

## Effects of Lambing Season, Lamb Breed and Ewe Parity on Production Traits of Fat Tailed Sheep and Their Lambs

Ferda Koycegiz, Ebru Emsen, Carlos Alcibiades Gimenez Diaz and Muzeyyen Kutluca  
Department of Animal Science, Ataturk University, 25240 Erzurum, Turkey

**Abstract:** The reproductive performance of 154 local fat tailed sheep, lambing in the Autumn-Winter (AW) or Spring-Summer (SS) and weights and survival to 60 days of their 188 lambs (102 local and 86 Romanov cross) were evaluated. The litter size at birth and 60 days of AW and SS lambing ewes was 1.27 and 1.15; 1.26 and 1.19 lambs, respectively ( $p > 0.05$ ). At birth, ewes with greater (3rd-4th) parity produced more ( $p < 0.05$ ) lambs than ewes in first-second parity. Ewe postpartum weights at lambing were significantly different within parity ( $p < 0.001$ ) and lambing season ( $p < 0.05$ ). Ewes that lambed with greater parity (3rd and 4th) and in SS season had significantly higher body weights. Birth and weaning weights of lambs were affected by the lamb's genotype. Romanov sired lambs had heavier birth (4.3 vs 3.9 kg) and weaning (19.2 vs 15.7 kg) weights than lambs sired by local breed rams. Lambs born in SS reached higher ( $p < 0.05$ ) weaning weights (18.3 kg) than lambs born in AW (16.7 kg). The lamb's genetic group played an important but not significant role on survival. It was concluded that the greater parity of ewes results in better productivity and lambing season affected dam body weight and lamb growing performance.

**Key words:** Lambing season, romanov, fat tailed sheep, litter size, weaning weights, parity

### INTRODUCTION

In Eastern Turkey, the majority of ewes in flocks under conventional management are bred in the fall to lamb in the winter. Kutluca *et al.* (2006) reported that fat tailed ewes raised in Eastern Anatolia have an active breeding season in September and October and an anestrus period (166 and 184 days) in the spring and late summer. Seasonal effects associated with lambing have been shown to influence birth weight and daily gain of lambs, as well as the lamb vigour. There have been inconsistent reports for lamb growth performance born in different seasons. Some researchers favoured fall and winter born lambs (Charon, 1988; Stritzke and Whiteman, 1982; Cristian and Suvela, 1999) while others underlined the advantages of spring born lambs (Jenkinson *et al.*, 1995; Cruickshank and Smith, 1989; Morris *et al.*, 1993). Lamb growth performance and vigour are not only associated with seasonal effect but also other environmental factors (e.g., litter size, ewe parity, maternal nutrition: Dwyer, 2003; Dwyer *et al.*, 2003). Dwyer *et al.* (2005) stated that first parity mothers produced lighter litters than more experienced ewes. Moreover, parity number of ewe was noted as an important factor for lamb weights and average daily gains (Gbangboche *et al.*, 2006). Cross-breeding with Romanov sheep (RO) has been

practised in several countries of the Mediterranean basin (Ricoardeau *et al.*, 1990) to take advantage of high lamb vigour and rapid growth rates. In Eastern Turkey crossbreeding of fat tailed ewes with Romanov was started in 2004 to increase prolificacy.

The objective of this study was to assess the reproductive performance and productivity of local fat tailed ewes with different parity, when bred to rams of their own breed or to Romanov rams, to lamb in the Autumn-Winter or Spring-Summer season.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The Redkaraman and Tuj sheep are concentrated in the central highlands of Turkey at 2000 m above sea level. A total of 124 Redkaraman and Tuj fat tailed ewes, pregnant via laparoscopic insemination, were fed 400 g barley ewe day<sup>-1</sup> and 1.5 kg dried grass hay ewe day<sup>-1</sup>. Ewes were treated with progesterone sponges (30 mg) and eCG (600 I.U.) and were inseminated with the same volume and dose of fresh diluted spermatozoa either from their own breed ( $n = 7$ ) or Romanov rams ( $n = 5$ ), in different breeding seasons (Spring or Autumn). All lambs born, regardless of lambing season, were creep fed from the first week of age up to weaning, with a pelleted feed containing 16% CP, 10% CF and 2500 kcal kg<sup>-1</sup> ME. The

average amount of creep feed consumed by lambs was estimated as 100 g day<sup>-1</sup>. Average age of lambs at weaning was 60 days. Water and mineral licks were available *ad libitum*.

Least squares analysis was used to evaluate the significance and magnitude of the random and fixed effects. After a preliminary analysis of frequencies and means to find what fixed effects to consider, the model for the reproductive traits of ewes included the effects of parity and season and were subjected to completely randomized design. The model used with lamb birth and weaning weights and mortality included the effect of lamb genotype and its interaction with the fixed effect of season. Data were analyzed by ANOVA using the GLM procedure. The difference between means was compared using the Duncan's multiple range tests. Survivability data was analyzed by chi-square ( $\chi^2$ ).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Least squares means and standard deviations for litter size at birth and weaning and ewe postpartum weights at lambing are set out in Table 1. Parity was a significant source of variation ( $p > 0.05$ ) for litter size at birth but not at weaning. Ewes in their 1st and 2nd parity had significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) lower litter size at birth compared to ewes with greater parity. Peak litter size was generally achieved at 4th parity of ewes, which is in agreement with Notter (2000). In other studies, Glimp (1971) reported that prolificacy in several US breeds was maximized at 5 years and that 2 year old ewes produced 0.19 fewer lambs than 3-6 year old ewes. Performance of primiparous ewes in this study was similar to those described in hair sheep such as Pelibuey, Blackbelly, West African, Morada Nova, Brazilian Somali and Santa Ines in which prolificacy was increased with advances in parity, showing the lowest values by young and primiparous ewes (Rajab *et al.*, 1992; Galina *et al.*, 1996; Pérez *et al.*, 2005). Body weight of ewes at parturition was higher ( $p < 0.01$ ) in 3rd and 4th parity ewes. Lambing season did not affect litter size either at birth or weaning while ewes that lambed in spring-summer season had higher ( $p < 0.05$ ) postpartum weights at parturition. In general, it has been reported that lambing season and age of the ewe usually affected litter size (Mourad *et al.*, 2001), while only litter size at birth was effected by parity of ewes in this study.

Birth and weaning weights of lambs were found significantly ( $p < 0.01$ ) different for local breed and Romanov sired lambs. Romanov sired lambs had higher birth (4.3 vs 3.9 kg) and weaning (19.7 vs 15.6 kg) weights than those recorded for local lambs. Spring-summer born

Table 1: Litter size at birth and weaning, body weight after parturition by parity of dam and lambing season

Parity	n	Litter size at birth	Litter size at weaning	Ewe postpartum weights at lambing (kg±SD)
First	43	1.23±0.3 <sup>a</sup>	1.10±0.4	48.3±6.4 <sup>a</sup>
Second	33	1.17±0.4 <sup>a</sup>	1.11±0.5	52.7±8.2 <sup>b</sup>
Third	24	1.25±0.5 <sup>b</sup>	1.25±0.5	57.7±9.2 <sup>c</sup>
Fourth	54	1.45±0.6 <sup>b</sup>	1.23±0.6	56.7±7.5 <sup>c</sup>
		*	ns	**
<b>Lambing season</b>				
Autumn-Winter (AW)	81	1.27±0.5	1.15±0.5	52.0±7.1 <sup>a</sup>
Spring-Summer (SS)	76	1.26±0.5	1.19±0.5	55.5±9.4 <sup>b</sup>
		ns	ns	*

Least squares means within a column followed by different letter differ ( $p < 0.05$ ); ns:  $p > 0.05$ ; \* $p < 0.05$ ; \*\* $p < 0.01$

Table 2: Least squares means±SD for weights and survival of lambs

Lamb genotype	n	Birth weight	Weaning weight	Survivability (%)
Local (L)	102	3.9±0.4 <sup>a</sup>	15.6±4.2 <sup>a</sup>	90
Romanov Crossbred (RC)	86	4.3±0.3 <sup>b</sup>	19.7±4.4 <sup>b</sup>	97
		**	**	ns
<b>Lambing season</b>				
Autumn-Winter (AW)	99	4.2±0.5	16.7±4.1 <sup>a</sup>	92
Spring-Summer (SS)	89	4.0±0.5	18.3±4.9 <sup>b</sup>	95
		ns	*	ns
<b>Interaction</b>				
L-AW	75	3.8±0.9 <sup>a</sup>	15.4±4.2 <sup>a</sup>	89
L-SS	27	4.1±1.1 <sup>a</sup>	16.2±4.3 <sup>ab</sup>	93
RC-AW	24	4.7±0.8 <sup>b</sup>	18.0±3.1 <sup>b</sup>	100
RC-SS	62	4.0±0.9 <sup>a</sup>	20.4±4.6 <sup>c</sup>	95
		**	*	ns

Least squares means within a column followed by different letter differ ( $p < 0.05$ ); ns:  $p > 0.05$ ; \* $p < 0.05$ ; \*\* $p < 0.01$

lambs (18.3 kg) were 1.6 kg heavier ( $p < 0.05$ ) at weaning (60 days of age) than lambs born in Autumn-Winter (16.7 kg). The reverse tendency was reported by Yilmaz *et al.* (2007) and Zapasnikiene (2002). Lambing season did not affect lamb birth weights. This is in agreement with Yilmaz *et al.* (2007), who claimed that lambing season did not have a significant effect on birth weight. However, lamb genotype and lambing season interaction showed that Romanov cross lambs had higher birth weights in Autumn-Winter season but conversely higher weaning weights in spring summer season. The seasonal differences in birth and weaning weights in the current study may have been partly due to differences in parity of ewes, ambient temperature and maternal pre-natal effects during gestation (Table 2).

Higher indicators of the Romanov crossbreds compared with the local lambs were observed due to the significant genetic and geographical difference of exotic sire breeds and probably due to the suggested influence of heterosis. Similar results were reported by Meyer *et al.* (1978) and Mavrogenis and Louca (1979), who found that crossbreds had faster growth and higher live weight at 140 days of age as compared with purebred lambs. The local breed of lambs had the highest lamb mortality (0.10), while the Romanov cross lambs had the lowest (0.03). The

excellent lamb survival rate observed for composite breeds containing Romanov genetics has been previously recognized by other authors (Ricordeau *et al.*, 1977). The trend of lamb mortality across lambing seasons was inconsistent for local and F<sub>1</sub> lambs. Romanov cross lambs had the lowest lamb mortality in spring and the highest in autumn.

### CONCLUSION

Primiparous, Redkaraman and Tuj ewes were less productive compared with multiparous ewes. Lambing that occurred in spring-summer season favoured lamb growth performance and ewe postpartum weights. Fat tailed ewes could be crossed with Romanov rams in order to take advantage of heterosis and to form a unique flock which could constitute the framework for improved meat production of the fat tailed breed.

### REFERENCES

- Charon, K.M., 1988. The effect of the lambing season on milk composition, somatic cell content and weight gains of lambs of Polish Merino sheep: Proc. VI World Conference on Animal Production, pp: 541.
- Cristian, R.S. and M. Suvela, 1999. Out-of-season lambing of finnish landrace ewes. *Small Rumin. Res.*, 31: 265-272. DOI: 10.1016/S0921-4488(98)00140-0.
- Cruickshank, G.J. and J.F. Smith, 1989. The growth of lambs throughout the year in the Waikato. *Proc. NZ Soc. Anim. Prod.*, 49: 277-280. <http://nzsap.org.nz/proc/1989/ab89053.html>.
- Dwyer, C.S. Calvert, M. Farish, J. Donbavand and H. Pickup, 2005. Breed, litter and parity effects on placental weight and placentome number and consequences for the neonatal behaviour of the lamb. *Theriogenology*, 63: 1092-1110. DOI: 10.1016/j.theriogenology.2004.06.003.
- Dwyer, C.M., 2003. Behavioural development in the neonatal lamb: Effect of maternal and birth-related factors. *Theriogenology*, 59: 1027-1050. DOI: 10.1016/S0093-691x(02)01137-8.
- Dwyer, C.M., A.B. Lawrence, S.C. Bishop and M. Lewis, 2003. Ewe-lamb bonding behaviours at birth are affected by maternal undernutrition in pregnancy. *Br. J. Nutr.*, 89: 123-136. DOI: 10.1079/BJN 2002743.
- Galina, M.A., R. Morales, E. Silva and B. López, 1996. Reproductive performance of Pelibuey and Blackbelly sheep under tropical management systems in México. *Small Rumin. Res.*, 22: 31-37. DOI: 10.1016/0921-4488(95)00878-0.
- Gbangboche, A.B., A.K.I. Youssao, M. Senou, M.A. Ndiaye, A. Ahissou, F. Farnir, C. Michaux, F.A. Abiola and P.L. Leroy, 2006. Examination of non-genetic factors affecting the growth performance of djallonke sheep in soudanian zone at the Okpara breeding farm of Benin. *Trop. Anim. Health Prod.*, 38: 55-64. DOI: 10.1007/S11250-006-4231-9.
- Glimp, H.A., 1971. Effects of breed and mating season on reproductive performance of sheep. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 32: 1176-1182.
- Jenkinson, C.M.C., S.W. Peterson, M.F. McDonald, S.N. McCutcheon, 1995. Seasonal effects on birth weight in sheep are associated with changes in placental development. *NZ J. Agric. Res.*, 38: 337-345. DOI: 0028-8233/9573803-0337. [www.Royalsociety.org.nz/site/publish/journals/nzjar/1995/101.aspx](http://www.Royalsociety.org.nz/site/publish/journals/nzjar/1995/101.aspx).
- Kutluca, M., E. Emsen, H. Emsen and C.A. Gimenez-Diaz, 2006. Postpartum Reproductive Activity and Body weight changes in Fat Tailed Ewes. *J. Vet. Adv.*, 5: 1220-1222. <http://www.medwelljournals.com/fulltext/java/2006/1220-1222.pdf>.
- Mavrogenis, A.P. and A. Louca, 1979. A note on some factors influencing post-weaning performance of purebred and crossbred lambs. *Anim. Prod.*, 29: 415-418. [http://library.ari.gov.cy/cgi-bin/isis3w.exe?rec\\_id=000172&database=agris&search\\_type=link&table=mona&back\\_path=/agris/mona&lang=eng&format\\_name=EFMON](http://library.ari.gov.cy/cgi-bin/isis3w.exe?rec_id=000172&database=agris&search_type=link&table=mona&back_path=/agris/mona&lang=eng&format_name=EFMON).
- Meyer, H.H., A.H. Kirton, J.L. Dobbie and T.G. Harvay, 1978. Oxfords, Suffolks and Southdowns, Growth and Carcass composition compared. Ruakura Animal Research Station, Conf., Hamilton, New Zealand, pp: 2-5. *AgLink 30 RFC:2/4000/8/78:FPP 13*.
- Morris, S.T., W.J. Blair, W.J. Parker, S.N. McCutcheon, 1993. Evaluation of Border Leicester×Romney (BR), Poll Dorset×BR and Suffolk×BR ewes for out of season lambing. *NZ J. Agric. Res.*, 36: 349-362. [www.rsnz.org/publish/nzjar/1994/8.pdf](http://www.rsnz.org/publish/nzjar/1994/8.pdf).
- Mourad, M., G. Gbadamou and I.B. Balde, 2001. Performance of Djallonke sheep under an extensive system of production in Faranah, Guinea. *Trop. Anim. Health Prod.*, 33 (5): 413-422. DOI: 10.1023/A:1010595823660.
- Notter, D.R., 2000. Effects of ewe age and season of lambing on prolificacy in US Targhee, Suffolk and Polypay sheep. *Small Rumin. Res.*, 38: 1-7. DOI:10.1016/S0921-4488(00)00144-9. PMID:10924872.
- Pérez, C.R., C. Vázquez, F.M. Sosa, M. Valencia and P.E. González, 2005. Factores que influyen sobre el peso al nacimiento and al destete en corderos Pelibuey and Blackbelly. *Memorias de la XIX Reunión de la ALPA. BIOTAM. Tomo I*, pp: 403-405. [http://www.agronomiayciencias.uat.edu.mx/eventos/antiores/Agronomia/congreso\\_doble\\_proposito/TOMO%20I/ReprodRevisados/P%C3%A9rez2Rev.doc](http://www.agronomiayciencias.uat.edu.mx/eventos/antiores/Agronomia/congreso_doble_proposito/TOMO%20I/ReprodRevisados/P%C3%A9rez2Rev.doc).

- Ricordeau, G., L. Tchamitchian, C. Lefevre, J.C. Brunel and A. Desvignes, 1977. Productivity improvement of Berrichonnes du Cher (BC) sheep for crossbreeding IV. Pregnancy length and livability of Berrichons, Romanov and crosses F1, F2 and F3 lambs. *Ann. Génét. Sél. Anim.*, 9: 219-239 (in French). DOI: 10.1051/gse:19770206.
- Ricordeau, G., J. Thimonier, J.P. Poivey, M.A. Driancourt, M.T. Hochereu-De-Reviere and L. Tchamitchian, 1990. INRA research on the Romanov sheep breed in France: A review. *Livest. Prod. Sci.*, 24: 305-332. DOI: 10.1016/0301-6226(90)90009-U.
- Rajab, M.H., T.H. Cartwright, P.F. Dahm and E.A.P. Figueiredo, 1992. Performance of 3 tropical hair sheep breeds. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 70: 3351-3359. PMID: 1459896. <http://jas.fass.org>. [www.asas.org](http://www.asas.org).
- Stritzke, D.J. and V. Whiteman, 1982. Lamb growth patterns following different seasons of birth. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 55: 1002-1007. PMID: 7174545.
- Yilmaz, O., H. Denk and D. Bayram, 2007. Effects of lambing season, sex and birth type on growth performance in Norduz lambs. *Small Rumin. Res.*, 68: 336-339. DOI: 10.1016/j.smallrumes.2005.11.013.
- Zapasnikiene, B., 2002. The effect of age of ewes and lambing season on litter size and weight of lambs. *Veterinarija ir Zootechnika*, 19: 112-115.