

Supplementation of Yeast Fermented Cassava Chip as a Replacement Concentrate on Rumen Fermentation Efficiency and Digestibility of Nutrients in Heifer

¹Sittisak Khampa, ¹Pala Chaowarat, ²Rungson Singhalert, ³Ruangyote Pilajun and ³Metha Wanapat

¹Faculty of Agricultural Technology, ²Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences,

Rajabhat Mahasarakham University, Maha Sarakham, 44000, Thailand

³Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Agriculture,

Tropical Feed Resources Research and Development Center,

Khon Kaen University, Khon Kaen, 40002, Thailand

Abstract: Ten, 1 year old of dairy heifers with initial body weight of 150±10 kg were randomly divided into 2 groups and received concentrate at 14% CP (T₁) and Yeast Fermented Cassava Chip (YFCC) (T₂). The cows were offered the treatment concentrate at 1% BW and urea-treated rice straw was fed *ad libitum*. Means were compared using t-test. All animals were kept in individual pens and received free access to water. The results have revealed that replacement of YFCC on feed intake was non-significantly different, while Average Daily Gain (ADG) and digestibility of nutrients were higher (p<0.05) in heifer fed YFCC (T₂) treatments than received concentrate at 14% CP (T₁) (254.3 and 219.4 g day⁻¹). In addition, the ruminal pH, ammonia-nitrogen and blood urea nitrogen concentration were significantly different (p<0.05). The concentration of volatile fatty acid was significantly different especially the concentration of propionic acid was slightly higher in heifer receiving T₂ than T₁. Supplementation of YFCC (T₂) could improve population of bacteria and fungal zoospore, but decreased populations of *Holotrich* and *Entodiniomorph* protozoa in rumen (p<0.05). The results indicate that supplementation of Yeast Fermented Cassava Chip (YFCC) as a replacement concentrate at 14% CP could improve rumen fermentation efficiency and digestibility of nutrients in dairy heifers.

Key words: *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, cassava chip, rumen fermentation, heifer, Yeast, digestibility

INTRODUCTION

Cassava (*Manihot esculenta*, Crantz) production in tropical areas has a potential use in ruminant livestock nutrition and feeding. Cassava root contains high levels of energy and has been used as a source of readily fermentable energy in ruminant rations (Wanapat, 2003). One strategy for using high degradable carbohydrates is to use in combination with readily available NPN sources such as urea. Urea is commonly used as N source when highly soluble carbohydrates are fed and maintained (Wohlt *et al.*, 1978). However, efficient utilization of protein and Non-Protein Nitrogen (NPN) in ruminants depends upon knowledge of the basic principles underlying ruminal microbial N metabolism (Fernandez *et al.*, 1997). Moreover, ruminal pH has great impact on rumen fermentation efficiency (Wanapat, 2003). In addition, supplementing diets with yeast (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*) increases milk production of dairy cows and weight gain of growing cattle (Brossard *et al.*, 2006). Production responses attributed to

yeast are usually related to stimulation of cellulolytic and lactate-utilizing bacteria in the rumen, increased fiber digestion and increased flow of microbial protein from the rumen, which may be beneficial for feedlot cattle fed high-grain diets (Guedes *et al.*, 2007).

However, the use of yeast fermenting cassava as a replacement for concentrate not yet been investigated. Therefore, the objective of this experiment was to investigate the supplementation of yeast fermenting cassava with urea-treated rice straw as a basal roughage on rumen fermentation efficiency and growth in dairy heifers.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Preparation of Yeast Fermented Cassava Chip (YFCC): This technique is based on the method developed by Oboh (2006) and Boonnop *et al.* (2008), which enriching nutritive value of cassava chip with yeast (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*) fermentation. The method for synthesis of YFCC is as follows:

- Weigh 20 g of yeast into a flask and add with sugar 20 g and distill water 100 mL then mixed and incubated at room temperature for 1 h (A)
- Preparation of medium by weigh 20 g of molasses directly into a warring blender vessel flushed with O₂, add distill water 100 mL and urea 48 g then pour solution and incubated at room temperature for 10 min (B)
- Adjusting pH media solution by 70% H₂SO₄ between 3.5-0.7 and continue mix with incubated for 1 h
- Remove yeast media solution in a flask from (A) into a medium (B) and continue flush O₂ for 60 h
- After 60 h, then transfer yeast media solution 50 mL mix with cassava chip 100 g and then covered by plastic bag for a minimum of 72 h
- Drying of Yeast Fermented Cassava Chip (YFCC) at 30°C for 24 h before feeding to animals

Animals, diets and experimental design: Ten, 1 year old of dairy heifers weighing about 150±10 kg were randomly divided into 2 groups according to receive 2 groups of supplemental feeds by receiving concentrate at 14% CP (T₁) and Yeast Fermented Cassava Chip (YFCC) (T₂). The composition of dietary treatments and Urea Treated rice Straw (UTS) used are shown in Table 1 and 2.

Cows were housed in individual pens and individually fed concentrate at 1% BW. All cows were fed *ad libitum* of UTS with water and a mineral-salt block. Feed intake of concentrate and roughage were measured separately and refusals recorded. The experiment was run for 90 days, the 1st 15 days for treatment adaptation and for feed intake measurements whilst the last 7 days were for sample collections of faeces, urine and rumen fluid. Body weights were measured each 30 days during the sampling period prior to feeding.

UTS was prepared by using 5% (w w⁻¹) urea mixed with 100 kg of water in 100 kg of Rice Straw (RS) batches (50:50, water to straw) and poured over a stack of straw and then covered with a plastic sheet for a minimum of 10 days before feeding to animals (Wanapat, 1990).

Data collection and sampling procedures: UTS and concentrate diets were sampled each 30 days and were composted by period prior to analyses. Feed, fecal and urine samples were collected by rectal sampling whilst urine samples were collected by spot sampling during the last 7 days of each period. Composites samples were dried at 60°C and ground (1 mm screen using Cyclotech Mill, Tecator, Sweden) and then analyzed for DM, ether extract, ash and CP content (AOAC, 1990), NDF, ADF and

Table 1: Ingredients of concentrate used in the experiment (DM% basis)

| Ingredients (DM%) | Concentrate |
|-------------------|-------------|
| Cassava chip | 65.0 |
| Fine rice bran | 5.0 |
| Brewer's grain | 15.0 |
| Palm meal | 5.5 |
| Urea | 2.5 |
| Molasses | 5.0 |
| Sulfur | 0.5 |
| Salt | 0.5 |
| Mineral mix | 1.0 |

Table 2: Chemical composition of concentrate, Yeast Fermented Cassava Chip (YFCC) and Urea-Treated rice Straw (UTS)

| Analyzed composition (%) | Concentrate | YFCC | UTS |
|--------------------------------|-------------|-------|-------|
| DM | 91.50 | 89.10 | 55.80 |
| OM | 90.30 | 89.50 | 88.90 |
| CP | 14.80 | 29.10 | 7.90 |
| TDN ¹ | 78.10 | 78.90 | 55.10 |
| NDF | 25.70 | 17.50 | 73.20 |
| ADF | 14.60 | 6.10 | 52.30 |
| ME (Mcal kg ⁻¹) | 3.10 | 3.30 | 1.90 |
| Price (US\$ kg ⁻¹) | 0.28 | 0.23 | 0.05 |

¹TDN = Dig CP + Dig CF + dig EE × 2.25 + dig NFE

ADL (Van Soest *et al.*, 1991) and AIA. AIA was used to estimate digestibility of nutrients (Van Keulen and Young, 1977).

Rumen fluid and blood samples were collected at 0, 2 and 4 h post-feeding on last period. Approximately 200 mL of rumen fluid was taken from the middle part of the rumen by a stomach tube connected with a vacuum pump at each time at the end of each period. Rumen fluid was immediately measured for pH and temperature using (HANNA instruments HI 8424 microcomputer) after withdrawal. Rumen fluid samples were then filtered through 4 layers of cheesecloth. Samples were divided into 2 portions. One portion was used for NH₃-N analyses where 5 mL of H₂SO₄ solution (1 M) was added to 50 mL of rumen fluid. The mixture was centrifuged at 5,000 g for 15 min and the supernatant stored at -20°C prior to NH₃-N analysis using the micro Kjeldahl methods (AOAC, 1990) and Volatile Fatty Acids (VFAs) analyses using a HPLC according to Zinn and Owen (1986). Another portion was fixed with 10% formalin solution in normal saline (Galyean, 1989).

The total count of bacteria, protozoa and fungal zoospores were made using the methods of Galyean (1989) based on the use of a haematocytometer (Boeco). A blood sample (about 10 mL) was drawn from the jugular vein at the same time as rumen fluid sampling, separated by centrifugation at 5,000 g for 10 min and stored at -20°C until analysis of Blood Urea Nitrogen (BUN) according to the method of Crocker (1967).

Statistical analysis: The means of each parameter measured in the digestibility studies and internal parasitic

egg counts were analyzed by the analysis of variance procedure of SAS (1998) and means were compared using t-test.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Chemical composition of feeds: The chemical compositions of concentrate diets (T₁), Yeast Fermented Cassava Chip (YFCC) (T₂) and Urea-Treated rice Straw (UTS) fed in dairy heifer are shown in Table 2. Crude proteins of concentrate, YFCC and UTS were at 14.8, 29.1 and 7.9%, respectively. Diets containing high levels of cassava chip based diets had a slightly higher Non-Structural Carbohydrate (NSC) and lower NDF due to increased level of cassava chip in the diets. Furthermore, the chemical composition of UTS is presented in Table 2. Similar values for UTS have been similar to those reported by Wanapat (2000).

Effect on feed intake and digestibility of nutrients: The effects of supplementation of YFCC as replacement concentrate on feed-intake and digestibility of nutrients in heifer are presented in Table 3. The total feed intake were non-significantly different among treatments and was higher in cattles receiving T₂ than T₁ (2.8 and 2.7% BW). This result was in agreement with earlier research by Sommart *et al.* (2000) and Khampa *et al.* (2006), which reported that inclusion of cassava chip in diets resulted in satisfactory animal performance and had no negative effects on animal health in finishing beef cattle and lactating dairy cows.

Apparent digestibility of DM, OM, CP, NDF and ADF were non-significant different (p<0.05) for all diets, however digestible of nutrient intake tended to be higher in heifer fed YFCC (T₂) than T₁. The slightly lower NDF digestibility of the cassava-based diets may have contributed to higher degradation in substantial decrease in fiber digestibility as reported by Hoover (1986). Furthermore, in the experiment by Erdman (1998) reported that the sources of starch influence the rate of NDF digestion differently at pH 6.8 than 5.5. In addition, when ruminal pH was reduced below 6.3 in dairy cows, ADF digestion could be decreased at 3.6% unit per 0.1 pH and may result in depressed feed-intake.

Characteristics of ruminal fermentation and blood metabolism: Ruminal ecology parameters were measured for pH, NH₃-N and VFA (Table 4). In addition, BUN was determined to investigate their relationships with rumen NH₃-N and protein utilization. Ruminal pH at 0, 2 and 4 h post-feeding was changed by dietary treatments,

Table 3: Effects of supplementation of Yeast Fermented Cassava Chip (YFCC) as a replacement concentrate on feed intake, digestibility of nutrients and Average Daily Gain (ADG) in dairy heifers

| Item | T ₁ | T ₂ | p-value |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------------|
| DM intake (BW%) | | | |
| Concentrate | 1.00 | - | - |
| YFCC | - | 1.00 | - |
| UTS | 1.70 | 1.80 | 0.0762 ^{ns} |
| Total | 2.70 | 2.80 | 0.0645 ^{ns} |
| Apparent digestibility (%) | | | |
| DM | 66.30 | 67.40 | 0.421 ^{ns} |
| OM | 69.60 | 70.10 | 0.287 ^{ns} |
| CP | 75.10 | 76.40 | 0.326 ^{ns} |
| NDF | 63.20 | 64.60 | 0.742 ^{ns} |
| ADF | 42.40 | 44.60 | 0.346 ^{ns} |
| ADG (g day ⁻¹) | 219.40 | 254.30 | 0.0378* |
| Cost production (US\$ kg ⁻¹ BW) | 0.66 | 0.61 | 0.0412* |

Table 4: Effects of supplementation of Yeast Fermented Cassava Chip (YFCC) as a replacement concentrate on rumen fermentation and blood metabolites in dairy heifers

| Item | T ₁ | T ₂ | p-value |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------------|
| Ruminal pH | 6.5 | 6.9 | 0.0481* |
| NH ₃ -N (mg dL ⁻¹) | 16.2 | 20.7 | 0.0312* |
| BUN (mg dL ⁻¹) | 10.7 | 13.4 | 0.0213* |
| Total VFA (mmol L ⁻¹) | 104.3 | 119.1 | 0.0451* |
| Molar proportion of VFA (mol/100 mol) | | | |
| Acetate (C2) | 70.2 | 67.3 | 0.0431* |
| Propionate (C3) | 20.2 | 22.9 | 0.0431* |
| Butyrate (C4) | 9.6 | 9.8 | 0.0642 ^{ns} |
| C2:C3 ratio | 3.4 | 2.9 | 0.0451* |
| C2+C4:C3 ratio | 3.9 | 3.3 | 0.0492* |

T₁: Supplementation of concentrate at 14% CP; T₂: Supplementation of Yeast Fermented Cassava Chip (YFCC); ns: non significant (p>0.05); *Significant (p<0.05)

however, the values were quite stable at 6.5-6.9, but all treatment means were within the normal range, which has been reported as optimal for microbial digestion of fiber and also digestion of protein (6.0-7.0) (Hoover, 1986).

Ruminal NH₃-N and BUN concentrations were altered by YFCC (T₂) supplement which containing high cassava-based diets.

As NH₃-N is regarded as the most important nitrogen source for microbial protein synthesis in the rumen. In addition, the result obtained was closer to optimal ruminal NH₃-N (15-30% mg, Wanapat and Pimpa, 1999; Chanjula *et al.*, 2003, 2004) for increasing microbial protein synthesis, feed digestibility and voluntary feed intake in ruminant fed on low-quality roughages.

The influence of supplementation of Yeast Fermented Cassava Chip (YFCC) as a replacement concentrate at 14% CP on total VFA concentrate, production of total VFA, acetic acid proportion, propionic acid proportion, butyric acid proportion and acetic to propionic ratio are shown in Table 4. Mean total VFAs and propionate concentrations in the rumen were significantly different by increased with receiving YFCC (T₂) than T₁ (119.1 and

Table 5: Effects of supplementation of Yeast Fermented Cassava Chip (YFCC) as a replacement concentrate on rumen microorganisms in dairy heifers

| Item | T ₁ | T ₂ | p-value |
|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Total direct counts (cell mL⁻¹) | | | |
| Bacteria (×10 ¹¹) | 5.5 | 6.2 | 0.0282* |
| Protozoa | | | |
| <i>Holotric</i> (×10 ⁸) | 5.3 | 3.1 | 0.0174* |
| <i>Entodiniomorph</i> (×10 ⁶) | 6.2 | 3.9 | 0.0463* |
| <i>Fungal zoospores</i> (×10 ⁶) | 4.8 | 8.2 | 0.0364* |

T₁: Supplementation of concentrate at 14% CP; T₂: Supplementation of Yeast Fermented Cassava Chip (YFCC); ns: non significant (p>0.05); *Significant (p<0.05)

104.3 mM). However, it was found that total VFA concentration in all diets ranged from 70-130 mM, the range suggested by France and Siddons (1993). Especially, the acetate to propionate ratio was decreased by receiving YFCC (T₂) than T₁ (2.7 and 4.1), but the supplementation of YFCC (T₂) increased the daily output of propionate without decreasing the production of acetate (22.9 and 20.2 mol/100 mol) and it was in agreement with the results reported by other authors (Callaway and Martin, 1996; Khampa *et al.*, 2006).

Rumen microorganisms populations: Table 5 presents rumen microorganism populations. The populations of fungal zoospores, protozoa and total bacteria direct counts were significantly different and populations of bacteria had higher numbers in cattle receiving diets YFCC (T₂) than T₁. In contrast, the present number of protozoa in the rumen was decreased by YFCC supplementation in high cassava-based diets. In the experiment by Guedes *et al.* (2007) reported that yeast are usually related to stimulation of cellulolytic and lactate-utilizing bacteria in the rumen, increased fiber digestion and increased flow of microbial protein from the rumen, which may be beneficial for feedlot cattle fed high grain diets.

CONCLUSION

Based on this experiment, it could be concluded that supplementation of Yeast Fermented Cassava Chip (YFCC) as a replacement concentrate at 14% CP could improved ruminal fermentation efficiency, digestibility of nutrients and increasing propionate production, but decreased acetate to propionate ratio. In addition, supplementation of YFCC increase populations of bacteria, but decreased protozoal populations.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors wish to express sincere thanks to Rajabhat Mahasarakham University, The National

Research Council of Thailand and Tropical Feed Resources Research and Development Center (TROFREC), Khon Kaen University for providing financial support of research and research facilities.

REFERENCES

AOAC, 1990. Official Methods of Analysis. Association of Official Analysis Chemists. 15th Edn. Inc Arlington, Virginia. ISBN: 0-935584-42-0.

Boonnop, K., M. Wanapat, N. Ngamnit and S. Wanapat, 2008. Enriching nutritive value of cassava root by yeast fermentation. Proceedings of the graduate school congress x. Held at graduate school Khon Kaen University, Thailand, pp: 97-102.

Brossard, L., F. Chaucheyras-Durand, B. Michalet-Doreau and C. Martin, 2006. Dose effect of live yeasts on rumen microbial communities and fermentations during butyric latent acidosis in sheep: Newtype of interaction. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 82: 1-11. DOI: 10.1017/ASC200693.

Callaway, T.R. and S.A. Martin, 1996. Effects of organic acid and monensin treatment on *in vitro* mixed ruminal microorganism fermentation of cracked corn. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 74: 1982-1989. <http://jas.fass.org/cgi/reprint/74/8/1982.pdf>.

Chanjula, P., M. Wanapat, C. Wachirapakorn, S. Uriyapongson and P. Rowlinson, 2003. Ruminal degradability of tropical feeds and their potential use in ruminant diets. *Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci.*, 16: 211-216. <http://cat.inist.fr/?aModele=afficheN&cpsidt=15029234>.

Chanjula, P., M. Wanapat, C. Wachirapakorn, S. Uriyapongson and P. Rowlinson, 2004. Effect of synchronizing starch sources and protein (NPN) in the rumen on feed intake, rumen microbial fermentation, nutrient utilization and performance of lactating dairy cows. *Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci.*, 17: 1400-1410. www.pjbs.org/pjnonline/fin542.pdf.

Crocker, C.L., 1967. Rapid determination of urea nitrogen in serum or plasma without deproteinization. *Am. J. Med. Technol.*, 33: 361-365. www.pjbs.org/pjnonline/fin470.

Erdman, R.A., 1998. Dietary buffering requirements of the lactating dairy cows: A review. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 71: 3246-3266. <http://jds.fass.org/cgi/reprint/71/12/3246.pdf>.

Fernandez, J.M., T. Sahulu, C. Lu, D. Ivey and M.J. Potchoiba, 1997. Production and metabolic aspects of non-protein nitrogen incorporation in lactation rations of dairy goats. *Small Rum. Res.*, 26: 105-107. DOI: 10.1016/S0921-4488(96)00984-4.

- France, J. and R.C. Siddons, 1993. Volatile Fatty Acid Production. Quantitative Aspects Ruminant Digestion and Metabolism. In: Forbes, J.M. and J. France (Eds.). CAB International, Willingford, UK, pp: 196-203. ISBN: 9780851998145.
- Galyean, M., 1989. Laboratory Procedure in Animal Nutrition Research. Department of Animal and Life Science. New Mexico State University, USA, pp: 162-167.
- Guedes, C.M., D.M. Goncalves, A.M. Rodrigues and A. Dias-da-Silva, 2007. Effects of a *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* yeast on ruminal fermentation and fibre degradation of maize silages in cows. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.*, 145: 27-40. <http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0377840107002866>.
- Hoover, W.H., 1986. Chemical factors involved in ruminal fiber digestion. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 69: 2755-2766. PMID: 3027148. <http://jds.fass.org/cgi/reprint/69/10/2755.pdf>.
- Khampa, S., M. Wanapat, C. Wachirapakorn, N. Nontaso and M. Wattiaux, 2006. Effect of levels of sodium dl-malate supplementation on ruminal fermentation efficiency in concentrates containing high levels of cassava chip in dairy steers. *Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci.*, 19: 368-375. <http://cat.inist.fr/?aModele=afficheN&cpsid=17613083>.
- Oboh, G., 2006. Nutrient enrichment of cassava peels using a mixed culture of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Lactobacillus* sp. solid media fermentation techniques. *Elect. J. Biol.*, 9: 46-49. <http://www.bioline.org.br/abstract?id=ej06006&lang=es>.
- SAS, 1998. SAS/STAT User's Guide. Version 6.12. SAS Inst. Inc., Cary, NC. ISBN: 1-55544-3561.
- Sommat, K., M. Wanapat, D.S. Parker and P. Rowlinson, 2000. Cassava chip as an energy source for lactating dairy cows fed rice straw. *Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci.*, 13: 1094-1101. http://grande.nal.usda.gov/ibids/index.php?mode2=detail&origin=ibids_references&throw=455517.
- Van Keulen, J. and B.A. Young, 1977. Evaluation of acid insoluble ash as a neutral marker in ruminant digestibility studies. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 44: 282-287. <http://jas.fass.org/cgi/reprint/44/2/282.pdf>.
- Van Soest, P.J., J.B. Robertson and B.A. Lewis, 1991. Methods for dietary fiber, neutral detergent fiber and nonstarch polysaccharides in relation to animal nutrition. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 74: 3583-3597. <http://jds.fass.org/cgi/reprint/74/10/3583.pdf>.
- Wanapat, M., 1990. Nutritional Aspects of Ruminant Production in Southeast Asia with Special Reference to Thailand. Funny Press, Ltd., Bangkok, Thailand, pp: 125-136.
- Wanapat, M., 2000. Ruminal manipulation to increase the efficient use of local feed resources and productivity of ruminants in the tropics. *Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci.*, 13: 59-67. www.pjbs.org/pjnonline/fm589.pdf.
- Wanapat, M., 2003. Manipulation of cassava cultivation and utilization to improve protein to energy biomass for livestock feeding in the tropics. *Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci.*, 16: 463-472. <http://cat.inist.fr/?aModele=afficheN&cpsid=15035124>.
- Wanapat, M. and O. Pimpa, 1999. Effect of ruminal NH₃-N levels on ruminal fermentation, purine derivatives, digestibility and rice straw intake in swamp buffaloes. *Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci.*, 12: 904-907. http://grande.nal.usda.gov/ibids/index.php?mode2=detail&origin=ibids_references&throw=454349.
- Wohlt, J.E., J.H. Clark and F.S. Blaisdell, 1978. Nutritional value of urea versus preformed protein for ruminants. II. Nitrogen utilization by dairy cows fed corn based diets containing supplemental nitrogen from urea and/or soybean meal. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 61: 902-905. <http://jds.fass.org/cgi/reprint/61/7/902.pdf>.
- Zinn, A.R. and F.N. Owen, 1986. A rapid procedure for purine measurement and its use for estimating net ruminal protein synthesis. *Can. J. Anim. Sci.*, 66: 157-163. <http://www.naweb.iaea.org/nafa/aph/public/aph-tecdoc-945.pdf>.