Effects of Monensin, Virginiamycin and Sodium Bicarbonate on Ruminal Fermentation and Acid-Base Status in Sheep

¹E. Candanosa, ¹A. Villa-Godoy, ¹D.A. Castillo and ²G.D. Mendoza ¹Facultad de Medicina Veterinaria y Zootecnia, Universidad Nacional Autonoma de Mexico, Mexico City, Mexico ²Departamento de Produccion Agrícola y Animal, Universidad Autonoma Metropolitana, Mexico City, Mexico

Abstract: Four ruminally canulated sheep (55±10 kg initial BW) were used in a 4×4 Latin square design to evaluate the effects of monensin, virginiamycin and sodium bicarbonate on ruminal fermentation and acid-base balance in sheep fed a diet with 60% concentrate (DM basis). Treatments included control, monensin (25 mg d⁻¹), virginiamycin (15 mg d⁻¹) and sodium bicarbonate (10 g d⁻¹) intraruminally. Each period included 14 d of adaptation and 4 d of sample collection. Ruminal fluid samples were collected at 0, 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10 h after the additive dose. Blood samples were collected at 0 and 6 h to determine pH, HCO₃, pCO₂, base excess, electrolytes (Na⁺, K⁺, Cl⁻) and other metabolites (glucose, urea, L-lactate, NEFA). Intake was increased (p<0.05) with virginiamycin in comparison with sodium bicarbonate. Addition of sodium bicarbonate reduced significantly (p<0.05) DM intake. Sheep with monensin showed increased proportion of propionate at time of feeding, while virginiamycin lowered percentage of acetate in rumen liquor sampled 10 hours after feeding. Protozoa counts were not affected by the additives. Monensin, virginiamycin or sodium bicarbonate did not affected acid base status in sheep.

Key words: Monensin, virginiamycin, sodium bicarbonate, sheep, fermentation

INTRODUCTION

Sheep production in Mexico has been changed from grazing systems to intensive feeding with concentrates with the potential risks of subacute acidosis (Mendoza *et al.*, 2007). Even when on a BW basis sheep can consume more feed than cattle (Slyter, 1976), generally are less susceptible of larges proportion of readily fermentable carbohydrates in the diet.

The use of additives in sheep production is not a common practice even when these modifiers may reduce metabolic disorders and improve gain or feed efficiency (Plata *et al.*, 2004). In addition, dietary changes in ruminal fermentation could have an impact on acid balance status associated to the VFA from rumen to blood.

Ionophores have been used in cattle to manipulate ruminal fermentation, increasing propionate and reducing lactate producing bacteria (Russel and Strobel, 1989) and in sheep to improve weight gain or feed efficiency (Muwalla et al., 1994). Virginiamycin is an antibiotic which may alter rumen fermentation reducing the lactate ruminal and liver abscess (Godfrey et al., 1995; Nagaraja et al.,

1995; Rogers et al., 1995) and improves sheep performance (Murray et al., 1992). Sodium bicarbonate has been used as a buffer to maintenance of ruminal pH, but increases ruminal osmotic pressure and liquid dilution rate (Roger and Davis, 1982; Sanchez et al., 1997). Those additives may also have a beneficial effect on electrolytic balance in intensive systems of sheep production with increasing levels of concentrate. Physiological studies considering relationship between acid balance status and ruminal fermentation have been conducted in cattle with acute and subacute acidosis (Brown et al., 2000), however, data of additives in sheep are scarce. The objective of this experiment was to evaluate these additives on ruminal fermentation and in blood acid balance of sheep feed a diet with 60% concentrate.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Four sheep (Dorset×Suffolk; 55 ± 10 kg BW) were ruminally canulated and assigned to 1 of 4 treatments in a 4×4 Latin Square Design. Each period included 14 d of adaptation and 4 d of sample collection of ruminal fluid

and blood. Treatments consisted of monensin (25 mg d⁻¹; Elanco[™]), virginiamycin (15 mg d⁻¹; Pfizer[™]), sodium bicarbonate (10 g d⁻¹) and a control without additive. Feed additives were placed daily through the ruminal cannula at the morning feeding time (08:00).

Sheep were fed with a complete diet with 30% alfalfa hay, 10% oat hay and 60% concentrate (15% CP) with corn grain (71%), molasses (12%) and soybean meal (17%) and a mineral premix. Intake was measured daily and feed and orts were sampled during each collection period, to determine Dry Matter (DM), Crude Protein (CP) (AOAC, 1990), Neuter Detergent Fiber (NDF) (Van Soest *et al.*, 1991) and starch (Mendoza *et al.*, 1999). Total diet composition (DM basis) was: 16.1% CP, 38.8% NDF and 32.8% starch.

Ruminal fluid (50 mL) was sampled at 0, 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10 h post feeding and pH was measured immediately. Ruminal contents were strained through four layers of cheesecloth, acidified with 1 mL of HCl 6 N and stored in a freezer for further analysis. Volatile Fatty Acids (VFA) were determined with gas chromatography in samples prepared with metaphosporic acid (Erwin *et al.*, 1961). L (+) lactate was determined enzymatically with lactate dehydrogenase (Sigma Diagnostics, procedure No. 735, St. Louis, MO). A ruminal fluid sample with a 5-mL iodine solution was used to count protozoa (Dehority, 1984).

Osmolality of ruminal fluid was determined by freezing point depression using an osmometer Wiscor 5100C (Brown *et al.*, 2000). Sheep were dosed on day 2 of collection period with 50 mL of Co-EDTA solution, prepared as described by Uden *et al.* (1980) to estimate rumen volume and fluid passage rate. Ruminal fluid samples were collected 0, 3, 6, 9, 12, 24 and 36 h after dosing. Rumen fluid was centrifuged (30,000×g for 15 min) and cobalt was measured by atomic absorption spectroscopy.

Venous whole blood was sampled by jugular puncture with vacutainer tubes and with heparinized syringe at 0 and 6 h. Blood was collected with heparin was used to determine blood gases, pH, base excess, HCO₃, pCO₂ with a pH/Blood Gas Analyzer (Corning Model 238; Ciba Corning Diagnostics, Medfield, MA). Blood collected with vacutainer tubes was used to determine plasma NEFA (Waco Chemicals, Code No. 994-75409F), L (+) lactate (Sigma Diagnostics, procedure No. 735, St. Louis, MO), urea, creatinine and glucose (Diagnostic Chemicals Limited, Cat No. 275-06, 221-30 and 220-32, Charlottetown, CA) using a chemical analyzer (Model Roche Cobas Mira, Roche Diagnostic, Basle, Switzerland). Serum was harvested from venous whole blood to determine Na⁺, K⁺ and Cl⁻ with an electrolytic analyzer (Ciba Corning 644, Ciba Corning Diagnostics, Medfield, MA). Data were analyzed as a 4×4 Latin Square Design and means were compared using the Tukey test. A repeated-measures analysis was used for variables sampled at different times, in order to detect time x treatment interaction. Blood metabolites and ruminal fermentation variables were subjected to correlation analysis (SAS, 1988).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Addition of sodium bicarbonate reduced DM intake respect to the control (Table 1). Previous studies have reported minimal effect of virginiamycin on intake (Nagaraja et al., 1995; Rogers et al., 1995) or reductions in intake (Hedde et al., 1980; Murray et al., 1992). In this study monensin and bicarbonate did not have an effect (p>0.05) on dry matter intake, ruminal volume and fluid rate of passage (Table 1). In diets with 50% concentrate monensin had no effect on intake (Garcia et al., 2000). A reduced intake with monensin is usually observed in high grain diets (Lana et al., 1997), decreased of dilution rate and increased the percentage of feed digestion (Russell and Strobel, 1989). Yang and Russell (1993), feeding non-lactating Holstein cows with chopped timothy hay and soybean meal with monensin, did not detected changes in ruminal fluid kinetics with ionophore; in contrast, Lemenager et al. (1978) in steers fed with forage and monensin observed a substantial reduction on rumen volume, dilution rate and intake. In steers with 50% concentrate and 50% corn silage supplement with sodium bicarbonate, the researchers observed increased feed intake, water intake, rumen pH and fluid dilution rate (Rogers and Davis, 1982). Responses to sodium bicarbonate on intake have been inconsistent, which can be associated to differences of amount of grain in the diet, rate of starch digestion, effective fiber and saliva production (Mattiauda et al., 1995; Edwards and Poole, 1983).

Overall, additives did not affect ruminal pH, even when there was a tendency (p = 0.06) to increase with sodium bicarbonate at 2 h after feeding. Russel and Chow (1993) suggested that bicarbonates function not by increasing ruminal buffering capacity, but by increasing water intake, ruminal fluid dilution and flow of undegraded starch. Additions of bicarbonate not always increase rumen pH (Mattiauda et al., 1995; Khorasani and Kennelly, 2001) which can be related to other factors associated with saliva production or the intrinsic buffer capacity of feeds (Jasaitis et al., 1987). It has been proposed that sodium bicarbonate affects buffer capacity and ruminal osmotic pressure and liquid dilution rate (Rogers et al., 1979), however, our data related to fluid

Table 1: Effects of monensin (25 mg d⁻¹), virginiamycin (15 mg d⁻¹) and sodium bicarbonate (10 g d⁻¹) on intake and ruminal fluid characteristics in sheep feed a diet with 60% concentrate

| | Treatment | | | | | |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------|---------------|--------------------|--------|--|
| Item | Control | Monensin | Virginiamycin | NaHCO ₃ | SE^1 | |
| DM intake | 2.51ab | 2.49^{ab} | 2.68ª | 2.44 ^b | 0.03 | |
| (kg d ⁻¹) | | | | | | |
| Rumen | 5.17 | 5.91 | 5.39 | 4.42 | 0.17 | |
| volume (l) | | | | | | |
| Rate of | 4.82 | 4.57 | 5.08 | 4.98 | 0.01 | |
| passage (%/h) | | | | | | |
| Osmolality (n | nOsm kg ⁻¹) | 1 | | | | |
| 0 | 261 | 244 | 264 | 270 | 11 | |
| 2 | 276 | 252 | 271 | 297 | 11 | |
| 4 | 257 | 265 | 254 | 272 | 12 | |
| 6 | 286 | 292 | 287 | 268 | 9 | |
| 8 | 304 | 302 | 301 | 300 | 11 | |
| 10 | 309 | 303 | 317 | 305 | 10 | |
| Ruminal pH | | | | | | |
| 0 | 6.62 | 6.72 | 6.82 | 6.72 | 0.08 | |
| 2 | 6.29 | 6.32 | 6.30 | 6.50 | 0.04 | |
| 4 | 6.02 | 5.98 | 6.03 | 6.04 | 0.05 | |
| 6 | 5.91 | 5.87 | 5.89 | 5.90 | 0.09 | |
| 8 | 5.67 | 5.72 | 5.72 | 5.67 | 0.06 | |
| 10 | 5.65 | 5.61 | 5.63 | 5.64 | 0.06 | |
| Protozoa, org | anisms×10 | ı | | | | |
| 0 | 178 | 144 | 157 | 149 | 10 | |
| 2 | 104 | 77 | 109 | 74 | 14 | |
| 4 | 137 | 80 | 105 | 90 | 24 | |
| 6 | 146 | 119 | 120 | 105 | 12 | |
| 8 | 147 | 108 | 123 | 112 | 16 | |
| 10 | 167 | 122 | 51 | 113 | 21 | |

 $^1\mathrm{Standard}$ error of the mean; $^{\text{sb}}$ Means with no common superscript in a row differ (p<0.05)

kinetics do not support that hypothesis. As observed in this study, monensin had not effect on ruminal pH as reported in diets with different levels of concentrates (Muwalla *et al.*, 1994; Garcia *et al.*, 2000). Addition of virginiamycin did not affect ruminal pH (Torres *et al.*, 1999).

In this experiment, there was a negative relationship (p<0.01) between ruminal pH and osmolality (r = -0.71) and VFA concentration with ruminal pH (r = -0.88). The main solutes in ruminal fluid are minerals, VFA, lactate and glucose (Owens *et al.*, 1998). Simple correlations indicated a poor relationship between ruminal pH and L-lactate concentration in rumen (r = 0.09, p = 0.60) and blood (r = -0.04, p = 0.82), which is confirmed by the absence of treatment effects on L-lactate concentration in rumen and blood.

Numbers of protozoa were not affected by additives (Table 1). Since ruminal pH and osmolality and dilution rate were not affected, conditions in rumen were similar to maintain protozoa population. The effects reported of feed additives on rumen protozoa have been variable. Several studies have reported that dietary monensin either reduces or tends to inhibit ruminal protozoan numbers (Hino, 1981; Mendoza *et al.*, 1993). Also, virginiamycin

Table 2: Effects of monensin (25 mg d⁻¹), virginiamycin (15 mg d⁻¹) and sodium bicarbonate (10 g d⁻¹) on ruminal fermentation in sheep feed a diet with 60% concentrate

| | Treatme | n 60% concen nt | a acc | | | | | |
|--------------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------|--|--|--|
| Item | | | | | | | | |
| and hour | Control | Monensin | Virginiamycin | NaHCO ₃ | SE^1 | | | |
| L (+)-lactate (mM) | | | | | | | | |
| 0 | 0.03 | 0.57 | 0.41 | 0.12 | 0.31 | | | |
| 2 | 0.80 | 0.73 | 0.17 | 0.73 | 0.55 | | | |
| 4 | 0.004 | 0.20 | 0.03 | 0.08 | 0.08 | | | |
| 6 | 0.19 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.32 | 0.08 | | | |
| 8 | 0.04 | 0.09 | 0.18 | 0.13 | 0.11 | | | |
| 10 | 0.58 | 0.74 | 0.20 | 0.31 | 0.40 | | | |
| VFA (mM) | | | | | | | | |
| 0 | 83.88 | 79.25 | 73.82 | 74.40 | 9.07 | | | |
| 2 | 95.89 | 101.48 | 82.34 | 84.73 | 5.42 | | | |
| 4 | 91.76 | 105.12 | 100.05 | 93.02 | 10.20 | | | |
| 6 | 105.31^{ab} | 88.33 ^b | 112.05 ^{ab} | 117.69 ^a | 5.54 | | | |
| 8 | 111.21 | 111.68 | 108.27 | 115.93 | 6.78 | | | |
| 10 | 120.98 | 107.93 | 111.35 | 115.14 | 8.93 | | | |
| Acetate (%) | | | | | | | | |
| 0 | 62.74 | 59.89 | 61.94 | 61.46 | 0.98 | | | |
| 2 | 58.91 | 56.57 | 57.82 | 59.01 | 0.83 | | | |
| 4 | 60.30 | 56.34 | 56.80 | 58.25 | 0.99 | | | |
| 6 | 60.39 | 56.15 | 56.31 | 59.47 | 0.90 | | | |
| 8 | 60.31 | 56.56 | 55.39 | 59.41 | 1.09 | | | |
| 10 | 60.23° | 57.11 ^{ab} | 55.36 ^b | 58.33ab | 0.74 | | | |
| • | Propionate (%) | | | | | | | |
| 0 | 23.03^{b} | 25.06 ^a | 21.42 ^b | 22.73 ^b | 0.38 | | | |
| 2 | 26.82 | 28.91 | 27.01 | 26.14 | 0.63 | | | |
| 4 | 26.05 | 29.00 | 27.65 | 26.39 | 0.76 | | | |
| 6 | 25.65 | 29.49 | 28.68 | 26.03 | 1.12 | | | |
| 8 | 25.86 | 29.35 | 29.27 | 25.53 | 1.18 | | | |
| 10 | 26.69 | 29.71 | 28.96 | 25.65 | 1.09 | | | |
| Butyrate (% | , | | | • | | | | |
| 0 | 15.19^{ab} | 13.74^{b} | 16.64° | 15.64 ^{ab} | 0.41 | | | |
| 2 | 15.22 | 13.93 | 15.57 | 15.04 | 0.43 | | | |
| 4 | 14.44 | 13.52 | 15.32 | 15.42 | 0.80 | | | |
| 6 | 14.82 | 13.44 | 14.89 | 15.06 | 0.80 | | | |
| 8 | 14.52 | 12.98 | 14.82 | 15.88 | 0.86 | | | |
| 10 | 14.48 | 13.17 | 14.83 | 15.32 | 0.72 | | | |

 1 Standard error of the mean, $^{\text{ab}}$ Means with no common superscript in a row differ (p<0.05)

has been reported to decrease numbers of protozoa (Murray et al., 1992; Nagaraja et al., 1995); however, other studies show no effect of this antibiotic on rumen ciliates (Coe et al., 1999).

Ruminal L(+) -lactate (Table 2) was not reduced by feed additives, even when monensin and virginiamycin affects growth of Gram positive lactate producing bacteria (Martin, 1998; Coe et al., 1999). A reduction in lactate with monensin, virginiamycin (Owens et al., 1998; Clayton et al., 1999) and sodium bicarbonate (Russell and Chow, 1993) was expected, however, in studies with induced subacute acidosis, were not able to detect differences in ruminal lactate concentrations (Brown et al., 2000). Monensin did not affect lactate concentration in sheep fed diets with 50% concentrate (Garcia et al., 2000) or in steers with subacute acidosis (Burrin and Britton, 1986). In several studies with cows fed 50% concentrate, sodium bicarbonate had no effect on ruminal lactate

Table 3: Effects of monensin (25 mg d⁻¹), virginiamycin (15 mg d⁻¹) and sodium bicarbonate (10 g d⁻¹) on blood gases, acid-base status, and blood metabolites in sheep feed a diet with 60% concentrate

| Treatment | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|--------------------------|----------|---------------|--------------------|-----------------|--|--|
| Item | | | | | | | |
| and hour | Control | Monensin | Virginiamycin | NaHCO ₃ | SE ¹ | | |
| Blood pH | | | | | | | |
| 0 | 7.47 | 7.46 | 7.47 | 7.47 | 0.005 | | |
| 6 | 7.46 | 7.47 | 7.47 | 7.49 | 0.007 | | |
| Bicarbonate | e (mEq L ⁻¹) | | | | | | |
| 0 | 24.1 | 24.2 | 24.7 | 24.9 | 0.4 | | |
| 6 | 24.2 | 24.6 | 25.2 | 26.3 | 0.5 | | |
| pCO ₂ (mm l | | | | | | | |
| 0 | 33.4 | 34.2 | 34.4 | 34.7 | 0.7 | | |
| 6 | 33.9 | 34.2 | 34.3 | 34.4 | 0.4 | | |
| Base excess | (mEq L ⁻¹) | | | | | | |
| 0 | -0.18 | -0.72 | 0.11 | 0.27 | 0.37 | | |
| 6 | -0.21 | 0.20 | 0.76 | 2.09 | 0.59 | | |
| Serum Na (| $mEq L^{-1}$) | | | | | | |
| 0 | 145.7 | 145.3 | 145.4 | 145.2 | 0.3 | | |
| 6 | 147.5 | 147.4 | 148.8 | 147.8 | 0.5 | | |
| Serum K (n | nEq L ⁻¹) | | | | | | |
| 0 | 4.56 | 4.79 | 4.65 | 4.58 | 0.07 | | |
| 6 | 4.69 | 4.69 | 4.82 | 4.72 | 0.07 | | |
| Serum Cl (r | nEq L ⁻¹) | | | | | | |
| 0 | 109.8 | 110.2 | 109.7 | 109.5 | 0.4 | | |
| 6 | 110.8 | 111.5 | 111.6 | 110.2 | 0.6 | | |
| Glucose (m | M) | | | | | | |
| 0 | 4.2 | 3.8 | 3.9 | 4.3 | 0.2 | | |
| 6 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 0.1 | | |
| Urea (mM) | | | | | | | |
| 0 | 8.4 | 8.0 | 8.1 | 8.1 | 0.2 | | |
| 6 | 8.7 | 8.6 | 9.3 | 8.8 | 0.3 | | |
| Creatinine | | | | | | | |
| 0 | 69.2 | 70.3 | 70.1 | 71.7 | 2.4 | | |
| 6 | 68.2 | 71.2 | 74.6 | 73.0 | 1.3 | | |
| NEFA (mM | | , 1.2 | , 1.0 | , 5.0 | 1.5 | | |
| 0 | 0.131 | 0.131 | 0.190 | 0.180 | 0.009 | | |
| 6 | 0.088 | 0.086 | 0.094 | 0.150 | 0.029 | | |
| L(+)-lactate | | 0.000 | 0.054 | 0.151 | 0.023 | | |
| 0 | 15.72 | 14.58 | 17.68 | 16.46 | 1.37 | | |
| 6 | 17.19 | 19.81 | 18.23 | 18.77 | 0.66 | | |
| | 17.17 | 17.01 | 10.23 | 10.77 | 0.00 | | |

¹Standard error of the mean

(Clayton et al., 1999; Kennelly et al., 1999). Khorasani and Kennelly (2001) did not find changes in ruminal lactate with diets with 50-75% concentrate, with our without buffer.

There were differences in VFA only at 6 post feeding. Propionate was highest with monensin only at 0 h. Virginiamycin reduced acetate proportion at 10 h (Table 2). In several studies monensin has increased propionate and reduced acetate (Garcia et al., 2000; Vagnoni et al., 1995; Lana et al., 1997), which is associated with some toxic effects on Gram positive bacteria (Van Nevel and Demeyer, 1977) and protozoa (Mendoza et al., 1993). The reduction in acetate with virginiamycin is generally associated to an increment in propionate in vitro and in vivo (Hedde et al., 1980; Nagaraja et al., 1987). Addition of sodium bicarbonate generally increases acetate proportion associated with reductions in propionate (Clayton et al., 1999; Kennelly et al., 1999; Khorasani and Kennelly, 2001). Rogers et al.

(1979) conducted studies with Holstein steers infusing sodium bicarbonate with two diets; with high concentrate diet, dilution rate and molar proportion of acetate were increased and propionate was reduced, where as with a high roughage diet, dilution rate was augmented without effect on VFA pattern, as observed in this study.

Acid base status was not affected among treatments or by sampling time (Table 3). In general, the magnitudes of the changes in ruminal fermentation impact the acid base balance (Sanchez et al., 1997). It has been reported that subacute acidosis has minimal effects on blood gases and pH (Horn et al., 1979; Burrin and Britton, 1986; Brown et al., 2000), therefore, VFA and lactate in blood were buffered or concentration was too low to induce changes (Owens et al., 1998). The blood buffer systems are able to compensate certain amounts of organic acids. A basic aspect in body fluids is the electro neutrality and some modifications in electrolytes may affect the electrolytic balance of the organism and to have a direct effect on acid base equilibrium (Carlson, 1997). Since, concentrations of ions and cations were not affected by treatments (Table 3), electrolytic balance remained unchanged. Sánchez et al. (1997) observed that a mixture of NaHCO3, NaCl and KCl in a mineral supplement for dairy cows showed minimal changes in the acid base equilibrium. Blood metabolites were not affected by additives, indicating that changes in VFA pattern were insufficient to affect energy metabolism. Monensin has improved glucose concentration in dairy cows and reduced blood NEFA (McGuffey et al., 2001), however, there was no effect in this experiment. It is important to indicate information relating ruminal modifiers and acid base status is scarce.

CONCLUSION

There were minimal effects of additives on ruminal fermentation, blood pH and acid-base balance in sheep fed 60% concentrate. The impacts of feed additives to modify systemic metabolic profile may depend on the level of grain, rate of starch digestion and fiber characteristics in the diet, which need more research in sheep kept in intensive systems.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This experiment was sponsored by PAPIIT No. IN225198 (Support Program for Research Projects and Technological Innovation), from UNAM (Direction of Academic Affairs, University of Mexico). The technical assistance in the laboratory and surgery from Dr. Cándido Lopez, Tec. Andres Lee, MSc. Magdalena Crosby and MVD. Jose Luis Cordero is greatly appreciated.

REFERENCES

- AOAC, 1990. Official Methods of Analysis. 15th Edn. Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Arlington VA.
- Brown, M.S., C.R. Krehbiel, M.L. Galyean, M.D. Remmengas, J.P. Peters, B. Hibbard, J. Robinson and W.M. Moseley, 2000. Evaluation of models of acute and subacute acidosis on dry matter intake, ruminal fermentation, blood chemistry and endocrine profiles of beef steers. J. Anim. Sci., 78: 3155-3168.
- Burrin, D.G. and R.A. Britton, 1986. Response to monensin in cattle during subacute acidosis. J. Anim. Sci., 63: 888-893.
- Carlson, G.P., 1997. Fluid, electrolyte and acid-base balance. In: Clinical biochemistry of domestic animals. Academic Press, San Diego, USA., pp: 485-516.
- Clayton, E.H., I.J. Lean, J.B. Browe and J.W. Cox, 1999. Effects of feeding virginiamycin and sodium bicarbonate to grazing lactating dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci., 82: 1545-1554.
- Coe, M.L, T.G. Nagaraja, Y.D. Sun, N. Wallace, E.G. Towne, K.E. Kemp and J.P. Hutcheson, 1999. Effect of virginiamycin on ruminal fermentation in cattle during adaptation to high concentrate diet and during induced acidosis. J. Anim. Sci., 77: 2259-2268.
- Dehority, B.A., 1984. Evaluation of subsampling and fixation procedures used for counting rumen ciliate protozoa. J. Gen. Microb., 48: 182-185.
- Edwards, S.A. and D.A. Poole, 1983. The effects of including sodium bicarbonate in the diet of dairy cows in early lactation. Anim. Prod., 37: 183-188.
- Erwin, E.S., G.J. Marco and E.M. Emery, 1961. Volatile fatty acids analysis of blood and rumen fluid by gas chromatography. J. Dairy Sci., 44: 1768-1776.
- Garcia, C.G., G.D. Mendoza, S. González, M. Cobos, M. Ortega and R. Ramírez, 2000. Effect of a yeast culture (Saccharomyces cerevisiae) and monensin on ruminal fermentation and digestion in sheep. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol., 83: 165-170.
- Godfrey, S.I., J.B. Boyce, J.B. Rowe, G.R. Thorniley, M.D. Boyce and E.J. Speijers, 1995. Virginiamycin to protect sheep fed wheat, barley or oats from grain poisoning under simulated drought, feeding conditions. Aus. J. Agric. Res., 46: 393-401.
- Hedde, R.D., D.G. Armstrong, R.C. Parish and R. Quach, 1980. Virginiamycin effect on rumen fermentation in cattle. J. Anim. Sci., 55: 366.
- Hino, T., 1981. Action of monensin on rumen protozoa. Jpn. J. Zootech. Sci., 52: 171-174.

- Horn, G.W., J.L. Gordon, E.C. Prigge and F.N. Owens, 1979. Dietary buffers and ruminal and blood parameters of subclinical lactic acidosis in steers. J. Anim. Sci., 48: 683-691.
- Jasaitis, D.K., J.E. Wohlt and J.L. Evans, 1987. Influence of feed ion content on buffering capacity of ruminant feedstuff *in vitro*. J. Dairy Sci., 70: 1391-1400.
- Kennelly, J.J., B. Robinson and G.R. Khorasani, 1999. Influence of carbohydrate source and buffer on rumen fermentation characteristics, milk yield and milk composition in early-lactation Holstein cows. J. Dairy Sci., 82: 2486-2496.
- Khorasani, G.R. and J.J. Kennelly, 2001. Influence of carbohydrate source and buffer on rumen fermentation characteristics, milk yield and milk composition in late-lactation Holstein cows. J. Dairy Sci., 84: 1707-1716.
- Lana, R.P., D.G. Fox, J.B. Russell and T.C. Perry, 1997. Influence of monensin on Holstein steers fed high-concentrate diets containing soybean meal or urea. J. Anim. Sci., 75: 257-259.
- Lemenager, R.P., F.N. Owens, B.J. Shockey, K.S. Lusby and R. Totusek, 1978. Monensin effects on rumen turnover rate, 24h VFA pattern, nitrogen components and cellulose disappearance. J. Anim. Sci., 47: 255-261.
- Martin, S., 1998. Manipulation of ruminal fermentation with organic acids. A review. J. Anim. Sci., 76: 3123-3132.
- Mattiauda, M., G.R. Bárcena, B.C. García, J.H. Herrera and G.D. Mendoza, 1995. Efecto de la capacidad buffer de la dieta y del bicarbonato de sodio en la fermentacion ruminal de novillos Holstein. Rev. Argentina de Prod. Anim., 15: 455-458.
- McGuffey, R.K., L.F. Richardson and J.I.D. Wilkinson, 2001. Ionophores for dairy cattle: Current status and future outlook. J. Dairy. Sci., 84: 194-203.
- Mendoza, G.D., P.F. Plata, M.M. Ramirez, D.M.A. Mejía, R.H. Lee, R. Bárcena, 2007. Evaluacion de alimentos integrales para el engorde intensivo de ovinos. Revista Científica FCV-LUZ, 15: 66-72.
- Mendoza, M.G., R.A. Britton and R.A. Stock, 1993. Influence of ruminal protozoa on site and extent of starch digestion and ruminal fermentation. J. Anim. Sci., 71: 1572-1578.
- Mendoza, M.G.D., R.A. Britton and R.A. Stock, 1999. Effect of feeding mixtures of high moisture corn and dry rolled grain sorghum on ruminal fermentation and starch digestion. Small Rumin. Res., 32: 113-118.
- Murray, P.J., J.B. Rowe, E.M. Aitchison and S.G. Wislow, 1992. Liveweigth gain and wool growth in sheep feed rations containing virginiamycin. Aus. J. Exp. Agric., 32: 1037-1043.

- Muwalla, M.M., M.N. Abo-Scehada abd and F. Tawdfiq, 1994. Effects of monensin on daily gain and natural coccidial infection in Awassi lambs. Small Rum. Res., 13: 205-209.
- Nagaraja, T.G., M.B. Taylor, D.L. Harmon and J.E. Boyer, 1987. *In vitro* lactic acid inhibition and alterations in volatile fatty acid production by antimicrobial feed additives. J. Anim. Sci., 65: 1064-1076.
- Nagaraja, T.G., S.I. Godfrey, S.W. Winslow and J.B. Rowe, 1995. Effect of virginiamycin on ruminal fermentation in faunated or ciliate-free sheep overfed with barley grain. Small Rum. Res., 17: 1-8.
- Owens, F.N., D.S. Secrist, W.J. Hill and D.R. Gill, 1998. Acidosis in cattle: A Rev. J. Anim. Sci., 76: 275-286.
- Plata, P.X., R. Ricalde, L.M. Melgoza, A. Lara, E. Aranda and G.D. Mendoza, 2004. Un cultivo de levadura (Saccharomyces cerevisiae) y la monensina sodica en el comportamiento productivo de ovinos. Revista Científica FCV-LUZ, 14: 522-525.
- Rogers, J.A. and C.L. Davis, 1982. Rumen volatile fatty acids production and nutrient utilization in steers fed a diet supplemented with sodium bicarbonate and monensin. J. Dairy Sci., 65: 644-952.
- Rogers, J.A., B.C. Marks, C.L. Davis and J.H. Clark, 1979. Alteration of rumen fermentation in steers by increasing rumen fluid dilution rate with mineral salts. J. Dairy Sci., 62: 1599-1605.
- Rogers, J.A., M.E. Branine, C.R. Miller, M.I. Wray, S.J. Bartle, R.L. Preston, D.R. Gill, R.H. Pritchard, R.P. Stilborn and D.T. Bechtol, 1995. Effects of dietary virginiamycin on performance and liver abscess incidence in feedlot cattle. J. Anim. Sci., 73: 9-20.
- Russell, J.B. and J.M. Chow, 1993. Another theory for the action of ruminal buffer salts: Decreased starch fermentation and propionate reduction. J. Dairy Sci., 78: 826-830.

- Russell, J.B. and H.J. Strobel, 1989. Effect of ionophores on ruminal fermentation. Applied Environ. Microbiol., 55: 1-6.
- Sanchez, W.K., D.K. Beede and J.A. Cornell, 1997. Dietary mixtures of sodium bicarbonate, sodium chloride and potassium chloride: Effects on lactational performance acid-base status and mineral metabolism of Holstein cows. J. Dairy Sci., 80: 1207-1216.
- SAS, 1988. Language Guide for Personal Computers. Version 6.03. Statist. Anal. Sys. Instit. Inc., Cary, NC., pp: 558.
- Slyter, L.L., 1976. Influence of acidosis on rumen function. J. Anim. Sci., 43: 910-928.
- Torres, C.M., M.E. Ortega, G.D. Mendoza, M.T. Sánchez, G.M. Ramírez and J. Zorrilla, 1999. Efecto de la virginiamicina en la respuesta productiva de becerros prerumiantes. Memoria XXXV Reunion Anual de Investigacion Pecuaria. INIFAP, Mexico, pp. 227.
- Uden, P., P.E. Colucci and P.J. Van Soest, 1980. Investigation of chromium, cerium and cobalt as markers in digesta. Rate of passage studies. J. Agric. Sci. Food Agric., 31: 625-629.
- Vagnoni, D.B., W.M. Craig, R.N. Gates, W.E. Wyatt and L.L. Southern, 1995. Monensin and ammonification or urea supplementation of bermudagrass hay diets for steers. J. Anim. Sci., 73: 1793-1802.
- Van Nevel, C.J. and D.I. Demeyer, 1977. Effect of monensin on rumen metabolism in vitro. Applied Environ. Microbiol., 34: 251-257.
- Van Soest, P.J., J.B. Robertson and B.A. Lewis, 1991. Symposium: Carbohydrate methodology, metabolism and nutritional implications in dairy cattle. J. Dairy Sci., 74: 3583-3597.
- Yang, C.M.J. and J.B. Russell, 1993. The effect of monensin supplementation on ruminal ammonia accumulation in vivo and the numbers of amino acidfermenting bacteria. J. Anim. Sci., 71: 3470-3476.