7200[a]
p-value		0.0676	0.4860	0.0026	0.2100	0.0284
SEM		0.0400	0.0300	0.0600	0.0200	0.0690
P-interaction		0.3582	0.5791	0.2214	0.4276	0.6273
Diets composition						
M	**E**					
1	1	1.0400[a]	0.5500[a]	3.8300[a]	0.7300[ab]	0.9900[a]
1	2	0.9800[ab]	0.5500[a]	3.6300[a]	0.7900[a]	0.7000[a]
2	1	1.0200[ab]	0.5700[a]	3.5800[a]	0.6300[b]	0.9400[a]
2	2	0.8700[b]	0.5100[a]	3.1600[b]	0.7400[a]	0.7400[a]
p-value		0.1318	0.8342	0.3370	0.0246	0.1466
SEM		0.0600	0.0500	0.0800	0.0300	0.0900
CV%		12.8000	19.6000	11.5000	11.000	7.3700

[a-c]Value in columns with no common superscript differ significantly ($p<0.05$). [1]Standard error of the mean. Higher level of each factor is represented with number 1 (Methionin and energy in starter and grower, respectively = 1.2 and 0.9% and 3200 kcal kg^{-1}) and lower level is with number 2 (Energy in starter and grower, respectively = 0.45 and 0.33% and 2800 kcal kg^{-1})

Saleh *et al.* (2004) reported that with increase of energy levels thighs percentage didn't affected. Also, Vieira *et al.* (2004) showed that sulfur amino acids usage had no effects on thighs weights. Si *et al.* (2004) noted that high levels of methionine affect thighs weights which are in agreement with this study at 21st days of age. It seems that the high levels of methionine (NRC) are useful to thighs weights.

It must be noted that little information is available regarding weights of carcass components (Backside, neck and wing weights). The backside, neck and wing are organelles that muscular of them is limited and not expected that energy and protein affect them. So, it may lead to no significant differences in this regards.

Organelles characteristics: The effect of dietary treatments on the organelles traits of broiler chickens at 21st and 42nd days of age are shown in Table 4 and 5, respectively. No significant differences were observed by methionine and energy levels between measured parameters (Proventriculus, pancreas and abdominal fat weights) at 21st days of age ($p>0.05$) but liver and heart significantly were affected. The interaction results were significants between heart and proventriculus weights at this age. Treatment with high level of methionine and low level of ME induced a significant increase in proventriculus weights at 21st day of age ($p<0.05$). Also, the high levels of methionine and ME caused a significant increase in liver weighs at 21st day of age ($p<0.05$). Except liver weights, other parameters (Proventriculus, pancreas, heart and abdominal fat weights) were not influence by methionine levels at 42nd days of age. Except abdominal fat weights, other parameters (Liver, proventriculus, pancreas, heart and weights) were not influence by energy levels at 42nd days of age. The interactions results showed that abdominal fat weights were influence by treatments but no significant differences were observed between other parameters in this regards.

Stas and Potter (1982) and Gordon and Sizer (1956) reported that addition of methionine to broiler diets lead to lower abdominal fat. It said that this phenomenon may be due to lipolisis activity of methionine. Generally, it appears that methionine levels above NRC (1994) case higher abdominal fats in broiler chickens. On the other hand, Fox *et al.* (2005) showed that the use of energy enriched diets cause increase abdominal fat which is in agreement with current study.

Diets effects on heart are agreement with Swain and Johri (2000) which showed that that levels of methionine and energy had no effects on heart. Because of limitation in available data regarding heart and proventriculus weights no properly expiation can done in this regards.

Danicke *et al.* (2001) observed no significant effects on pancreas with addition of various levels of methionine in diets. Furthermore, this finding was confirmed by Takahashi *et al.* (1997) which are in concurrence with this study. Vieira *et al.* (2004) noted that various levels of sulfur amino acids in broiler diets lead to higher liver weights. It must note that after amino acid absorption from intestine, body metabolism increase and level of liver

Table 5: Effect of different levels of methionine and energy on organelles traits of broiler chicken (42 days of age) (Percentage of *live weight)

		Traits				
Diets		Proventriculus	Pancreas	Liver	Heart	Abdominal fat
Methionine						
1		0.5500[a]	0.2600[a]	2.5200[a]	0.6800[a]	2.4100[a]
2		0.5800[a]	0.2700[a]	2.6900[b]	0.6100[a]	2.8300[a]
p-value		0.5162	0.4833	0.3099	0.5187	0.8731
SEM[1]		0.0400	0.0100	0.1100	0.0300	0.1200
Energy						
1		0.5900[a]	0.2700[a]	2.4700[a]	0.7600[a]	2.9600[a]
2		0.5300[a]	0.2600[a]	2.7300[a]	0.7300[a]	1.8200[b]
p-value		0.3225	0.4605	0.1274	0.5175	0.0001
SEM		0.0300	0.0100	0.1100	0.0200	0.1200
P-interaction		0.9147	0.1726	0.7093	0.5175	0.4599
Diets composition						
M	**E**					
1	1	0.5800[a]	0.2500[a]	2.3800[a]	0.7600[a]	2.9200[a]
1	2	0.5000[a]	0.2600[a]	2.6800[a]	0.7400[a]	1.9100[b]
2	1	0.6100[a]	0.2900[a]	2.5900[a]	0.7700[a]	3.0700[a]
2	2	0.5600[a]	0.2400[a]	2.7900[a]	0.7500[a]	1.7500[b]
p-value		0.6864	0.3889	0.3134	0.3980	0.0010
SEM		0.0450	0.0200	0.1500	0.0400	0.1800
CV%		14.6000	12.000	13.3000	10.000	15.9000

[a-c]Value in columns with no common superscript differ significantly ($p<0.05$). [1]Standard error of the mean. Higher level of each factor is represented with number 1 (Methionin and energy in starter and grower, respectively = 1.2 and 0.9% and 3200 kcal kg^{-1}) and lower level is with number 2 (and energy in starter and grower, respectively = 0.45 and 0.33% and 2800 kcal kg^{-1})

activity increase. This action can lead to higher liver activity and weights. On the other hand, Wiseman found that different levels of energy had no effects on liver weights.

CONCLUSION

The amino acid (Methionine) and metabolisable energy interactions differently alter carcass trait and visceral organs. These changes can attribute to their effects on energy and protein access in metabolism of body. Furthermore, the abdominal fat of broiler has a considerable reduction by methionine usage in diets and can use to production lean broiler. Further research is necessary to clear exact mechanism of these interactions on in carcass and visceral organelles.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Special thanks of Bu-Ali Sina University for providing facilities and financial support for this study. Researchers also wish to thank to the staff of the Department of Animal Science of this university for their excellent scientific collaboration.

REFERENCES

Bunchasak, C., U. Santoso, K. Tanaka, S. Ohtni and C.M. Collado, 1997. The effect of supplementing methionine plus cystine to a low-protein diet on the growth performance and fat accumulation of growing broiler chicks. Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci., 10: 185-191.

Chandra, R.K., 1991. Nutrition and immunity: Lessons from the past and new insights into the future. Am. J. Clin. Nutr., 53: 1087-1101.

Chen, F., S.L. Noll, P.E. Waibel and D.M. Hawkins, 1993. Effect of folate, vitamin B12 and choline supplementation on turkey breeder performance. Poult. Sci., 72: 73-73.

Danicke, S., I. Halle, E. Strobel, E. Franke and H. Jeroch, 2001. Effect of energy source and xylanase addition on energy metabolism, performance, chemical body composition and total body electrical conductivity of broilers. J. Anim. Physiol. Anim. Nutr., 85: 301-313.

Fancher, B. and L.S. Jensen, 1989. Influence of varying dietary protein content while satisfying essential amino acid requirements upon broiler performance from three to six weeks of age. Poult. Sci., 68: 113-123.

Flynnl, N.E., C.J. Meininger, T.E. Haynes and G. Wu, 2002. The metabolic basis of arginine nutrition and pharmacotherapy. Biomed. Pharmacother, 56: 427-438.

Fox, C.J., P.S. Hammerman and C.B. Thompson, 2005. Fuel feeds function: Energy metabolism and the T-cell response. Nat. Rev. Immunol., 5: 844-852.

Gordon, R.S. and I.W. Sizer, 1956. Conversion of methionine hydroxyl analogue to methionine in the chick. Poult. Sci., 44: 627-628.

Gorman, I. and D. Balnave, 1995. The effect of dietary lysine and methionine concentrations on the growth characteristics and breast meat yields of Australian broiler chickens. Aust. J. Agric. Res., 46: 1569-1577.

Grimble, R.F., 2006. The effects of sulfur amino acid intake on immune function in humans. J. Nutr., 136: 1660S-1665S.

Hickling, D., W. Geuenter and M.E. Jackson, 1990. The effects of dietary methionine and lysine on broiler chicken performance and breast meat yield. Can. J. Anim. Sci., 70: 673-678.

Hunton, H., 1995. Poultry Production. Onario, Canada, pp: 53-118.

Kaur, S., A.B. Mandal, K.B. Singh and M.M. Kadam, 2008. The response of Japanese quails (heavy body weight line) to dietary energy levels and graded essential amino acid levels on growth performance and immuno-competence. Livest. Sci., 117: 255-262.

Li, J. and L. Li, 1984. Metabolizable energy studies for poultry feeds: V. comparison of metabolizable energy of diet and wheat bran for chickens and waterfowls. J. Northeast Agric. Coll., 3: 91-95.

NRC, 1994. Nutrient Requirements of Poultry. 9th Edn., National Academy Press, Washington, DC., USA., ISBN-13: 9780309048927, Pages: 155.

Nadeem, M.A., A.H. Gilani and A.G. Khan, 1999. Assessment of dietary requirement of broiler chicks for available methionine during summer. Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci., 12: 772-775.

Nesheim, M.C. and R.E. Austic, 1990. Poultry Production. 13th Edn., Teagasc, New York, pp: 197-228, 3-53.

SAS., 1996. SAS/STAT Users Guide. Version 6.12, SAS Institute Inc., Cary NC., USA.

Saleh, E.A., S.E. Watkins, A.L. Waldroup and P.W. Waldroup, 2004. Comparison of energy feeding programs and early feed restriction on live performance and carcass quality of large broilers grown for further processing at 9 to 12 weeks of age. Int. J. Poult. Sci., 3: 61-69.

Schutte, J.B. and M. Pack, 1995. Effects of dietary sulphur-containing amino acids on performance and breast meat deposition of broiler chicks during the growing and finishing phases. Br. Poult. Sci., 36: 747-762.

Si, J., J.H. Kersey, C.A. Fritts and P.W. Waldroup, 2004. An evaluation of the interaction of lysine and methionine in diets for growing broilers. Int. J. Poult. Sci., 3: 51-60.

Stas, R.J. and L.M. Potter, 1982. Defficient AA in a 22% protein corn-soyben meal diet for young turkeys. Poult. Sci., 61: 933-938.

Swain, B.K. and T.S. Johri, 2000. Effect of supplemental methionine, choline and their combinations on the performance and immune response of broilers. Br. Poult. Sci., 41: 83-88.

Takahashi, K., N. Orta and Y. Akiba, 1997. Influence of dietary methionine and cystine on metabolic responses to immunological stress by *Escherichia coli* lipopolysaccharide injection and mitogenic response in broiler chickens. Br. J. Nutr., 78: 815-821.

Vieira, S.L., A. Lemme, D.B. Goldenberg and I. Brugalli, 2004. Responses of growing broilers to diets with increased sulfur amino acids to lysine ratios at two dietary protein levels. Poult. Sci., 83: 1307-1313.

Wallis, I.R., 1999. Dietary supplements of methionine increase breast meat yield and decrease abdominal fat in growing broiler chickens. Aust. J. Exp. Agric., 39: 131-141.

Wu, G. and C.J. Meininger, 2002. Regulation of nitric oxide synthesis by dietary factors. Annu. Rev. Nutr., 22: 61-86.

Yalcin, S., S. Ozkan, Z. Acikgoz and K. Ozkan, 1999. Effect of dietary methionine on performance, carcass characteristics and breast meat composition of heterozygous naked neck (Na/na+) birds under spring and summer conditions. Br. Poult. Sci., 40: 668-694.