Safe Human-Robot Collaboration in Dynamic Environments: An AI-Powered Situation Awareness Perspective

[1]Suryanarayan Kumar Yadav and [2]Sanyogita Shahi
[1,2]Department of Science Kalinga University, Raipur Chhattisgarh, 492101, India

ABSTRACT
This has motivated important research in Artificial Intelligence (AI) about requirements for better, safer and more efficient collaboration between dynamic human environments and robots. This paper presents the importance of AI in improvements toward SA within the context of Safe HRC in such dynamic environments. Challenges raised by dynamic settings are related to unpredictability, such as variability in behavior and decision-making in real-time, traditional approaches to robotics are not well-suited to these difficulties. The infusion of AI into the field will further be fractionalized by this, allowing robotic perceptual ability, understanding and reactivity to environmental change in real-time. Key features include real-time preemptive risk assessment and adaptive behavior in dynamic scenarios. Advanced sensor networks for comprehensive perception of the environment and machine learning algorithms for data fusion and decision support, are the key technologies that would enable key technologies in AI-enhanced SA in HRC. Such technical approaches open ways to ensure the human-robot communication and coordination mechanism is robust in terms of mutual safety and operational effectiveness. Next, it provides case studies from a broad range of domains that include industrial, service and field robotics, reporting successful implementations toward AI-driven SA with improved outcomes in task performance, safety and adaptability across operational contexts. It is unmistakable that responsible AI deployment will be strongly highlighted in the HRC context by ethical considerations linked to the replacement of jobs, privacy concerns, as well as legal and regulatory frameworks and implications. Future research directions primarily have to do with human-centered design approaches in improving remaining technical challenges and, at the same time, the constant evolvement of AI capabilities toward optimization of safety and efficacy in a dynamic collaborative environment.

OPEN ACCESS

Key Words
Situation awareness (SA), dynamic environments, robotics, safety, machine learning

Corresponding Author
Sanyogita Shahi,
Department of Science Kalinga University, Raipur Chhattisgarh, 492101, India
drsanyogitashahi@gmail.com

Received: 20 August 2024
Accepted: 10 October 2024
Published: 19 October 2024

Citation: Suryanarayan Kumar Yadav and Sanyogita Shahi, 2024. Safe Human-Robot Collaboration in Dynamic Environments: An AI-Powered Situation Awareness Perspective. Int. J. Trop. Med., 19: 92-98, doi: 10.36478/makijtm.2024.4.92.98

INTRODUCTION

This is the century of technological change, and efficiency and safety have been increased to great levels in so many fields by employing robots in human environments. This would require, thus, strong mechanisms for ensuring safe HRCs, especially in dynamic and unpredictable settings in which human intervention is practically behind what needs to be done[1,2]. It is in this regard that AI is the essential enabler and offers heightened capacity for any robot to perceive, interpret and react autonomously to change. At the heart of this stand is the idea implementation of situation awareness, which accounts for the real-time apprehension of cues from the environment, prediction of future states, as well as pro-active decision-making in efforts for risk mitigation. Through the use of artificial intelligence via a sense amplifier, an HRC is realized by granting robot cognitive abilities comparable to human perception and intuition-hence, it becomes effective in complex and dynamic environments[3,4]. The imperative for safe HRC stems from the ever-increasing deployment of robots to domains as varied as manufacturing, healthcare, disaster response and space exploration. Dynamic environments challenge human and robotic safety from how they do it by being variable and unpredictable. These include moving in crowds, reacting to unexpected obstacles and reacting to real-time changes in conditions or the need to maintain operational efficiency. Confronted with such dynamic scenarios, most traditional pre-programmed responses and static environmental models of the robotic systems normally break down. Therefore, it is in addressing such a shortfall that AI-adapted SA aids robots in continuous acquisition, processing, and analysis of sensory data for an elevated capability within real-time perceiving surroundings and context. The two critical enablers of AI-driven SA in HRCs are sophisticated sensor networks and machine-learning algorithms[5,6]. The sensors are very instrumental in capturing all environmental data, from spatial information to temporal dynamics, in so doing enabling the robot to make judgment calls in the right way. Machine learning algorithms, enhanced with deep neural networks and reinforcement learning, enable robots to learn from experience and therefore adapt their behaviors while accordingly making decisions autonomously. These technologies make it possible for robots to observe and respond to imminent danger as well as to anticipate an event taking place and act optimally to increase safety and operational efficiency in dynamic environments. Case studies for AI-driven SA in various fields of engineering have proven the transformational impact of AI-driven practical applications in HRC. For instance, in the case of industrial robotic AI, it is now made possible that robots travel in the factory floor without human intervention, while dynamically detecting obstacles and potential environmental hazards to adjust their movement in real time and prevent accidents[7,8]. These AI-equipped robots are again used to help in delicate surgeries within health practice because they monitor continuously the vital signs of a patient, interpret what the surgical team might be doing at any point in time, and even predict what equipment might be necessary to buttress surgical precision and patient safety. Therefore, in disaster response situations, AI-powered robots can patrol through hazardous terrains, place a finger on the pulse, and interoperate with human rescuers for coordinating life-saving activities in the most severe and unpredicted circumstances. This should be paired with the growth of ethical considerations and regulatory frameworks toward the responsible deployment of AI in HRC. Other issues that therefore have to be brought into critical consideration and regulation are those on job displacement, privacy breaches as a result of vast data collection and issues of ethical treatment toward the robots per se. Time and again, these frameworks are rendered obsolete because of technological advancements, thus, there is a pressing need to adapt them to be able to deal with the peculiar problems of AI-driven HRC. Looking forward, the more general research on AI development has advanced HRC further through continued research on advanced SA by refining AI algorithms, advanced perception through multi-modal integration of sensor data, and human-centered design principles with a view toward intuitiveness in cooperation between humans and robots. In light of challenges and opportunities explained thus far, the AI-powered SA tends to redefine the landscape of safe Human-Robot Collaboration in dynamic environments[9,10].

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Human-Robot Collaboration: HRC could stand for Human-Robot Collaboration, meaning the interaction and partnership between human beings and robots to perform common objectives or tasks within several diversified environments. Unlike strict autonomy or direct human control of traditional robot operations, HRC would connote active cooperation, coordination, or collaboration between a human and a robot to realize gains from their particular features and capabilities. Key aspects of Human-Robot Collaboration include[11-15]:

Mutual Goals: Humans and robots collaborate in efforts toward common objectives, be it in the manufacturing industry with respect to assembly, in medical procedures for support, or in hazardous environments pertaining to navigation[16-20].

Shared Tasks: The tasks are parcelled out by participant strengths-tasks that are repetitive or physically demanding are done by robots, while humans offer decision-making and the technologies associated with adaptability and creativity.

Communication and Interaction: HRC will require effective communication through verbal commands, gestures and haptic feedback, all operating in concert with shared environmental awareness to support seamless coordination and safety[21-25].

Safety and Trust: This is a very important parameter to be ensured for both the human and the robot. It shall further imply proper design of safety procedures and ergonomic design considerations, instilled and supplemented by real-time monitoring systems in order to avert accidents and enhance faith by human operators in robotic systems[26-30].

Technological Integration: Cross-Pollination in Technologies Combining artificial intelligence, machine learning, computer vision and embedded sensor networks allows a robot to perceive the environment. Then, the robot is capable of coming up with independent decisions, which are adaptive to changes and also collaborative with humans.

Ethical and Societal Implications: The massive integration of robots into collaborative settings will raise to the fore a host of ethical concerns, among which are the following: job displacement, invasion of privacy and ethical treatment of the robots per se. All these implications will call for careful consideration in relation to societal values and regulations or policies[31-35].

Overall, Human-Robot Collaboration seeks to build on the strengths of both humans and robots toward advancing efficiency, productivity and safety across a wide array of industries and applications-all while keeping in mind the ethical and practical challenges in their integration into human environments.

Role of AI in Human-Robot Collaboration:

Definition of Situation Awareness (SA): Situation awareness may be defined as "the perception of elements in the environment within a volume of time and space, the comprehension of their meaning and the projection of their status in the near future". This ability to perceive, comprehend and project allows one to make effective decisions, based on the perceived situation and to act accordingly whenever the situation changes. This makes this ability very critical in any dynamic environment[36-40].

Importance of Situation Awareness: SA is essential in military, aeronautics, healthcare and robotics. In all these aspects, it puts an individual or system at a better advantage to be able to foresee events and avoid mistakes. Good SA will reduce reaction time, enhance safety and improve general performance in high complexity and quickly changing environments[41-45].

AI-Powered Situation Awareness in Dynamic Environments:

Perception: AI enhances perception, enabling the robot or the system to collect and interpret large amounts of data from sensors, cameras and other sources. Machine learning algorithms process this real-time data for patterns, objects of interest and potential dangers in the environment[46-50].

Prediction: AI algorithms are supreme in predicting future states from current observations and historic data. This is a prime attribute in a dynamic environment where circumstances sometimes change within a blink of an eye. AI makes forecasts of possible risks or opportunities through studying trends and patterns, allowing proactive decision-making[51-53].

Decision-Making: AI thus offers decision enhancement by fusing perception with prediction. Advanced forms of AI can compute several scenarios for risks in real-time and advise on the appropriate course of action. This capability is especially useful where human operators may be overwhelmed by the complexity or speed of changes in the environment.

Technologies Enabling Safe Collaboration: Safe collaboration of humans and robots in dynamic environments rests on a suite of advanced technologies for perception, decision making and communication. Core to all this are sophisticated sensor technologies from which robots gather real-time data about their surroundings. Spatial awareness, object detection and general environmental conditions are the kinds of critical information that emanate from cameras, LiDAR and radar systems. Mixing data from more than one sensor allows the creation of complete three-dimensional maps of the environment, hence enabling the robots to move around without knocking into objects. AI algorithms are, therefore, important in processing sensor data and trying to make sense of the same in improving situation awareness. Classic examples would be machine learning models that help make sense of inputs from sensors in identification of patterns, forecasting of imminent danger and optimizing real-time decision-making. This enables agile reactions to changes in novel circumstances, proactive avoidance of hazards and optimized interaction with human peers. Equally relevant for safe collaboration in the context of communication protocols are reliable low-latency communication systems that realize smooth interaction between humans and robots, including real-time feedback, execution of commands, or remote supervision. This enables wireless network technologies, IoT devices and state-of-the-art communication protocols realizing robust and secure data exchange of key importance for operational safety and efficiency in dynamic environments. Human-centered design principles also further collaborative systems in terms of usability and safety. Intuitive and ergonomic interfaces for users will then enable efficient human-robot interaction and, in effect, drastically reduce the possibility of human error, thus raising overall system reliability. This has been considered with the passing of parameters from human factor variables such as cognitive load, situational awareness and decision-making processes to come up with collaborative systems that not only have technological advancements but are also user-friendly and supportive to the human operator.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Challenges in Dynamic Environments: Human-robot collaboration (HRC) in dynamic environments presents several significant challenges that must be addressed to ensure safety, efficiency and effectiveness. These challenges arise from the inherent complexity and unpredictability of such settings, which demand advanced technological solutions and robust operational strategies.

Safety Concerns: Ensuring the safety of both human workers and robots is paramount in dynamic environments. Unpredictable changes, such as sudden obstacles or environmental hazards, can lead to accidents if not properly managed. Effective safety measures must include real-time monitoring, adaptive control systems and fail-safe protocols to prevent collisions and other dangerous incidents.

Unpredictability and Variability: Dynamic environments are characterized by their constantly changing conditions. This variability poses a significant challenge for robots, which must be able to adapt to new situations quickly. Advanced perception systems and adaptive algorithms are necessary to handle these changes and maintain situational awareness.

Real-Time Decision-Making: In dynamic settings, decisions must be made rapidly and accurately to respond to changes and maintain smooth operations. This requires sophisticated AI algorithms capable of processing large volumes of data in real time, predicting future states and making autonomous decisions that align with human actions and goals.

Complex Interaction Patterns: Human-robot interaction in dynamic environments involves complex and often unpredictable patterns of movement and behavior. Designing robots that can understand and predict human actions, as well as communicate their intentions effectively, is crucial for preventing misunderstandings and ensuring coordinated efforts.

Data Integration and Management: The vast amounts of data generated by sensors and other monitoring devices in dynamic environments need to be efficiently integrated and managed. This includes not only real-time data processing but also ensuring data accuracy, consistency and security. Effective data management strategies are essential for enabling robust situational awareness and decision-making.

Industrial Robotics: AI robots are changing the face of industries due to their enhanced safety element and efficiency and productivity enablers in the manufacturing process. The application ranges from the assemblage of automobiles to the end. They are designed to cooperate with human beings at work, probably hazardous to human beings-welding, painting and assembly of components. Equipped with state-of-the-art sensors and AI-type algorithms, these robots can detect human presence, foresee movement and act selectively in changing their actions to avoid a collision and thus safeguard the worker. For example, factories from BMW have AI-driven cobots working on repetitive and strenuous tasks in order to let human workers focus on more complex and skilled activities. Cooperating in this technique drastically increases productivity while decreasing the risks involved at the workplace.

Healthcare Robotics: AI-based mechanical robots have been also shown to contribute significantly to the medical field for the treatment of patients in surgeries. The most standard implementation is robotic surgery robotics as exemplified by the da Vinci Surgical System. These devices have better precision and suppleness than the most fickle human hand during the most delicate of surgical procedures. AI algorithms interpret the real-time data from surgical tools and patients' vitals by creating surgeons who have superior situational awareness and predictive knowledge. Such enables more accurate and less-invasive procedure implementations, hence reducing recovery times and improving patients' recovery outcomes. In rehabilitation centers, AI-driven robots are also used to assist patients in conducting exercises on mobility. The robot offers some feedback to the patient and will regulate to the improvement of the patient it is working with.

Service Robotics: Service robots, powered with AI capabilities, are going to revolutionize the hospitality, retail and logistic sectors. In the hospitality industry, for example, SoftBank's Pepper can be used to greet guests, provide information and help check them into a hotel. These robots use natural language and computer vision to make the interaction with the customer super smooth, benefitting in total guest experience. The Simbe Robotics robot Tally roams around the aisles of the retail store, doing the validation of count with the aim to ensure the shelf remains fully accounted for. AI algorithms in this robot enable product recognition, in-store inventory changes and the ability to trigger staff in its vicinity that the shelves need to be restocked, all to ensure efficient inventory management and improvement in operations management.

Field Robotics: This kind of technology is already in use out there in the field when it comes to agriculture, mining and disaster response. For people in agriculture, for example, robots with AI and computer vision technology are energizing planting, weeding and harvesting. For example, here at Blue River Technology, we have a project called See and Spray in which machine learning is applied to identify and target weeds so that herbicides can be applied in small quantities. This significantly reduces chemicals but promotes sustainable farming across the board.

CONCLUSION

AI-based situation awareness is another step in this line of development between human and robot collaborative activities, where a truly noticeable change appears in robots' work capabilities: working safely and effectively in a dynamic environment. Such an approach is much better than the classic one since, along with AI, Perception allows robots to succeed in true SA and respond in real-time to the environment with unstructured and unpredictable conditions. This is a technological leap in industries, manufacturing, and healthcare integrations to field applications that change efficiencies and improve safety through productivity. In the field of industrial robotics, the development of a new genre enabled robots to interact with human staff, making it possible to carry out repeatable yet dangerous tasks both with high accuracy and, therefore, improving overall operational efficiency and safety. In the domain of healthcare, robotic surgery systems and rehabilitation robots have come to use AI not only in monitoring but also in the recovery process of patients, leading to a better outcome in matters of health. Service robots in hospitality, retail, logistics, among other sectors, operate with the help of AI to communicate with customers effectively and efficiently manage inventory. Field robotics in agriculture, mining and disaster response can exemplify how AI can be harnessed to use resources more effectively, increase safety and improve operational performance in challenging environments. Even though it fares bright in most, success in the use of AI-powered SA in HRC presents a number of challenges: safety, unpredictability, real-time decision making and rich, syntactically involved communication patterns call for robust technological solutions combined with stringent safety protocols. Such considerations should be given to areas including data integration and management, system reliability, human factors, as well as ethical and legal issues.

REFERENCES

1. Alsamhi, S.H., S. Kumar, A. Hawbani, A.V. Shvetsov, L. Zhao and M. Guizani, 2024. Synergy of Human-Centered AI and Cyber-Physical-Social Systems for Enhanced Cognitive Situation Awareness: Applications, Challenges and Opportunities. Cognitive Computation, 16: 1-21.
2. Alenjareghi, M.J., S. Keivanpour, Y.A. Chinniah, S. Jocelyn and A. Oulmane, 2024. Safe human-robot collaboration: A systematic review of risk assessment methods with AI integration and standardization considerations. The Int. J. Adv. Manuf. Technol., 133: 1-34.
3. Anderson, M. and S.L. Anderson., 2007. Machine ethics: Creating an ethical intelligent agent. AI Magazine., 28: 15-26.
4. Bogue, R., 2018. Robots in healthcare. Ind. Robot: An Int. J., 45: 133-139.
5. Breazeal, C., 2003. Toward sociable robots. Robotics Autonomous Syst., 42: 167-175.
6. Capek, K., 2004. R.U.R. (Rossum's Universal Robots). Penguin Classics. (Original work published 1920).
7. Chien, S., G. Rabideau, R. Knight, R. Sherwood, B. Engelhardt, D. Mutz. and C. Wilklow., 2000. ASPEN - Automating space mission operations using automated planning and scheduling. Space Operations Automation and Robotics (SOAR) Symposium., 15-23.
8. Clancey, W.J., 2002. Simulating activities: Relating motives, deliberation and attentive coordination. Cognitive Syst. Res., 3: 471-499.
9. Dautenhahn, K., 2007. Socially intelligent robots: Dimensions of human–robot interaction. Philosophical Trans. Royal Soc. B: Bio. Sci., 362: 679-704.
10. Santis, A.D., B. Siciliano, A.D. Luca and A. Bicchi, 2008. An atlas of physical human–robot interaction. Mechanism Machine Theory, 43: 253-270.
11. Dong, W., X. Lu and Y. Jia., 2020. A comprehensive review of robot learning for human-robot collaboration. Robotics and Autonomous Systems., Vol. 127.
12. Eason, K., 2001. Changing perspectives on the use of human-computer interaction in design. Proc. IFIP TC13 Int. Conf. on Hum-Comp. Inter.INT. 2001)., 21-30.

13. Emaminejad, N. and R. Akhavian, 2022. Trustworthy AI and robotics: Implications for the AEC industry. Automation Construction, Vol. 139 .10.1016/j.autcon.2022.104298.
14. Fong, T., I. Nourbakhsh and K. Dautenhahn, 2003. A survey of socially interactive robots. Robotics Autonomous Syst., 42: 143-166.
15. Goodrich, M.A. and A.C. Schultz, 2007. Human-Robot Interaction: A Survey. Foundations Trends® Hum.-Comput. Interaction, 1: 203-275.
16. Hameed, I. A. and M.A. Gongora., 2017. Human-robot collaboration for safe manufacturing IEEE Transactions on Automation Science and Engineering., 14: 849-859.
17. Han, J., M. Jo, S. Park and S. Kim., 2005. The educational use of home robots for children. Proc.IEEE Int.Sym.omp.Intel. Rob. and Aut. 382-387.
18. Harris, M. T. and J.G. Trafton., 2019. Human-robot interaction in dynamic environments. Frontiers in Robotics and AI., Vol. 6.
19. Hasegawa, T., 2007. Advanced robots in society and industry. Advanced Robotics., 21: 1069-1085.
20. He, H., J. Gray, A. Cangelosi, Q. Meng, T.M. McGinnity and J. Mehnen, 2021. The Challenges and Opportunities of Human-Centered AI for Trustworthy Robots and Autonomous Systems. IEEE Trans. Cognitive Dev. Syst., 14: 1398-1412.
21. Herrmann, G., C. Melhuish. and M. Witkowski., 2005. Safety in human-robot interaction: An experimental study. Robotica., 23: 49-55.
22. Hoffman, G. and C. Breazeal, 2007. Effects of anticipatory action on human-robot teamwork efficiency, fluency and perception of team. Proc. ACM/IEEE international conference Hum.-robot interaction, 1-8.
23. Huang, C. and B. Mutlu., 2016. Anticipatory robot control for efficient human-robot collaboration. Proceedings of Robotics: Science and Systems (RSS).
24. Huang, Z., Y. Shen, J. Li, M. Fey and C. Brecher, 2021. A Survey on AI-Driven Digital Twins in Industry 4.0: Smart Manufacturing and Advanced Robotics. Sensors, Vol. 21 .10.3390/s21196340.
25. Jiang, T., Z. Sun, S. Fu and Y. Lv, 2024. Human-AI interaction research agenda: A user-centered perspective. Data Inform. Manage., Vol. 0 .10.1016/j.dim.2024.100078.
26. Kanda, T., H. Ishiguro. and N. Hagita., 2004. Interactive Robots as Social Partners and Peer Tutors for Children: A Field Trial. Hum.-Comput. Interaction, 19: 61-84.
27. Kazanzides, P., G. Fichtinger, G.D. Hager, A.M. Okamura, L.L. Whitcomb and R.H. Taylor, 2008. Surgical and Interventional Robotics: Part I. IEEE Robotics & Automation Mag., 15: 122-130.
28. Khakurel, J. and K. Blomqvist, 2022. Artificial Intelligence Augmenting Human Teams. A Systematic Literature Review on the Opportunities and Concerns. Lecture Notes Comput. Sci., 51-68.
29. Kim, H. and H. Lee., 2019. A survey of AI in robotic surgery. Medical Robotics and Computer-Assisted Surgery., 15: 567-579.
30. Kragic, D. and H.I. Christensen., 2002. Survey on visual servoing for manipulation. IEEE Transactions on Robotics and Automation., 18: 975-983.
31. Kunduru, K.R., Y.D. Dwivedi, R. Aruna, G.R. Thippeswamy, S. Selvakumar and M. Sudhakar, 2024. Elevating Performance for Enhancing AI-Powered Humanoid Robots Through Innovation. Adv. Comput. Intell. Robotics, 85-119.
32. Lasota, P.A., T. Fong and J.A. Shah, 2017. A Survey of Methods for Safe Human-Robot Interaction. Foundations Trends® Robotics, 5: 261-649.
33. Lee, J. D., 2008. Review of a pivotal human factors paper: Situation awareness analysis and measurement. Human Factors., 50: 608-612.
34. Mukherjee, D., K. Gupta and H. Najjaran, 2022. An AI-powered Hierarchical Communication Framework for Robust Human-Robot Collaboration in Industrial Settings. 2022 31st IEEE Int. Conf. Robot Hum. Interact. Commun. (RO-MAN), 1321-1326.
35. Murphy, R.R., 2000. Marsupial and shape-shifting robots for urban search and rescue. IEEE Intelligent Systems., 15: 14-19.
36. Nomura, T. and T. Kanda., 2015. Robots in society: Friendly or problematic? AI & SOCIETY., 30: 1-5.
37. Ohueri, C.C., M.A.N. Masrom and M. Noguchi, 2024. Human-robot collaboration for building deconstruction in the context of construction 5.0. Automation Construction, Vol. 167 .10.1016/j.autcon.2024.105723.
38. Othman, U. and E. Yang, 2023. Human–Robot Collaborations in Smart Manufacturing Environments: Review and Outlook. Sensors, Vol. 23 .10.3390/s23125663.
39. Reis, J., 2024. Customer service through AI-Powered human-robot relationships: Where are we now? The case of Henn na cafe, Japan. Technol. Soc., Vol. 77 .10.1016/j.techsoc.2024.102570.
40. Riek, L., 2012. Wizard of Oz Studies in HRI: A Systematic Review and New Reporting Guidelines. J. Hum.-Robot Interaction, 119-136.
41. Robotnik, I. R. and Bravo., 2019. Human-centred design of exoskeletons: A state-of-the-art survey. IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters., 4: 2583-2590.
42. Rossi, F. and N. Mattei, 2019. Building Ethically Bounded AI. Proc. AAAI Conf. Artif. Intell., 33: 9778-9782.
43. Rožanec, J.M., E. Montini, V. Cutrona, D. Papamartzivanos and T. Klemencic *et al.,* 2023. Human in the AI Loop via xAI and Active Learning for Visual Inspection. Artif. Intell. Manuf., 381-406.

44. Rus, D. and M.T. Tolley, 2015. Design, fabrication and control of soft robots. Nature, 521: 467-475.
45. Sarter, N.B. and D.D. Woods, 2000. Team play with a powerful and independent agent: Operational experiences and automation surprises on the Airbus A-320. Hum. Factors 42: 474-492.
46. Sanyogita, S. and K.S Shirish., 2022. A Study of Mechanical Left Ventricular Assist Devices (LVADs) to regenerate heart functionality. European Journal of Molecular and Clinical Medicine., 9: 1070-1090.
47. Sanyogita, S. and K.S. Shirish., 2019. Biological Importance of Milk Oligosaccharides Isolated From Gaddi Sheep's Milk. Eurasian Journal of Biological Science., Vol. 13.
48. Sanyogita, S., K.S. Shirish and C.J. Mohammad., 2022. Exploring The Massive Amounts of Biological Data and Its Role in Treating Patients. International Journal of Medical Sciences., Vol. 2.
49. Sanyogita, S., K.S. Shirish and C.J. Mohammad., 2022. The Importance of Bioinformatics in the field of Biomedical Science. International Journal of Bioinformatics., 1: 1-5.
50. Soldatos, J. and D. Kyriazis., 2021. Trusted artificial intelligence in manufacturing; trusted artificial intelligence in manufacturing: A review of the emerging wave of ethical and human-centric ai technologies for smart production; a review of the emerging wave of ethical and human-centric ai technologies for smart production., 10.1561/9781680838770, https://library.oapen.org/handle/20.500.12657/52612.
51. Shneiderman, B., 2020. Human-centered AI. (TOCHI), ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction., 27: 1-31.
52. Wang, N., D.V. Pynadath and N. Gurney, 2023. The Design of Transparency Communication for Human-Multirobot Teams. Lecture Notes Comput. Sci., 311-321.
53. Zhu, Y., T. Wang, C. Wang, W. Quan and M. Tang, 2023. Complexity-Driven Trust Dynamics in Human–Robot Interactions: Insights from AI-Enhanced Collaborative Engagements. Applied Sci., Vol. 13 .10.3390/app132412989.