Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances 8 (11): 2228-2231, 2009

ISSN: 1680-5593

© Medwell Journals, 2009

Evaluation of Two Different Vaccination Programs Against Newcastle Disease in Nineveh Province

A.Z. Al-Zubeedy

Department of Microbiology, College of Veterinary Medicine, Mosul University, Mosul, Iraq

Abstract: Two different vaccination programs against Newcastle Disease (ND) in Nineveh province was studied. Results showed that the first group, which vaccinated (live and inactivated) NDV with interval days was give high antibody titres at 18th days post vaccination with significantly difference (p<0.05), in comparison with the second vaccinated group that has NDV (live and inactivated) as well as live IBDV the antibody titres was significantly difference (p<0.05) at 28th days post vaccination. Serological antibody titres were determined to study the correlation between the 2 different vaccinated groups using ELISA test. It was concluded that the 2 different vaccinated programs show different immune response to ND.

Key words: ND, vaccination, vaccine efficacy, ELISA test, broiler, IBVD

INTRODUCTION

Newcastle disease is world wide regarded as one of the most important diseases of poultry and other birds (Dashab et al., 2007; Hassanzadeh and Bozorgmerifard, 2004) the incubation period of ND after natural exposure has been reported to vary from 2-15 days. The time for appearance of the symptoms varies, according to virulence of infected ND virus, host species, age, immune status, infection with other organisms, environmental condition and the route of exposure (Alexander, 2003). ND is enzootic in some areas of the worlds, however especially where rural chicken breeding is dominant ND has become endemic, control is possible but requires an efficient application of vaccines (Hassanzadeh and Bozorgmerifard, 2004; Chandana et al., 2007; Rahman et al., 2002; Osman and Ucan, 2003). The immune system of poultry is a complex network of different cell types and soluble factors that give rise to an effective response to pathogenic challenges. Proper and efficient function of the immune system is directly associated with poultry health (Sarker et al., 2000). Vaccination programs should include the sequential use of progressively more virulent live vaccine strains or live vaccine followed by inactivated vaccines (Alexander, 2003; Sarker et al., 2000; Marangon and Busan, 2006; Adwar and Lukesova, 2008).

The using of another type of viral vaccine as Infectious Bursal Disease Vaccine (IBDV) effect on protection against ND. IBDV is an acute contagious viral disease affecting young chickens and is characterized by massive damage to the bursa of fabrics and by immuno suppression (Zaheer and Akhter, 2003; Cardoso *et al.*, 2006). This antigenic variation can include failures

on the vaccination processes due to the antigenic structure between vaccinal and wild viruses (Cardoso *et al.*, 2006; Kulikova *et al.*, 2004; Quang *et al.*, 2002).

The objective of the study presented here was to investigate the immunefficacy of 2 different vaccinal programmes against ND which applicated in some farms of Nineveh Province.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Total of 120 days old broiler chicks were housed and kept adlbidu feed on concentrated feed. These chicks were not given any types of antibiotics or vaccine and were divided into three groups each having 40 birds.

First group, 40 chicks were vaccinated at one day, 10, 16 and 21th days old with 0.1 mL ND vaccine orally and 3 day old with 0.1 mL inactivated ND vaccine subcutaneously.

Second group, 40 chicks were vaccinated at 1 day, 11 and 21th days old with ND vaccine orally and in 3 day with inactivated ND vaccine s/c and this group has another type of vaccine that live infectious bursal viral disease vaccine IBVDV in 8 and 16th days of old.

Third group, 40 chicks remain as control group without any vaccination.

Blood collection and serological test: Blood serum samples from all groups were collected at 1st, 8th, 18th and 28th days of age. The serum samples were stored at -20°C. The serum samples were analyzed by indirect ELISA (Enzyme Linked Immunosorbent Assay) to detection antibodies against ND (Cardoso *et al.*, 2006; Kulikova *et al.*, 2004; Al-Shahery *et al.*, 2008).

Challenge test: All groups were challenged with virulent ND virus (2×10^{6.5} EID₅₀/0.2 mL) orally at the age of 3 weeks.

Protection: Was evaluated by the calculation of percent protected chickens after challenging?

Post mortem: Observation the gross examination changes after PM was performed on different organs (spleen, Proventriculus, Duodenum, Cecal tonsil and Trachea) and the pathological changes were reported using semi quantity.

Statistical analysis: Data of all experiments were expressed as mean±SD. Data were compared by two way analysis of variance. Significant differences determined by Duncan's multiple range test. All statistical analysis performed by sigma stat (Jandel scientific software V 3.1). p<0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Results of titers antibody ND in different groups are shown in Table 1. Significant difference was found between the antibody titres of vaccinated groups at 28th days post vaccination and significant difference was found between the vaccinated groups compared with control group in 8, 18 and 28th days of age. In contrast, the antibody titres was significant in first group after 8th days of vaccination and after 18th days post vaccination in second group.

The antibody titres was significantly different among the control in 1st, 8th, 18th and 28th days of age. The challenge with virulent NDV virus gave (100%) highest mortality in the non vaccinated group, the chickens of this group present after PM sever gross lesions in different organs, while the vaccinated group gave high protection rate with mild changes after post mortem. When compare with vaccinated groups the protection rate was higher in first vaccinated group (100%) while second group protection was (70%) (Table 2).

The result of the present study revealed that antibody titres of two different significantly, this variation was due to application of two different antigen types of vaccines (ND and IBD) as a reason for this low level of

protection in vaccinated birds. The combination of NDV and IBDV impaired immune competence due to immune-suppressive effect of IBDV on immune response (Rahman et al., 2002; Marangon and Busan, 2006; Quang et al., 2002) birds are stressed by two types of antigen (Sarker et al., 2000; Marangon and Busan, 2006; Cardoso et al., 2006; Bouzoubaa et al., 2005) and this reduction the chance for stimulation of immunity against NDV (Giambrone and Clay, 1986) also poor quality of vaccine must be always be considered (Quang et al., 2002) therefore, sufficiently high antibodies titres were produced from first vaccinated group that give protection against challenging ND virus compared with the second vaccinated group (Rahman et al., 2002; Kulikova et al., 2004; Al-Shahery et al., 2008; Bouzoubaa et al., 2005; Giambrone and Clay, 1986) the application of two types of vaccine (live, inactivated) in first vaccinated group lead to highly. Significant antibody titres in 18th age post vaccination.

The availability of different types of vaccines could be one of the major limits to the implementation of effective vaccination programmes (Alexander, 2003; Osman and Ucan, 2003; Adwar and Lukesova, 2008; Bermudez and Stewart, 2003) maternal antibody neutralize the introduced vaccine antigen rendering the vaccine ineffective in first days (Awang et al., 1992) then the inactivated vaccines induce high and long lasting immunological response after administration of live vaccine (Osman and Ucan, 2003; Adwar and Lukesova, 2008; Bermudez and Stewart, 2003; Lukert and Saif, 2003; Sharma, 2003) the high level of maternal derived antibody of control group (third group) declined gradually within 1-28th days of age and accepted with there of (Dashab et al., 2007; Rahman et al., 2002; Sarker et al., 2000; Al-Shahery et al., 2008; Bouzoubaa et al., 2005; Vui et al., 2002; Reynolds and Maraga, 2000; Jennifer et al., 2003).

<u>Table 1: ELISA antibody titres against ND virus in experimental groups</u>
NDV antibody titres (Mean±SD)

Groups	1st day	8th day old	18th day old	28th day old				
1st	1008±38.8aA	1150±86.6aA	1345±79bA	2984±164.2CA				
2nd	1012±78BA	1282±21.2aA	1423±35.3aA	2791±198.2CB				
3rd	1004±37.8aA	890±74.5 a,bB	789±76.7bB	620±528CC				

a-c: Values within a raw followed by different letters are significantly different (p<0.05); A-C: Values within a column followed by different letters are significantly different (p<0.05)

Table 2: The protection rate and mortality with post mortem lesion indifferent groups

	Organs									
Groups	Spleen	Proventriculus	Duodenum	Cecal tonsils	Trachea	Mortality	Protection (%)			
1st	++	-	-	-	+	0/10	100			
2nd	++	-	++	++	+	3/10	70			
3rd	+++	+++	+++	+++	++	10/10	0			

^{-:} No change; ++: Moderate congestion; +: Mild congestion; +++: Sever inflammation with bleeding

CONCLUSION

The level of protection of vaccinated birds was efficacy for immunization when related to the type of vaccine used as well as to the intervals between the route of vaccination to give adequate protection against ND and IBD with reduce mortality and increase stimulate of immune system (Osman and Ucan, 2003; Marangon and Busan, 2006; Bouzoubaa et al., 2005). Also vaccines cannot realistically be expected to provide 100% protection for birds, vaccinated under field conditions, disease prevention management technique and hygienic practices at the farm level are of fundamental importance in minimizing the risk of disease introduction and the related economic impact (Osman and Ucan, 2003; Marangon and Busan, 2006; Bouzoubaa et al., 2005).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This study was supported by College of Veterinary Medicine, Mosul University.

REFERENCES

- Adwar, T. and D. Lukesova, 2008. Evaluation of thermostable vaccines against Newcastle disease in village chicken used in tropics and subtropics. Agricultural Tropica et subtropica institue of tropics and suptropics, Czech University of Life Sciences Praque, Kamycka 129, 165 21 prague6-czech. Republic, 41 (2): 74-79.
- Alexander, D.J., 2003. Newcastle Disease and Other Avian Paramyoviruses Infections. 11th Edn. In: Saif, Y.M. (Ed.). Dis. Poult. Ames, Iowa: Iowa State Press, pp: 63-87. www.filpkart.com/disease-poult-Saif/ym 1081380423x-J7w3fdn.
- Al-Shahery, M.N., A.Z. Al-Zubeady and S.Y. Al-Barood, 2008. Evaluation of cell-mediated immune response in chickens vaccinated with Newcastle disease virus. Iraqi. J. Vet. Sci., 22 (1): 21-24.
- Awang, I.P.R., W.S. Wan-Ahmad-Kusairy and J. AbduRazak, 1992. Detection of maternal antibody against Newcastle disease virus in chickens using an indirect immunoperoxidase test. J. Vet. Malaysia, 4: 19-23.
- Bermudez, A.J. and B. Stewart, 2003. Disease Prevention and Diagnosis. 11th Edn. In: Saif, Y.M. (Ed.). Diseases of Poultry. Iowa State University Press, Ames, pp. 17-54.

- Bouzoubaa, K., B. Kissi, M. Kasmy, S. Waddahou and M. Tangarfi, 2005. Vaccination against Newcastle disease and Gumboro disease in backyard poultry: A pilot program in Morocco. In Abstract Book of the 14th World Veterinary Poultry Congress, August 22-26, Istanbul, Turkey. Abs. No. 13-314.
- Cardoso, W.M., A. Filho, J.M. Romao, R.P.R. Salles and S.R. Camara, 2006. Interference of infectious bursal disease virus on antibody production against Newcastle disease and infectious bronchitis virus, Brazil. J. Poult. Sci., 8 (3): 177-182.
- Chandana, M.S.K. M.R. Das, K. Batabyal and R.N. Roy, 2007. Development of in ovo vaccine against Newcastle disease of birds. Res. Commun. Curr. Sci., 93 (9): 1305-1309.
- Dashab, G., G. Sadeghi and M. Mehri, 2007. Performance and humeral immune response to newcastle disease in two strains of broiler chickens. J. Anim. Vet. Adv., 6 (3): 451-453.
- Giambrone, J.J. and P. Clay, 1986. Vaccination of day-old broier disease using commercial live and/or inactivated vaccines. Avian. Dis., 30 (3): 557-561.
- Hassanzadeh, M. and M.H. Bozorgmerifard, 2004. Aserological study of newcastle disease in pre and post vaccinated village chickens in North of Iran. Int. J. Poult. Sci., 3 (10): 658-661.
- Jennifer, L.G., D.B. Edmund and D. Ketterson, 2003. Immune function across generations: Integrating mechanism and evolutionary process in maternal antibody transmission. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B, 270: 2309-2319. DOI: 10.1098/rspb.2003.2485.
- Kulikova, L., V. Jurajda and R. Juranova, 2004. Effects of infectious bursal disease vaccination strains on the immune system of leghorn chickens. Acta Vet. Brno, 73: 205-209.
- Lukert, P.D. and S.M. Saif, 2003. Infectious Bursal Disease. 11th Edn. In: Saif, Y.M. (Ed.). Diseases of Poultry. Iowa State University Press, Ames, pp: 161-180.
- Marangon, S. and L. Busan, 2006. The use of vaccination in poultry production. Rev. Sci. Technol. Off. Int. Epiz., 26 (1): 265-274.
- Osman, R. and U.S. Ucan, 2003. Evaluation of three different vaccination regimes against Newcastle disease in Central Anatolia. Turk. J. Vet. Anim. Sci., 27: 1065-1069.
- Quang, T.V., J.E. Lohr, M.N. Kyule, K.H. Zessin and M.P.O. Baumann, 2002. Antibody levels against Newcastle disease virus, infectious bursal disease virus and avian influenza virus in rural chickens in Vietnam. Int. J. Poult. Sci., 1 (5): 127-132.

- Rahman, M.M., A.S.M. Bar, M. Grasuddin, M.R. Islam, J. Alam, G.C. Sil and M.M. Rahman, 2002. Evaluation of maternal and humeral immunity against Newcastle disease virus in chicken. Int. J. Poult. Sci., 1 (5): 161-163.
- Reynolds, D.L. and A.D. Maraqa, 2000. Protection immunity against Newcastle disease. The role of cell mediated immunity, avian. Dis., 44: 145-159.
- Sarker, N., M. Tsudzuki, M. Nishibori, H. Yasue and Y. Yamamoto, 2000. Cell mediated and humoral immunity and phagocytic ability in chicken lines divergently selected for serum immunoglobulin M and G levels. Poult. Sci., 79: 1705-1709.
- Sharma, J., 2003. The Avian Immune System. 11th Edn. In: Saif, Y.M. (Ed.). Diseases of Poultry. Iowa State University Press, Ames, pp. 5-16.
- Vui, T.Q., J.E. Lohr, M.N. Kyule, K.H. Zessinand and P.O. Baumann 2002. Antibody levels against Newcastle disease virus, infectious bursal disease virus and avian influenza virus in rural chickens in Vietnam. Int. J. Poult. Sci., 1: 127-132.
- Zaheer, A. and S. Akhter, 2003. Role of maternal antibodies in protection against infectious bursal disease in commercial broilers. Int. J. Poult. Sci., 2 (4): 251-255.