ISSN: 1680-5593

© Medwell Journals, 2010

# The Suitable for Aquaculture Water Source Research and Water Quality Parameters in the Some Cities in the Gap Regions

<sup>1</sup>Mesut Ural, <sup>2</sup>Erdem Memisoglu and <sup>2</sup>G. Nedim Ornekci <sup>1</sup>Faculty of Fisheries, Tunceli University, 62100 Tunceli, Turkey <sup>2</sup>Agriculture Ministery, Aquaculture Research Institute, 23100 Elazig, Turkey

**Abstract:** In the culturel production aquaculture, first physical and chemical have suitable for flow owner a water source and ecological factor minimal level effection an appropriate to occupy a place. In this research Adiyaman, Diyarbakir, Gaziantep, Kilis and Sanliurfa cities were 25 L/sn and have more flow stream, river and source water for aquaculture production water source and in order to production appropriate to occupt a place.

**Key words:** GAP region, water sources, water quality parameters, aquaculture, appropriate place, appropriate to occupt

### INTRODUCTION

Humanbeings look for new sources of food because world population is ever increasing. Fish and other aquatic products are among these food sources because of the proteins they contain. In order to make use of natural resources in the best possible way today, new detailed were needed and basic information to be obtained from researches about these resources (Yuksel and Celayir, 2010).

The idea of consuming aquatic products of course, brings with it the idea of breeding them. Water and soil qualities and other environmental characteristics play an important role especially at the stage of planning in culture fish breeding. Because a well-planned and well-run fish breeding farm encourages the establishment of other farms. For this reason, it is essential that the water source qualities and the characteristics of the place the fish breeding facility is intended to be built are investigated thoroughly (Atay, 1990; Celikkkale, 1994; Ekingen, 1983; Kurum *et al.*, 1998).

The waters used in aquaculture are the most suitable ones of springs, lakes and underground waters. Spring waters usually are poor in oxygen and contain harmful gases as well. They don't carry parasites and pathogens and aren't contaminated by rain water and floods. Although, the oxygen content of streams are high, they may contain residues of agricultural pesticides if they carry flood waters. If streams are to be used in breeding, the water course from the spring upto the breeding facility must be examined closely. It must be known if contaminant water from residences or factories are mixed with it. Besides, the flowrates of the stream at its highest and lowest throughout the year and how long it flows

turbid must be known. The structural characteristics of the landscape the stream is flowing through, the conditions of the secondary streams merging into it, the physical and chemical features of the water and the food content of the water are also very important (Akyurt, 1979; Alpbaz and Hossucu, 1996; Claude, 1982; Celikkale, 1994; Ozdemir and Sunlu, 1996; Svobodova *et al.*, 1993; Tuncay, 1994; Yaramaz, 1992).

The place of the breeding facility must be chosen very carefully. If a fish breeding facility is to be built, it either must have enough water resources to meet the demands or it must be built close to a water source. It must include natural or man made dikes or drainage channels against floods. The grounds must be large enough and mustn't be exposed to the winds. There must be no big rocks, stones and tree stubs. There must be a natural slope on the grounds which facilitates water's flow towards the facilities. The facilities must be in a place which makes its transportation to markets easy and cheap (Atay, 1990; Celikkkale, 1994; Ekingen, 1983; Kurum *et al.*, 1998).

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The research was conducted in the form of determining the water sources (brooks, streams, rivers and spring waters) with 25 L/sn and higher flowrates in Adiyaman, Diyarbakir, Gaziantep, Kilis and Sanliurfa and their villages and counties. Detailed information were obtained from the government institutions which had done researches about water resources in these places, this information was written down and field studies were planned. In the field studies and technical surveys, the physical and chemical features (turbidity, colour, water

Table 1: The suitable for aquaculture water sources and measured some water quality value in the Adiyaman city

												Carbon	
	Name of	Flow	Water			Hardness	_			Free		dioxide	Ammonia
City	water	(L/sn)	heat (°C)	TT	Conductivite	CaCO <sub>3</sub> (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	Iron $(mg L^{-1})$	Nitrite (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	Nitrate (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	Oxygen	Chlorin (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	CO <sub>2</sub>	NH <sub>3</sub>
Adiyaman	source	Mak-Min		pН	(mV)					(mg L <sup>-1</sup> )		(mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	(mg L <sup>-1</sup> )
Celikhan	Zebran	300-500	10.3	7.8	206	8	0	0	2	11.5	0.01	0.5	0.0
center	fount			- 0	20.5								
Celikhan	Hapseri	500-1000	10.3	7.8	206	8	0	0	2	11.5	0.01	0.5	0.0
center	source						_						
Gerger	Hizori	100-150	11.4	7.4	226	10	0	0.1	2	9.3	0.01	0.5	0.0
Su Tape	fount												
village						_	_		_				
Gerger	Horrik	30-50	10.4	7.9	195	9	0	0.1	5	8.5	0.01	0.7	0.0
Gurdalli	fount												
village													
Gerger	Kirkgoze	200+250	12	7.9	210	8	0	0	9.3	11.4	0.01	0.5	0.0
Gurdulli	source												
village													
Gerger	Omeraga	70-100	12	7.9	220	11	0	0.1	8	8.5	0.02	0.6	0.0
Kutuklu	fount												
village													
Kahta	Degirmenbasi	250-350	12.1	8.1	196	6	0	0.1	1.0	10.8	0.02	0.5	0.0
Kocahisar	source												
Kahta	Kahta	1500-3000	10.9	8.2	229	80	0.1	0.1	6	10.8	0.02	0.7	0.0
Eski Kahta	stream												
Kahta	Kalburcu	1000-1500	13.5	8.4	345	81	0	0.1	8	11.5	0.19	0.2	0.1
center	stream												
Besni	Tavas	500-700	15	7.1	411	19	0	0	8	12	0.02	0.7	0.0
Asagi	source												
Sogutlu													
Besni	Sugozu	4000-5000	15.5	7.2	390	66	0	0.2	20	11.6	0.03	0.9	0.0
Eski	source												
village													
Besni	Kargali	150-200	17.2	7.3	257	8	0	0.1	5	7.8	0.02	0.5	0.0
Kargali	fount												
village													
Adyaman	Gurlevik	200-250	8.3	7.6	173	112	0	0	18	11.5	0.02	0.4	0.0
center	source												
Adiyaman	Ziyaret	750-1000	12.7	8.3	330	9	0	0.1	15	10.8	0.16	0.9	0.2
center	stream												

Table 2: The suitable for aquaculture water sources and measured some water quality value in the Gaziantep city

City Gazi antep	Name of water source	Flow (L/sn) Mak-Min	Water heat (°C)	pН	Conductivite (mV)	Hardness CaCO <sub>3</sub> (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	Iron (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	Nitrite (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	Nitrate (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	Free Oxygen (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	Chlorin (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	Carbon dioxide CO <sub>2</sub> (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	Ammonia NH <sub>3</sub> (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )
Yavuzeli	Halilbas	30-50	18.1	7.3	470	67	0.03	0.2	13	5.9	0.01	0.5	0.01
Saribugday	fount												
Village													
Yavuzeli	Kirkgoz	100-150	20.6	7.1	517	33	0.01	0	7	6.8	0.02	0.5	0.01
Kuzuyatagi	source												
Yavuzeli													
Kuzuyatagi	Merzimen	300-500	20.7	7.3	504	21	1.04	0.1	15	6.8	0.01	0.5	0.02
	stream												
Yavuzeli													
Cimenli	Karapinar	300-450	19.1	7.4	451	20	0.09	0	10	5.1	0.02	0.6	0.01
	source												
Araban													
Gecehoyuk	Karasu	400-500	23.8	6.7	440	37	0.05	0.1	11	7.1	0.0	0.7	0.01
	stream												
Araban	Sitma	75-100	20.2	6.5	482	32	0.06	0.1	8.8	6.4	0.10	0.7	0.01
Gumuspinar	fount	400 500	24	0.4	440	26	0.05	0.00	0	6.0	0.01	0.0	0.01
Araban Center	Ardil stream	400-500	24	8.4	448	36	0.05	0.09	9	6.8	0.01	0.2	0.01
Oguzeli	Hoyuk	40-50	17.4	7.4	585	16	0.23	0.06	12	7.4	0.16	0.5	0.2
Asmacik	fount	40-30	17.4	7.4	363	10	0.23	0.00	12	7.4	0.10	0.5	0.2
Oguzeli	Karpuzatan	350-450	17.0	7.2	616	17	0.01	0.1	12	7.3	0.02	0.5	0.1
Karpuzatan	source	330-430	17.0	1.2	010	1)	0.01	V.1	12	,	0.02	0.5	V.1
Oguzeli	Kirkgoz	90-150	17.6	7.3	440	10	0.01	0.1	11	7.1	0.01	0.4	0.1

T-1-1	- 2.	Continu	

City Gaziantep	Name of water source	Flow (L/sn) Mak-Min	Water heat (°C)	pН	Conductivite (mV)	Hardness CaCO <sub>3</sub> (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	Iron (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	Nitrite (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	Nitrate (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	Free Oxygen (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	Chlorin (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	Carbon dioxide CO <sub>2</sub> (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	Ammonia NH <sub>3</sub> (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )
Sazgin	source												
Oguzeli Sutlice	Aynafar sources	400-500	17.1	7.3	450	18	0.02	0.1	9	6.2	0.01	0.7	0.1
Oguzeli	Tüzel	200-250	17.4	7.8	448	20	0.23	0.2	10	7.1	0.01	0.7	0.2
Tuzel	stream												
Nizip	Keret	50-70	17.2	7.3	545	16	0.20	0.09	12	5.5	0.11	0.7	0.1
Adakli	sources												
Islahiye	Gozbasi	100-150	14.5	7.3	425	20	0.04	0.08	10	7.2	0.13	0.7	0.1
Center	source												
Islahiye	Kayabasi	100-150	13.5	7.1	194	14	80.0	0.1	0	7.3	0.10	0.2	0.1
Kayabasi	source												
Islahiye	Bordelik	40-70	12.8	7.5	192	30	0.05	0.1	2	0.8	0.2	0.1	0.1
Kayabasi	source												
Nurdagi	Kakurt												
Hisar	gozu source	25-30	15.8	7.1	486	20	0.1	0.1	10	7.5	0.1	0.6	0.1

	Name of	Flow	Water			Hardness				Free		Carbon dioxide	Ammonia
City	water	(L/sn)	heat		Conductivite	CaCO <sub>3</sub>	Iron	Nitrite	Nitrate	Oxygen	Clorur	CO <sub>2</sub>	NH <sub>3</sub>
kilis	source	Mak-Min	(°C)	pН	(mV)	$(\text{mg L}^{-1})$	$({ m mg}\ { m L}^{-1})$	$(\text{mg L}^{-1})$	$(\text{mg L}^{-1})$	$(\text{mg L}^{-1})$	$({ m mg}\ { m L}^{-1})$	$({ m mg}\ { m L}^{-1})$	$(\text{mg L}^{-1})$
Musabeyli	Afrin	350-500	16.7	8.3	540	35	0.1	0.1	3.5	4.0	0.15	0.1	0.1
Asagi	Cayi												
kalecik													
Musabeyli	Sabun	70-100	19	8.6	720	75	0.09	0.1	25	3.8	0.06	0.7	0.1
Gunesli	suyu												
	deresi												
Merkez	Balik	30-45	20.3	8.1	591	74	0.2	0.1	23	5.5	0.2	0.1	0.1
Kazikli	suyu												
Merkez	Sunnep	30-40	20.7	8.1	620	80	0.2	0.1	26	5.5	0.2	0.1	0.2
Kucukkonak	suyu												

Table 4: The suitable for aquacultur water sources and measured somr water quality value in the City

	_											Carbon	
	Name of	Flow	Water			Hardness				Free		dioxide	Ammonia
City	water	(L/sn)	heat		Conductivite	$CaCO_3$	Iron	Nitrite	Nitrate	Oxygen	Clorur	$CO_2$	$NH_3$
Sanliurfa	source	Mak-Min	(°C)	pН	(mV)	$(mg L^{-1})$	$(\text{mg L}^{-1})$	$(\text{mg L}^{-1})$	$(\text{mg L}^{-1})$	$(\text{mg L}^{-1})$	$(mg L^{-1})$	$(\text{mg L}^{-1})$	$(\text{mg L}^{-1})$
Hilvan	Golebakan	100-170	18,1	7.7	370	37	0.04	0.1	10.5	6.9	0.08	0.4	0.1
Golebakan	sources												
Siverek	Gulluce	30-55	16.3	7.3	250	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.8	0.01	0.3	0.0
Gulluce	sources												
Siverek	Sampinar	15-25	18.3	7.8	375	60	0.04	0.2	15	6.9	0.01	0.5	0.01
Center	sources												
Siverek	Yalankoz	15-25	18.1	7.8	147	22	0.02	0.2	15	6.9	0.01	0.3	0.01
Yalankoz	source												
Bozova	Kucukgol	35-50	26	8.2	190	10	0.02	0.02	15	6.4	0.01	0.6	0.01
center	sources												
Bozova	Büyükgöl	45-70	25	7.9	220	25	0.04	0.2	25	6.1	0.02	0.7	0.01
center	sources												
Halfeti	Degirmendere	15-25	24	8.3	381	7	0.04	0.2	17.2	5.9	0.01	0.2	0.01
center	sources												
Halfeti	Fistikozu	15-25	25	7.6	400	25	0.02	0.2	18	6.9	0.01	0.5	0.01
Fistikozu	source												
Harran	Tahilalan	15-25	24	8.5	385	20	0.04	0.08	25	5.8	0.01	0.6	0.02
Tahil alan	source												

Table 5: The suitable for aquacuture water sources and measured some water quality value in the Diyarbakir city

												Carbon	
	Name of	Flow	Water			Hardness				Free		dioxide	Ammonia
City	water	(L/sn)	heat		Conductivite	CaCO₃	Iron	Nitrite	Nitrate	Oxygen	Cl orur	$CO_2$	$NH_3$
Diyarbakir	source	Mak-Min	(°C)	pН	(mV)	$(mg L^{-1})$	$(\text{mg L}^{-1})$	$(\text{mg L}^{-1})$	$(\text{mg L}^{-1})$	$(mg L^{-1})$	$(\text{mg L}^{-1})$	$(\text{mg L}^{-1})$	$(\text{mg L}^{-1})$
Çinar	Yarimkas	30-50	17.1	7.6	150	53	0.04	0.1	9.7	6.8	0.01	0.2	0.01
Yarimkas	sources												
Çinar	Bas Madrap	40-60	17.1	7.6	170	27	0.04	0.0	13.5	6.9	80.0	0.3	0.01
Yarimkas	sources												

Table 5: L Continued

												Carbon	
	Name of	Flow	Water			Hardness				Free		dioxide	Ammonia
City	water	(L/sn)	heat		Conductivite	CaCO <sub>3</sub>	Iron	Nitrite	Nitrate	Oxygen	Clorur	$CO_2$	$NH_3$
Diyarbakir	source	Mak-Min	(°C)	pН	(mV)	$(\text{mg L}^{-1})$	$(mg L^{-1})$	(mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	$(\text{mg L}^{-1})$	$(\text{mg L}^{-1})$	$(mg L^{-1})$	$(\text{mg L}^{-1})$	$(\text{mg L}^{-1})$
Çinar	Bellitas	50-70	10.1	7.3	130	67	0.0	0.0	7.6	7.8	0.02	0.2	0.01
Bellitas	sources												
Center	Pamukçay	150-200	22	0.8	250	87	0.05	0.1	30	6.5	0.01	0.6	0.02
Center	Ambarçayi	50-70	24	0.8	375	80	0.04	0.2	25.7	5.7	0.02	0.8	0.02
Hazro	Cesmebasi												
Yazkoyu	source	100-150	17	7.1	147	22	0.02	0.0	15	6.8	0.01	0.3	0.01
Silvan	Basdegirmen	30-50	18.1	7.3	170	27	0.02	0.0	15	6.4	0.01	0.6	0.01
Basdegirmen	source												
Silvan	Belbaki	15-25	20	7.8	189	55	0.11	0.11	35	6.1	0.02	0.7	0.01
Çatak köprü	source												
Çermik	Seyhan	100-150	19	8.2	381	83	0.04	0.1	25	5.9	0.02	0.7	0.02
Center	stream												
Çermik	Sinek	50-70	24	8.1	170	15	0.03	0.2	10	5.2	0.02	0.5	0.01
Center	stream												
Çermik	Gözebasi	30-50	17	7.3	155	20	0.04	0.1	15	7.2	0.03	0.4	0.01
Center	source												
Dicle	Büyükçesme	250-300	10	7.3	110	20	0.02	0.0	0.0	8.2	0.0	0.2	0.01
Degirmen	sources												
Hani	Aynkebir	50-75	15	7.3	110	81	0.02	0.0	0.0	7.7	0.02	0.2	0.01
Aynkebir	sources												
Hani	Seren	35-50	17	7.2	110	120	0.01	0.0	7.0	7.4	0.0	0.2	0.01
Seren	sources												
Hani	Koki	55-70	16	7.3	150	15	0.02	0.0	0.0	7.7	0.01	0.2	0.1
Kirimli	sources												
Hani	Balçakli	50-75	20	8.1	210	44	0.02	0.1	15	6.1	0.0	0.4	0.1
Yukari	stream												
Turali													
Ergani	Bogaz	35-50	18.1	7.9	215	100	0.02	0.1	25	6.5	0.02	0.5	0.1
Yolköprü	stream												

heat, pH, conductivity, hardness, nitrite, nitrate, ammonia, iron, oxygen, carbondioxide and free chlorine concentration values) were examined. Turbidity, colour and smell were sensory evaluated; water heat was measured with a digital thermometre; pH, conductivity and oxygen was measured with a Hanna digital indicator and chemical measurements (hardness, nitrite, nitrate, ammonia, iron, free chlorine, carbondioxide) were done with a Hanna-C 200 field photometer and a DR-2010 field spectrophotometer by using water analysis criteria (APHA, 1985).

The physical and chemical values and water analyses data sheets of the water resources in the cities where this study was conducted are shown in Table 1-5.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

It was determined that 13 out of 19 water sources in Adiyaman city were suitable for culture fish breeding according to the results of field surveys and technical examinations (Table 1). It was determined that 17 out of 19 water sources in Gaziantep city might be suitable for culture fish breeding and 3 of them might be risky because of the contamination by waste water from nearby residences and agricultural fields and the results are shown in Table 2.

It was determined that 2 out of 4 water sources in Kilis city might be suitable for culture fish breeding and the remaining 2 might be risky because of the contamination by waste water from both nearby residences and agricultural fields and because their flowrates in summer months drop drastically leading even to total drying-up (Table 3).

It was determined that 6 out of 11 water sources in Sanliurfa city might be suitable for culture fish breeding and the remaining 5 were not suitable since their flowrates were considerably low (Table 4).

It was determined that 13 out of 17 water sources in Diyarbakir city might be suitable for culture fish breeding and the remaining 4 might be risky because of the contamination by waste water from both nearby residences and agricultural fields and because their flowrates in summer months drop drastically leading even to total drying-up (Table 5).

### CONCLUSION

Direct results which were obtained as a result of the research we did at the water resources in the cities where the research was conducted and literature scan were provided since no studies had been done on these water resources.

#### REFERENCES

- APHA, 1985. Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Waste Water. 16th Edn., VCH Publishers Inc., New York.
- Akyurt, I., 1979. Water Quality on the Fisheries Growth. Division of Fisheries of Agriculture Faculty University of the Atatürk, Erzurum.
- Alpbaz, A. and H. Hossucu, 1996. Field Fisheries and Practical Carp Growth. Fisheries High School, University of the Agean, Printery of the Agean University, Bornova, Izmir, pp. 1-13.
- Atay, D., 1990. Fish Productions. Agriculture Ministery, Fisheries Research Enstitute of the Egirdir Press, Egirdir, Isparta, pp. 304.
- Celikkale, M., 1994. Freshwater Fishs and Growth. Marine Science Faculty of Sürmene. 2nd Edn., Tecnical University of Blacksea Printery, Trabzon, pp. 420.
- Claude, E.B., 1982. Water Quality Management for Fish Pond: Fish Culture. Elsevier Scientific Publishing Company, Amsterdam, Oxford, New York, pp. 318.

- Ekingen, G., 1983. Aquaculture and Fisheries. University of Firat Press of the Medicine Faculty, University of Firat Press of the Medicine Faculty, Elazig.
- Kurum, V., Y. Emre and M. Bayrak, 1998. Trout Growth. Aqriculture Ministery, Aqricultural Research General Directorate, Ankara.
- Ozdemir, E. and V. Sunlu, 1996. Water Quality. Fisheries Faculty University of Agean, University of the Agean Printery, Bornova, Izmir, pp. 147.
- Svobodová, Z., R. Lioyd, J. Máchová, B. Vykusová and FAO., 1993. Water Quality and Fish Health. EIFAC Technical Paper No. 54. Food and Agriculture Organization, Rome, ISBN: 0532-940X, pp. 59.
- Tuncay, H., 1994. Water Quality: Agriculture Faculty. University of Agean Press, Izmir, pp. 243.
- Yaramaz, Ö., 1992. Water Quality: Aquaculture Academy University of Agean. Printery of the Agean University, Izmir, pp: 91.
- Yuksel, F. and Y. Celayir, 2010. A research on the fish production and catching efficiency in the Keban Dam Lake. J. Anim. Vet. Adv., 9: 741-747.